Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant Restoration Program

Public Notification and Public Meeting Summary Packet for:

Proposed Plan for Soil, Sediment, and Surface Water at RVAAP-43 Load Line 10 (final version dated August 18, 2016)

Proposed Plan for Soil, Sediment, and Surface Water at CC RVAAP-68 Electric Substations (East, West, No. 3) (final version dated September 30, 2016)

Proposed Plan for Soil, Sediment, and Surface Water for RVAAP-51 Dump Along Paris-Windham Road (final version dated September 29, 2016)

> Public Comment Period: November 14, 2016 to December 14, 2016 Public Meeting: November 29, 2016

Contract No. W912QR-15-C-0046

Prepared for:



US Army Corps of Engineers®

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Louisville District

Prepared by:



Leidos 8866 Commons Boulevard, Suite 201 Twinsburg, Ohio 44087

PUBLIC NOTIFICATION

Public Notice



News Release

For Immediate Release Contact: Camp Ravenna Environmental Office

Camp Ravenna Joint Military Training Center Camp Ravenna Environmental Office — 1438 State Route 534 SW — Newton Falls, OH 44444 614-336-6136.

Public meeting to be held Tuesday November 29, 2016 for Army National Guard Release of Proposed Plans for Soil, Sediment, and Surface Water at Load Line 10, Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3), and Dump Along Paris-Windham Road

Ravenna – The Army National Guard, in consultation with the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, submits for public review and comment three proposed plans for soil, sediment, and surface water associated with former national defense program activities at the former Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant (RVAAP) in Portage and Trumbull counties, Ohio.

On Tuesday November 29, 2016, a public meeting will be held at the Shearer Community Center (Paris Township Hall) at 9355 Newton Falls Road, Ravenna, Ohio 44266 beginning at 6:00 p.m., with an informal open house when technical staff will be available to answer questions. At 6:30 p.m. the Army National Guard will briefly describe the areas of concern (AOCs) assessment, present the recommendations, and then request verbal comments from the public. Written comments regarding this recommendation may be submitted to the Army National Guard during the 30-day comment period from November 14, 2016 to December 14, 2016. All written comments should be addressed to Camp Ravenna Environmental Office; 1438 State Route 534 SW, Newton Falls, OH 44444.

The Load Line 10, Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3), and Dump along Paris-Windham Road AOCs are at the former RVAAP in Ravenna, Ohio. These AOCs are being addressed under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). The proposed plans present the current status and information regarding the AOCs. The proposed plans detail the recommendation for no further action at Load Line 10 and Electric Substations and provide the rationale for these recommendations. The proposed plan for the Dump along Paris-Windham Road presents the preferred alternative (Land Use Controls) considered protective of human health and the environment.

In accordance with CERCLA, the preferred alternatives presented in the proposed plans are also presented in earlier remedial investigation (RI), site characterization (SC), feasibility study (FS) reports, as applicable. All reports are now available for public review at the RVAAP Information Repository at the Reed Memorial Library (167 East Main Street, Ravenna) and the Newton Falls Public Library (204 South Canal Street, Newton Falls). Copies of the reports are also available online at www.rvaap.org.

The final remedy for each AOC will be selected based, in part, on public comments. In coordination with Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, the Army National Guard will select a final remedy after reviewing and considering all public comments submitted during the 30-day public comment period from November 14, 2016 to December 14, 2016. The Army National Guard encourages the public to review and comment on the recommendation presented in this document.

For more information or to participate in the review, please visit the RVAAP website (www.rvaap.org) or call Katie Tait at 614-336-6136.

Affidavits

AKRON BEACON JOURNAL

	ADVERTISER/CLIENT NAME
Leidos	5
DUE DATE 12/20/2016	REMITTANCE ADDRESS
	AKRON BEACON JOURNAL 44 EAST EXCHANGE STREET P.O. BOX 3661
NUMBER	AKRON, OHIO 44309-3661
	DUE DATE 12/20/2016

BILLING ACCOUNT NAME AND ADDRESS

AMOUNT PAID

LEIDOS 8866 COMMONS BLED . STE 201 TWINSBURG OH 44087

Date	Ad Reference No.	Location	Advertisement Description	Times Rate	Size/Ad	Total Size	Gross Amount	Net Amount
11/14	0003214440	Legals	Legal Ad	1 4.15	1.00 x 95Lines	95	394.55	394.55
Propose Load L Electric (East, 3), and Paris	Automati Ani-bility and Plans for Ine 10, Substations West, and No. 1 Dump Along 5 -W I a dh a m Ravenna Ar- Ammunition RVAAP							
The Pro Load L Inic Su ant the Hons for Hon and Hon and Propose Dump Windha ents the ents the controk controk controk controk poles o lans ar ewton I Ibrary & South	posed Plans for ine 10 and Elec- ubstations pres- a recommenda- or no further ac- for these rec- diations. The ed Plan for the Along Paris- im Road pres- preferred si- ve (Land Use s) considered (ve of human and the environ- of the Proposed e available at: Falls Public	SUMMIT O THE BEAC JOURNAL theL on the sam	E OF OHIO, COUNTY, ss. 1, Ann H (and CON JOURNAL PUBLISHING COMPAN on oath, say that the notice above refer the day of NOV_, 2016, OR 20 in said paper printed and tate of Ohio, and of general circulation the of more then twenty-five thousand (25,	NY, publishers of erenced has bee once a week for FIMES, commen published in the erein, That said	of THE AKRON n published ON consecution cing on the a City of Akron, newspaper had a	E TIME on ve WEEKS day of County of a bona-fide		
ed Mei 7 East avenna 2666	ocuments are		and that the price charged in the attach r like amount of space to other advertis SIGNED Architecture Ha	ers who advertis				
PEN DELIC	HOUSE and HOUSE and MEETING. Y will host an	Sworn to be	efore me, and subscribed in my presence	, this A. d Ly J. U ry Public, Summ	ay of Dec Nderson	r 20.16		
eeting roposed e rece esenter ritten c eeting, ents m the Cu	to explain the to explain the Plens and ormendations d. Oral and comments will opticd at the Written com- list be mailed amp Ravenna beta Office	Affida		mission Expires,	4/2/20 Resid	020 Iberly I. Anders Jent Summit Co Public, State	ounly of Ghio	
nue cut	ten Route 334 ton Felis, OH omments will red during the mment period g Dep ovember 14, Adver	artment • Pr tising • Pho	one 330-996-3350 • Fax 330-996-30 ne 330-996-3360 • Fax 330-996-301	070 ATE OF	203	ssion Expires: gal Ads To:	Legals@thebeac	onjournal.c
public iduled i	meeting is for: 29,2016 an House bilic Meeting	East Excha	inge Street - P.O. BOX 640 - Akron	, Ohio 44309-0	0640	LEGAI	ADVERTISING	AFFIDAVI
her Co her (Pa Newton	mmunity uts Township							
more If you ommod	Information need special lations to base contact office at 0.							

31193993

Proof of Publication Record Publishing Company 1050 W. Main Street, Kent, OH 44240 Phone (330) 541-9400 Fax (330) 673-6363

IJESSI CONFIGURE first duly sworn depose and say that I am Advertising Clerk of Record Publishing Company

30 Record-Courier a newspaper printed and published in the city of Kent, and of General circulation in the County of Portage, State of Ohio, and personal knowledge of the facts herein stated and that the notice hereto annexed was Published in said newspapers for 1 insertions on the same day of the week from and after the 14th day of November, 2016 and that the fees charged are legal.

isku

Name of Account: Leidos Ad Number: 12248291 No. of Lines: 28

Day(s) Published: 11/14. Printers Fee: \$115.20



Elizabeth McDaniel Notary Public Commission Expires June 19, 2021

Notice of Document Availability

Proposed Plans for Load Line 10, Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3), and Dump Along Paris-Windham Road, Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant (RVAAP)

The Proposed Plans for Load Line 10 and Electric Substations present the recommendations for no further action and provide the rationale for these recommendations. The Proposed Plan for the Dump Along Paris-Windham Road presents the preferred alternative (Land Use Controls) considered protective of human health and the environment.

Copies of the Proposed Plans are available at:

Newton Falls Public Library 204 South Canal Street Newton Falls, Ohio 44444 Reed Memorial Library 187 East Main Street Revenna, Ohio 44266

These documents are also posted at: www.rvaap.org

Please join us for an OPEN HOUSE and PUBLIC MEETING.

The Army will host an information open house and a public meeting to explain the Proposed Plans and the recommendations presented. Oral and written comments will be accepted at the meeting. Written comments may be mailed to the Camp Ravenna Environmental Office; 1438 State Route 534 SW, Newton Falls, OH 44444. Comments will be accepted during the public comment period from November 14, 2016 to December 14, 2016.

at.

The public meeting is scheduled for:

영

Tuesday November 29, 2016 5:00 pm Open House 5:30 pm Public Meeting Shearer Community Center (Parls Township Hall) 9355 Newton Falls Road. Ravenna, OH 44266

For more information or if you need special accommodations + attend, please contact Becky Shreffler at 330-872-8010.

THE STATE OF OHIO Mahoning County Proof of Publication
Personally appeared before the undersigned authority, Julia Richardse of THE VINDICATOR PRINTING COMPANY, publishers of THE VINDICATOR, a newspaper printed and of general circulation in Mahoning, Trumbull and Columbiana Counties in Ohio and Lawrence and Mercer Counties in Pennsylvania, and being duly sworn, on h
Advertisement

CASHIER COPY - WHITE THE VINDICATOR PRINTING CO. 218358 CUSTOMER COPY - YELLOW YOUNGSTOWN, OHIO • 330-747-1471 ACCOUNTING COPY - PINK CUSTOMER LEIDOS-AMANDA M., Street 8866 COMMONS BLOSSE SPRINZI D PBM PREPAID State 44087 City A/R LEDGER A/C# or Phone# 7 BAD DEBT 58Z -405 331 CIRCULATION DESCRIPTION: DATE RECEIVED 16 Visa ce 4798 2641 0483 9501 EAP DIE 06/2020 COME 225 COME 225 INVOICE DATE INVOICE NO. PREPARED BY GROSS ACCOUNT SALES TAX NUMBER 3 329. 2 31960 AMOUNT PAID

A4 THE VINDICATOR | MONDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 2016

LOCAL & STATE



NIKOS FRAZIER I THE VINDICATOR

Ella Bevan of Austintown watches as Mahoning County Sheriff's Deputy Matt Ruse ladles some spaghetti onto her plate during a spaghet-ti dinner fundraiser for Mahoning County Deputy Sheriff Lenny Burke on Sunday at Western Reserve United Methodist Church in Canfield Township. Burke is battling stage-4 non-small cell cancer in his lungs and abdomen.

SPAGHE'I'I'I Continued from A3

cancer in my family. This diagnosis came out of the blue," he said.

Since then, he has undergone chemotherapy at the Cleveland Clinic and Johns Hopkins Hospital in Baltimore, and is now participating in a clinical trial at The Ohio State University Medical Center to develop a drug for immunotherapy which helps the body learn to that its own cancer but doesn't cause the immune system to over-react.

Tell us of your Employee's Awards & Achievements.

Every Sunday, In Business

business@vindy.com

"It takes a toll on the body and it is hard on the family. I've been going through this all year with my wife, Marta," who is also a Mahoning County sheriff's deputy.

The Burkes have three children: Lenny Jr. of Struthers, and Austin and Bria, both at home, and a grandchild, Mason Burke. His mother, Cherrill Stankorb and brother, Larry Stankorb, both of Struthers; a brother, Anthony Slocum of Columbus, and a sister, Nadine Stankorb of Hamilton.

Put Your Employees In The Spotlight WITH LIMELIGHT

through all of this. My family and the Lodge 141 brotherhood have helped me through the ups and downs. The letters and cards have made a big difference,' Burke said.

Burke, 47, grew up on Youngstown's West Side, lived in Struthers for 20 years and has lived in Beaver Township for two years.

We bought our dream home out there. It's beautiful. If I'm one of the lucky ones, maybe I'll beat this thing," he said.

People who want to donate to the Burkes can do "I've never been alone so by mailing a check or

The Vindicator

money order, with Lenny Burke on the memo line, to Fraternal Order of Police Lodge 141, 110 Fifth Ave., attention FOP, Youngstown, OH 44503.

Prosecutors ask agencies

COLUMBUS A prosecutor has asked two outside criminal investigation agencies to recreate the scene in which two plainclothes officers fatally shot a man they say opened fire on them.

Columbus police say Henry Green, who was black, ignored commands to drop his gun during the June 6 shooting. Green's family and a

friend walking with him say police didn't identify themselves when they began yelling at Green.

Earlier this week, the state Bureau of Criminal Investigation created a 3-D scan of the scene where Green was shot, Franklin **County Prosecutor Ron** O'Brien said.

O'Brien says he also asked the state Ohio Organized Crime Investigating Commission to use the scan to recreate the scene in 3-D animation.

The decision was made after reviewing the case and consulting with Columbus police, who are investigating the shooting, O'Brien said.

The organized crime

to recreate shooting scenes Associated Press commission "has employ-

ees that enhance photographic or video evidence and in particular a forensic audio-video graphics expert that analyzes crime scene information and 3-D scans of crime scenes to recreate them," O'Brien said in a letter sent to attorneys representing Green's family.

The analysis is a welcome step that will help the investigation but shouldn't be confused with an independent inquiry, said Green family attorney Sean Walton.

"It doesn't change the fact that the crux of what will be presented to a grand jury is an investigation led for the past four or five months by the Columbus police de-partment," hesaid.

The officers were members of Mayor Andrew Ginther's Community Safety Initiative, a \$750,000 summer program meant to reduce violence, take illegal guns of the streets and build trust between residents and police.

Police critics want changes to the program, while the police department says statistics show it makes Columbus safer.

Notice of Document Availability

Proposed Plans for Load Line 10, Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3). and Dump Along Paris-Windham Road, Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant (RVAAP)

The Proposed Plans for Load Line 10 and Electric Substallons present the recommendations for no further ection and provide the rationale for these recommendations. The Proposed Plan for the Dump Along Paris-Windham Road presents the preferred alternative (Liam Use Control) considered protective of human health and the environment.

Copies of the Proposad Plans are available at: Newton Falls Public Ubrary 204 South Canel Street Newton Falls, Ohio 44444

Reed Memorial Library 187 East Main Street Ravenna, Ohio 44268

These documents are elso posted at: www.rvaap.org

Please join us for an OPEN HOUSE and PUBLIC MEETING.

The Army will host an information open house and a public meeting to explain the Proposed Plans and the recommendations presented. Oral and written comments will be accepted at the meeting. Written comments mailed to the Camp Ravenan Environmental Office. 1438 State Route 534 SW. Newton Fells, OH 44444 Com will be accepted during the public comment period from November 14, 2016 to December 14, 2016. its may be The public meeting is scheduled for

Tuesday November 29, 2016 6:00 pm Open House 6:30 pm Public Meeting

For more information or if you need special accommodations to attend, please contact Becky Shreffler at 330-872-8010.

Shearer Community Center (Paris Township Heil) 9355 Newton Falls Road. Ravanna. OH 44256

Notice of Document Availability	Proposed Plans for Load Line 10, Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3), and Dump Along Paris-Windham Road, Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant (RVAAP) The Proceed Plans for Load Line 10 and Electric Substations present the recommendations for no further action and	provide the rationale for these recommendations. The Proposed Plan for the Dump Along Paris-Windham Road provide the preferred alternative (Land Use Controls) considered protective of human health and the environment.	of the Proposed Plans are available at: Newton Falls Public Library Reed Memorial Library 204 South Canal Street 167 East Main Street Newton Falls, Ohio 44444 Ravenna, Ohio 44266	These documents are also posted at: <u>www.rvaap.org</u> Please join us for an OPEN HOUSE and PUBLIC MEETING.	The Army will host an information open house and a public meeting to explain the Proposed Plans and the recommendations presented. Oral and written comments will be accepted at the meeting. Written comments may be mailed to the Camp Ravenna Environmental Office; 1438 State Route 534 SW, Newton Falls, OFI 44444. Comments will be accepted during the public comment period from November 14, 2016 to December 14, 2016.	The public meeting is scheduled for: at: Tuesday November 29, 2016 Shearer Community Center (Parts Township Hail) 6:00 pm Open House 3355 Newton Falls Road 6:00 pm Open House Astronom OH 44268	n or if you contact Bee	<form><form></form></form>
Not	Propose and Dur The Proceed Plan	provide the rational prefer	Copies of the Pro Newton 204 Sou Newton	These documents Please join us for	The Army will host recommendations mailed to the Cem will be accepted d	The public meetin Tuesda 6:00 pm	For more	

PUBLIC MEETING

Sign-in Sheet



SIGN-IN SHEET

US Army Corps of Engineers Louisville District Camp Ravenna Public Meeting – Proposed Plans for Load Line 10, Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3), and Dump Along Paris-Windham Road, Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant (RVAAP)

LOCATION: Shearer Community Cent	ter; Ravenna, OH	DATE: N	ovember 29, 2016	TIME: 6:30 p.m.	
Name	Address/City/St	ate/Zip	Phone	Email	
Jed Thomas					
Sharon Robers					
Amanda Sprinzl					
Amanda Sprinzl Goorge Tomphinis					
Greg Moon					
CERIE COOMIES					
Angela Schmidt					
EL D'Amato					
VICK DEPPISCH					

Proposed Plans for Load Line 10, Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3), and Dump Along Paris-Windham Road, Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant (RVAAP)

PLEASE PRINT

LOCATION: Shearer Community Center; F	avenna, OH DAT	E: November 29, 2016	TIME: 6:30 p.m.	
Name	Address/City/State/Zip	Phone	Email	
Megan Oravec				
Kevin Palombo				
Anita Stone				
Mike Store				
Nathaniel Peters				
Kafle Tait				
Mark Logae				
Matthew Merchant				
THEATHER ADAMS				
Rozal				

Proposed Plans for Load Line 10, Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3), and Dump Along Paris-Windham Road, Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant (RVAAP)

PLEASE PRINT

LOCATION: Shearer Community	Center; Ravenna, OH	DATE: No	vember 29, 2016	TIME: 6:30 p.m.	
Name	Address/City/St	ate/Zip	Phone	Email	
Brob Annaic					
Kock Wargo					
Bob Annaic Rock Wargo Eurin SEDLAL					
				•	

Presentation





Proposed Plans for Soil, Sediment, and Surface Water at

Load Line 10, Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3), and Dump Along Paris-Windham Road

Former Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant Ravenna, Ohio

Presented by: Heather Adams, P.G. - Leidos

November 29, 2016



JS Army Corps of Engineers®







Presentation Agenda

- Three Areas of Concern
 - ≻Load Line 10
 - Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3) (ESS)
 - Dump Along Paris-Windham Road (PWD)
- Site Features
- Historical Operations
- Remedial Investigations
- Preferred Alternatives
- Public Participation
- Questions





Areas of Concern Location







3



Load Line 10 Site Features





- Approximately 36 acres
- All buildings, including slabs and foundations, were removed in 2007
- Access road and perimeter fence currently exist
- Overgrown with trees and shrubs
- No perennial surface water on site





Load Line 10 Historical Operations



- 1941–1945, produced 226,387,306 M36 percussion elements used during World War II.
- 1951–1957, produced 49,286,628 percussion elements and 135,262,465 primers.
- 1969–1971, unknown quantities of primers were produced.
- Load Line 10 was deactivated permanently in 1971, and production equipment was removed.
- No historical data or information exists to indicate Load Line 10 was used for any process other than percussion element/primer manufacturing.
- No fuel storage tanks or fuel materials were present, and no burning was conducted.





Load Line 10 Previous Investigations



- Installation Assessment (USATHAMA 1978);
- RCRA Facility Assessment (Jacobs 1989);
- Preliminary Assessment (USACE 1996);
- Relative Risk Site Evaluation (USACHPPM 1998);
- Lead Azide Screening (MKM 2007);
- 2004 Characterization of 14 AOCs (MKM 2007)
- 2007 Investigation of Under Slab Surface Soils (USACE 2009); and
- 2008 Performance-based Acquisition RI, as summarized in the *RI for Soil, Sediment, and Surface Water at the RVAAP 43 Load Line 10* (USACE 2015).





Load Line 10 Remedial Investigations



2004 Characterization of 14 Areas of Concern

- Collected 37 surface soil samples using incremental sampling method (ISM) around former production buildings and from ditches targeting areas were contamination was expected.
- ➤ Excavated 4 test trenches to 12-14 ft bgs.
- Collected 19 water and 6 sediment samples from sumps, sanitary sewers, and basements.
- ≻Installed 6 monitoring wells.
- Conducted geotechnical evaluations and slug tests.
- Performed initial assessment of nature and extent of contamination.
- >Conducted initial human health and ecological risk screening.

Conclusions:

Recommended full risk assessments be performed to assist in overall management decision for the AOC.





Load Line 10 Remedial Investigations (continued)



• 2007 Investigation of Under Slab Surface Soil

- Performed investigation after the buildings and structures were demolished and removed to identify remaining contaminants.
- Collected 12 ISM surface soil samples from the footprints of 21 former production buildings.
- ➤Collected surface soil and subsurface soil samples.
- Conclusions:
- Identified potentially contaminated surface soil:
 - >Building PE-15 footprint [benz(a)anthracene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, and benzo(a)pyrene];
 - >Building PE-19 footprint [benz(a)anthracene and benzo(b)fluoranthene]; and
 - >Building PE-22 footprint [benzo(b)fluoranthene].

Recommended additional evaluation of semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs)




Load Line 10 Remedial Investigations (continued)



• 2010 PBA08 Remedial Investigation

- Collected additional samples to supplement findings of previous investigations.
 - Source Area Sampling Rationale- Collected 3 ISM samples to determine extent of initial screening criteria exceedances and 3 discrete samples to evaluate total chromium characteristics.
 - Large ISM Sampling Rationale- Collected 11 large grid ISM samples to completely characterize the AOC.
 - Subsurface Sampling Rationale- Collected 21 subsurface soil samples from areas where surface soil exceeded initial screening criteria or from areas not previously sampled.
- >Confirmed the lack of perennial surface water within the AOC.
- Collected 2 co-located sediment and surface water samples downgradient of Load Line 10 to assess potential contaminant migration.









• In summary, multiple evaluations or investigations were performed to assess Load Line 10. The total number of samples collected include:

- > 119 surface soil samples,
- >21 subsurface soil samples,
- > 2 sediment samples,
- > 2 surface water samples,
- >85 groundwater samples, and
- > Other: 21 sewer/sump water, 6 sump/sewer sediment.
- The following chemical groups were looked for during the investigation:
 - Metals, explosives, propellants, SVOCs, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and pesticides.



Load Line 10 Remedial Investigations Conclusions



- Nature and extent of contamination is defined no further sampling is required to characterize soil at Load Line 10.
- No further action is required to protect human health.
 - The HHRA did not identify chemicals of concern (COCs) from previous Army activities requiring remediation under CERCLA to be protective of the Resident Receptor.
- No further action is required to protect ecological resources.
 - > The ERA did not identify important or significant ecological places or resources.
- No further action for soil is required to protect groundwater.
 - The fate and transport assessment determined chemicals in soil are not impacting groundwater.
 - Groundwater will continue to be evaluated under the Facility-wide Groundwater Monitoring Program.

The Army, in coordination with Ohio EPA, is recommending no further action to attain Unrestricted (Residential) Land Use for soil, sediment, and surface water at Load Line 10.





Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3)



The three Electrical Substations designated as CC RVAAP-68 were in use from the 1940s through 1993:

- East Substation- Serviced facilities on the eastern side of the facility, including Load Lines 1-4 and 12.
- West Substation- Serviced Fuze and Booster Hill area, including Load Lines 5-11, the Administration Area, and George Road Area.
- Substation No. 3- Serviced western portion of the facility, including the Depot Area.







Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3) Background



- Electricity for the facility was purchased from the Ohio Edison Company and was supplied from Newton Falls and Garrettsville, Ohio.
- Distribution of electricity occurred through the substations, each at approximately 24,000 volts.
- Documented use of hazardous and regulated materials, including petroleum products (fuels and oils), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and lead acid batteries.
- Annual PCB inventory inspections and reporting were conducted on a facilitywide basis to document quantities of PCB oil located throughout the facility. The annual PCB inventory reports listed all PCB-containing items, including transformers, capacitors, contaminated soil from releases, and hydraulic equipment containing contaminated oil.
- Transformers and other oil-containing equipment typically contained PCBs prior to the 1990s when transformer oil was replaced with non-PCB oil. According to the annual PCB inventory reports, samples collected from oil-containing equipment did not contain PCBs greater than 50 parts per million (ppm).





Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3) East Substation



- 1,170 ft² brick Switch House (Building 25-27) constructed of a 6-inchthick concrete floor.
- Lead acid batteries storage and transformers were located on adjacent gravel pad.
- Transformers were drained and moved to Building 854 in 1993.
- No wetlands, creeks, streams, or other water bodies are within the East Substation.
- No documented release.





Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3) West Substation





- 964 ft² brick Switch House (Building 28-28) is currently being used by OHARNG.
- The area around the outside the building was evaluated in the RI.
- In 1997, approximately 1,500 gallons of transformer oil was spilled during salvage operations. The Army removed 449 tons of contaminated soil and confirmed the area to be clean.
- No wetlands, creeks, or streams are within the West Substation. However, a small ditch with intermittent surface water runs parallel to the southwest side of the building.





Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3) Substation No. 3



- No buildings currently exist; however, concrete foundations used to support transformers remain onsite.
- Equipment was stored outside within a 10,000 ft² fenced area.
- No documented release.
- No wetlands, creeks, streams, or other water bodies are within the Substation No. 3 area. A wetland and an unnamed tributary to Sand Creek receive runoff just beyond the southeast site boundary.











- 2010 Historical Records Review Phase I RI (SAIC 2011)
 - Recommended further investigation at each of the substations based on:
 - The use of petroleum products (fuels and oils), PCBs, and lead acid batteries;
 - Documented release at the West Substation; and
 - Suspected undocumented release.
 - Target chemicals for further investigation were identified as:
 - > TAL metals, PCBs, and SVOCs



US Army Corps of Engineerse



Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3) 2012/2013 Remedial Investigation



- Provided a full evaluation of nature and extent of contamination.
 - Collected surface and subsurface soil using ISM and discrete sampling techniques at each substation.
 - Sediment and surface water samples were collected from the downgradient wetland at Substation No. 3.
 - All samples collected for metals, SVOCs, and PCBs. In addition, RVAAP full-suite samples were collected.
- An HHRA was performed to identify COCs and provide a risk management evaluation to determine if remediation is required based on potential risks to human receptors.
- The ERA was conducted to evaluate the potential for chemicals to adversely affect ecological resources.
- The potential for soil and sediment contaminants to impact groundwater was evaluated in a fate and transport evaluation.







Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3) 2012/2013 Remedial Investigation (continued)

- The summary below provides the total number of samples collected during the investigation of Electrical Substations (East, West, and No. 3):
 - > 9 surface soil samples,
 - >41 subsurface soil samples,
 - > 5 sediment samples, and
 - > 5 surface water samples.
- The following chemical groups were looked for during the investigation:
 - >Metals, explosives, propellants, SVOCs, VOCs, PCBs, and pesticides.







Electric Substations (East, West, and No. 3) 2012/2013 Remedial Investigation (continued)

Conclusions:

- Nature and extent of contamination is defined no further sampling is required to characterize the Electrical Substations. (PCBs were not detected in soil at the three Electrical Substations.)
- No further action is required to protect human health.
 - The HHRA determined that total cancer risk and the total HQ are below the Ohio EPA and USEPA risk limits.
- No further action is required to protect ecological resources.
- No further action is required to be protective of groundwater.
 - The fate and transport assessment determined chemicals in soil and sediment are not impacting groundwater.
 - > Groundwater will continue to be evaluated under the Facility-wide Groundwater Monitoring Program.

The Army, in coordination with Ohio EPA, is recommending no further action to attain Unrestricted (Residential) Land Use for soil, sediment, and surface water at the Electrical Substations (East, West and No.3).





Dump Along Paris-Windham Road Site Features





- Steep embankment of buried debris on the west side of Paris-Windham Road
- Approximately 30 ft wide by 400 ft long and 0.25 acres in size.
- No perennial surface water.
- Surface water occurs only intermittently as storm water runoff in the drainage swale located at the base of the dump slope during and after rainfall events and periods of snow melt.





Dump Along Paris-Windham Road Historical Operations



- Used as an open dump for a variety of miscellaneous construction and demolition material, including: asbestos-containing material (ACM) of transite roofing and siding, laboratory bottles and drums, concrete, brick, glass, scrap metal, fencing, and wood debris.
- There are no records indicating the quantities of material dumped at the AOC or the dates of operation.





Dump Along Paris-Windham Road Previous Investigations and Actions



- Relative Risk Site Evaluation (USACHPPM 1998)
- 2003 Removal Action and Confirmation Sampling
- Site Characterization and Focused Feasibility Study (USACE 2015)











- 2003 Decision Document for Removal Action
 - Identified transite and debris in soil requiring removal.
 - Identified SVOCs as principle contaminants with potential impact to human health.
 - Identified cadmium, PCBs, and SVOCs as principle contaminants with potential impact to ecological resources.
 - Alternative 4 for implementing a removal action under a limited Remedial Design/Remedial Action (RD/RA) was selected.





Dump Along Paris-Windham Road Removal Action (continued)





 Excavated debris were loaded into trucks and roll-off boxes prior to removal and disposal.

- Removal and off-site disposal of debris and visible transite was conducted in April 2003
- 300 tons of material and transite debris was removed and disposed.
- Test pits were excavated to ensure all subsurface transite was located.







Dump Along Paris-Windham Road Removal Action (continued)





• Final excavated area at the southern portion of the site.

- Confirmation samples were collected
 - > 10 soil ISM samples from within the dump limits.
 - Six sediment and surface water samples from within the neighboring flood plain.







Dump Along Paris-Windham Road Limited Remedial Design/Remedial Action Results



- Confirmation sample results and findings
 - > Asbestos and metal concentrations in soil were within acceptable limits.
 - > Benzo(a)pyrene concentration in one of the 10 samples exceeded Region IX PRG level at the time.
 - > A small amount of transite was discovered during confirmation sampling after a heavy rain event eroded the embankment and exposed additional debris.
- Path forward
 - > RVAAP stakeholders and the Akron Regional Air Quality Management District agreed to discontinue excavation because further excavation may undermine and compromise the integrity of Paris-Windham Road
 - > Cover the transite material during AOC restoration activities.
 - > Perform an additional risk evaluation of PAHs (e.g., benzo(a)pyrene) in soil through Site Characterization/Focused Feasibility Study.





Dump Along Paris-Windham Road Removal Action (continued)





 Clean hard fill and approved soil backfill stabilized excavated areas and Paris-Windham Road.

 Removal area was seeded with OHARNG-approved seed mixture.



Ĭ.





Dump Along Paris-Windham Road Final Site Characterization

- Provided a full evaluation of nature and extent of contamination for soil and surface water. This included information from the previous investigations and confirmation sampling, including:
 - >16 surface soil samples,
 - > 8 sediment samples, and
 - >7 surface water samples.

Conclusions:

- No further sampling is required to characterize soil, as nature and extent of contamination was defined.
- Human health risk assessment identified two PAHs, benzo(a)pyrene and dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, as COCs in soil for the Resident Receptor. No COCs in surface water.
- Ecological risk assessment concluded that no further action is required to protect ecological resources.





Dump Along Paris-Windham Road Focused Feasibility Study



- The proposed remedies address soil contamination.
- Remedies are not needed for sediment and surface water since they do not pose a risk to human health or the environment.
- Groundwater will continue to be evaluated under the Facility-wide Groundwater Monitoring Program.

The Remedial Action Objective for the Dump Along Paris-Windham Road: Prevent exposure of the Resident Receptor to shallow surface soil (0–1 ft bgs) with COC levels exceeding the target risk of 1E-05 and a hazard index of 1.

- General response actions were considered for remediating contaminated soil :
 - Alternative 1- No Action (required by CERCLA)
 - Alternative 2- Land Use Controls
- The alternatives were evaluated with respect to nine comparative analysis criteria, as outlined by CERCLA.







Alternative 2: Land Use Controls

- Utilizes LUCs including posting signs to prevent exposure of the Resident Receptor to COCs in shallow surface soil.
- Prevent exposure to residual asbestos. Disturbance and potential exposure to residual ACM must also be controlled.
- O&M Plan- annual inspections in which the integrity of the soil cover and clean hard fill will be inspected for signs of erosion and disturbance.
- Continued Surveillance through five-year reviews.
- Estimated Cost = \$103,300.





Public Participation Your Comments and Inputs are Appreciated!



- Public participation is an important component of remedy selection.
- The U.S. Army is soliciting input from the community as part of its public participation responsibilities under Section 117(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA).
- Written comments will be accepted until December 14, 2016.







Questions?



US Army Corps of Engineers®

www.rvaap.org

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

Court Reporter Transcript

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

PROPOSED PLANS

FOR SOIL, SEDIMENT AND SURFACE WATER AT LOAD LINE 10, ELECTRIC SUBSTATIONS (EAST, WEST AND NO. 3)

AND

DUMP ALONG PARIS-WINDHAM ROAD

FORMER RAVENNA ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT RAVENNA, OHIO

Presented by:

Heather Adams, P.G. - Leidos

PUBLIC MEETING

Tuesday

November 29, 2016

Paris Township Hall 9355 Newton Falls Road Ravenna, Ohio 44266

. _ _

1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 3 Barbara Tittle, Facilitator 4 5 Jed Thomas, PE, PMP Environmental Engineer б 7 Leidos 8 8866 Commons Boulevard Twinsburg, Ohio 44087 9 10 330/405-5802 E-mail: jed.h.thomas@leidos.com 11 12 13 ALSO PRESENT: Ed D'Amato, Ohio EPA 14 Vicki Deppisch, Ohio EPA 15 Kevin Palombo, Ohio EPA 16 Nathaniel Peters, II, USACE 17 18 Sharon Robers, Leidos Amanda M. Sprinzl, Leidos 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 MS. TITTLE: Good evening, 2 everyone. Welcome tonight. We are glad to have 3 all of you here at this evening's public meeting, 4 and this meeting will present the Army's proposed 5 plans for soil, sediment and surface water at these sites within the former Ravenna Army 6 7 Ammunition Plant: Load Line 10, the Electrical 8 Substations and the dump along Paris-Windham 9 Road. My name is Barb Tittle. I am from Kent. 10 Ι 11 am here tonight to serve as the meeting 12 facilitator. I am not associated with anyone. Ι 13 am just a public citizen like you. 14 This public meeting serves as one of several 15 opportunities for public comment on the Army's 16 proposed plans. I am responsible for assuring 17 that everyone who wishes to comment or ask 18 questions about the proposed plans has an 19 opportunity to do so. 20 Comments received from the public on these 21 proposed plans will be considered when 22 determining the final remedy that will be 23 documented in a Record of Decision. The Record 24 of Decision will include a responsiveness summary 25 addressing the public comments.

Before we get started, of course we have to go through the basics. The exits are on either side of the room. The bathroom is back where you came in. And water fountains are off the lobby where you entered, also. And obviously help yourself to the refreshments.

7 Tonight we have Nat Peters representing the 8 Army, and three people representing the EPA --9 the Ohio EPA on the three different sites. For 10 Load Line 10, we have Vicki Deppisch. For the 11 Electrical Substations, Ed D'Amato. And for the 12 Paris-Windham Road Dump, we have Kevin Palombo.

Before we start, the Ohio EPA would like to make an opening remark, so I will turn that over to whoever wishes to do so.

MR. PALOMBO: Okay. Well, we as representatives of Ohio EPA reviewed the proposed plans for these three different sites. And we concur with the recommendations and the findings, and we approve them to go forward with the next steps.

22 **MS. TITTLE:** Okay. Thank you. 23 So our presenter tonight will be Heather Adams. 24 She is a professional geologist from Leidos, 25 which is a contractor for the Army. Heather will

Δ

1 present information regarding the three areas of 2 concern and the Army's proposed plans for these 3 three sites.

And we do have a court reporter on hand to record the proceedings for tonight. So we will go from there, and I will leave you with Heather.

8 Thanks, Barb. MS. ADAMS: 9 Welcome, everyone. It is nice to see a good 10 turnout this evening. As Barb mentioned, we are 11 going to be discussing three areas of concern at 12 the former Army Ammunition Plant. Load Line 10 13 is our first AOC. The second AOC is the 14 Electrical Substations, which is made up of three 15 The East, West and the Number 3 separate sites: 16 Substation. And finally I will discuss the dump 17 along Paris-Windham Road.

18 For each of the sites, I will start off by giving a brief description of the site features 19 20 and historical operations. And then I will 21 summarize the remedial investigations that have 22 been completed for each of the sites. And then I 23 will present the preferred alternative that we 24 are recommending. And then we will go over the 25 public's participation in this process, and then

open up the discussion for questions on all three
of the AOCs at the end.

3 In case anyone is not aware, which I doubt that out of this room, the Ravenna Army 4 5 Ammunition Plant is located in Northeastern Ohio 6 The AOCs that we are going to discuss this here. 7 evening are Load Line 10, the Substation Number 3 8 and the West Substation are located in the 9 southwestern portion of the facility. And the 10 dump along Paris-Windham Road and the East 11 Substation is located along the eastern/central 12 portion of the AOC.

The first AOC we are going to discuss is Load Line 10. By far, this is the largest AOC of the three this evening that we will present. It is approximately 36 acres in size. There are no buildings remaining at the AOC. All slabs and foundations and buildings were removed in 2007.

What remains at the AOC is a perimeter road and a perimeter fence. In general, the AOC is overgrown with small trees and lots of shrubs. There are no perennial surface water features within the AOC. However, during times of significant precipitation or snow melt, surface water has been known to accumulate in low-lying 1 areas.

2 The operational history of Load Line 10 is 3 rather significant. It began in 1941 where 4 percussion elements were produced to support 5 World War II. After that, in 1945 through 1951, 6 the AOC was not used. It was used again for six 7 years, until 1957, where additional percussion 8 elements and primers were produced. The AOC was 9 on hiatus again between 1957 and 1969, where it 10 then began ramp-up again for two short years 11 where unknown quantities of primers were 12 produced. 13 In 1971, Load Line 10 was ultimately 14 deactivated and permanently removed from 15 production, and all equipment was removed from

16 the AOC. No historical data exists or 17 information exists that indicates that Load Line 18 10 was ever used for any other process other than 19 percussion elements and primer manufacturing.

With that, there are no records of fuel storage tanks, fuel materials were not present at the AOC, and there are no records of burning activities being conducted at Load Line 10. As you can see from this slide, eight different investigations have included Load 1 Line 10. They began in 1978. That was the 2 first investigation that included Load Line 3 10. The first five that you see here were more 4 facility-wide based investigations. These 5 investigations overall were evaluating various 6 AOCs and determining that Load Line 10 was an AOC 7 that would need additional investigation before 8 closure could be achieved.

9 The three investigations, which you see 10 highlighted in green, I am going to discuss in 11 additional detail next. The first of those was 12 the characterization of 14 AOCs that was 13 completed in 2004. Load Line 10 was included as 14 one of those 14 AOCs. After that investigation 15 in 2007, the under slab surface soil 16 investigation was completed, followed by the 17 final remedial investigation that was performed under the PBA '08 Remedial Investigation. 18

19 The 2004 characterization of 14 AOCs 20 collected 37 surface soil samples using 21 Incremental Sampling Methodology. These samples 22 were focused around former production buildings 23 and ditches, which were the areas within the AOC 24 that contamination was expected to be found. 25 In addition to these 37 samples, four
trenches were excavated from 12 to 14 feet below ground surface. They also collected 19 water and sediment samples from the various sumps, sanitary sewers and basements of the existing buildings.

6 They installed six monitoring wells. They 7 conducted geotechnical evaluations and slug tests 8 to get hydrogeological parameters for the 9 investigation. They also performed an initial 10 assessment of nature and extent of contamination. 11 And they conducted an initial human health and 12 ecological risk screening evaluation.

The conclusions of this characterization report were their recommendation of a full risk assessment to be performed at the AOC.

After the 2004 characterization 16 17 investigation was completed, all of the 18 buildings, slabs and foundations were removed at 19 Load Line 10. Following the removal activities, 20 an investigation was completed in 2007. This investigation evaluated the soil that was located 21 underneath the structures that had been removed. 2.2 During this investigation, the Army 23 24 collected 12 ISM surface soil samples that were 25 from the 21 former building -- production

building foundations. They also collected
 surface soil and subsurface soil samples.

The conclusion of this investigation was that potential PE contamination was found in surface soil at three of the former production buildings: PE-15, PE-19 and PE-22 were the three buildings. This report recommended additional evaluation of SVOCs.

9 This led the Army to the final remedial 10 investigation, which was completed in 2010. This 11 investigation collected additional samples to 12 supplement the findings of the previous 13 investigations.

14 There were three main goals for this 2010 15 remedial investigation. One was to collect 16 samples to further investigate those three areas 17 where we had initial screening criteria 18 exceedances from the previous documents. We also 19 collected three samples to evaluate total 20 chromium characteristics.

The second goal was to collect 11 large grid ISM samples to ensure complete characterization of the AOC.

Finally, the subsurface soil was evaluated by collecting 21 subsurface soil samples from areas where surface soil exceedances have been identified and from areas where previous samples were not collected.

The next goal of the 2010 RI was to confirm the lack of perennial surface water within the AOC. As I mentioned earlier, there was documentation of surface water at the AOC. But we determined that to just be intermittent based on surface -- I am sorry -- based on precipitation events.

With that conclusion, we had to collect two co-located sediment and surface water samples that were outside of Load Line 10 to assess potential contaminant migration.

In summary, all of the investigations pulled together collected 119 surface soil samples, 21 subsurface soil samples, 12 -- or, I am sorry, 2 sediment and 2 surface water samples from off-site location, 85 groundwater samples and then 21 sewer and sump water samples and 6 sump and sewer sediment samples.

Each of these investigations were looking for the following chemical groups: Metals -thanks, Mark. Metals, explosives, propellants, SVOCs, VOCs, PCBs and pesticides.

COURT REPORTERS INC 330-452-2400

The conclusion of the Remedial

Investigation, which took into consideration all three of the investigations and studies that I just summarized, were that nature and extent of contamination is defined for Load Line 10. And no additional sampling is required to characterize the AOC.

8 Additionally, no further action is required 9 to protect human health. The Human Health Risk 10 Assessment did not identify chemicals of concern 11 from previous Army activities requiring 12 remediation under CERCLA to be protective of the 13 Resident Receptor.

Also, no further action is required to
protect ecological resources. The Ecological
Risk Assessment did not identify important or
significant ecological places or resources within
Load Line 10.

Finally, no further action is required for soil to be protective of groundwater. Two reasons for this. The first is that the fate and transport assessment determined that chemicals in soils are not impacting groundwater. And, finally, groundwater will continue to be evaluated by the Facility-wide Groundwater

1

1 Monitoring Program.

The conclusions of this RI are that the Army, in coordination with the Ohio EPA, is recommending no further action to attain Unrestricted Residential Land Use for soil, sediment and surface water at Load Line 10.

7 The second AOC that I am going to discuss 8 is the Electric Substations. These are three 9 separate electrical substations that were in 10 operation from the 1940's through 1993. The East 11 Substation serviced the eastern portion of the 12 facility, Load Lines 1 through 4 and 12.

13 The West Substation serviced the western 14 portion of the facility, Fuze and Booster Hill 15 area, including the Administration Area down 16 here, and the George Road area.

Finally, the Substation Number 3 serviced the Depot Area and the other western portion of the facility.

The electricity for the facility was purchased from Ohio Edison Company and was supplied from Newton Falls and Garrettsville, Ohio. In total, 24,000 volts of electricity were distributed throughout the substations. Documented use of the hazardous and regulated materials, including petroleum
 products such as fuels and oils, PCBs from
 the transformers and lead acid batteries were
 documented for each of the three substations.

5 The facility completes an annual PCB 6 inventory inspection and reports at the 7 facility -- I am sorry about that -- which 8 documents the quantities of PCB oil located 9 throughout the facility. This annual PCB 10 inventory report lists all PCB-containing items 11 within the facility, including transformers, 12 capacitors, any contaminated soil from a release and any hydraulic equipment containing 13 14 contaminated oil.

15 From these reports, the transformers and 16 oil-containing equipment typically contained PCBs prior to the 1990s. In the 1990s, the 17 18 transformer oil was replaced to a non-PCB oil. 19 According to the annual PCB inventory reports, 20 samples collected from the oil-containing equipment did not contain PCBs greater than the 21 22 action level of 50 parts per million within any 23 of the transformers at the facility. 24 As I mentioned, there are three sites

25 included in this AOC. The first one I am going

to discuss is the East Substation. This is an 1 2 extremely small AOC compared to the Load Line 10, 3 which I just discussed. It consists of a brick 4 Switch House that is labeled Building 25-27. And 5 it was segmented into rooms. And one of the 6 rooms had a very large lead acid battery storage 7 area. And the transformers were actually stored 8 outside of the building here in this gravel area 9 where you can still see the transformer footers 10 are still present.

11 All of the transformers were drained and 12 removed and stored in Building 854 in 1993. 13 There are no water bodies within the East 14 Substation. And there are no documented releases 15 at this substation.

The West Substation is pretty much the same as the Eastern Substation. It is a single building made of brick -- a Switch House, which is labeled as Building 28-28. The difference is that this building is currently used by the Ohio Army National Guard.

Therefore, the area around the outside of the building was evaluated in the RI as the building is still currently being used. This site did have a documented release in 1997. Approximately 1,500 gallons of transformer oil
 was spilled during the salvage operations of the
 transformers.

The Army was very proactive and did a cleanup immediately of the spill. And during that operation, they removed 449 tons of contaminated soil and also collected samples to confirm that the area was clean.

9 This substation does not have any surface 10 water within its boundaries. However, there is a 11 small ditch with intermittent surface water that 12 runs parallel to the southwest side of the 13 building.

The third and final substation that I will present is Substation Number 3. No buildings currently exist at this substation. However, the concrete footers used to support the transformers still remain, which you can kind of see in some of these pictures.

The equipment was stored outside within an area that was approximately 10,000 square feet. And it was a fenced-in area. There are no documented releases for this substation. There are no wetlands or water bodies within the Substation Number 3. However, there is a wetland and an unnamed tributary to Sand Creek that
receives runoff just beyond the southeast side of
the site, which you can see in this photograph.

4 So to address that runoff, the Army did 5 collect surface water and sediment samples within 6 this area during the investigation.

7 The first investigation for the Electrical 8 Substations was completed in 2010. It was a 9 Historical Records Review and a Phase I RI. The 10 recommendations of this report were that further 11 investigations at each of the substations were required. This was based on the use of the 12 petroleum products, the fuels, the oils, the PCBs 13 14 that were in the transformers and the lead acid 15 batteries that were in Switch Houses.

Also, we do have the documented release at the West Substation that we wanted to confirm had been properly addressed. And we wanted to address some concerns of suspected undocumented releases.

The 2010 Phase I RI recommended a target chemical list of TAL metals, PCBs and SVOCs for further investigation.

That recommended RI was completed in 2012 and 2013. This Remedial Investigation provided a

330-666-9800

17

full evaluation of the nature and extent of contamination. The Army collected surface and subsurface soil samples using both ISM and discrete sampling techniques.

5 Sediment and surface water samples were 6 collected from the downgradient wetland at 7 Substation 3, which I pointed out earlier. And 8 all samples were collected for the target 9 chemical list: metals, SVOCs and PCBs. In 10 addition, the RVAAP full-suite analysis was performed on select samples. 11

12 The 2012/2013 Remedial Investigation 13 also presented a human health risk assessment 14 to identify COCs and provide a risk management 15 evaluation to determine if remediation would be 16 required based on potential risks to human health 17 receptors.

18 The report also presented an ecological 19 risk assessment to evaluate the potential for 20 chemicals to adversely affect ecological 21 resources.

Finally, the potential for soil and sediment contaminants to impact groundwater was evaluated in a fate and transport assessment.

In summary, 9 subsurface samples -- or, I am

25

sorry, 9 surface soil samples, 41 subsurface soil
 samples, 5 sediment samples and 5 surface water
 samples were collected at the three substations.

The following chemical groups that were looked for during this investigation: Metals, explosives, propellants, SVOCs, VOCs, PCBs and pesticides.

8 The conclusions of the 2012/2013 Remedial 9 Investigation were that nature and extent of 10 contamination had been defined and that no 11 further sampling was required to characterize the Electrical Substations. So PCBs, which was the 12 13 main chemical that most folks would be concerned 14 with at the substation, was actually not detected 15 in any of the soil samples that were collected at the three electrical substations. 16

17 In addition, no further action is required 18 to protect human health. The Human Health Risk 19 Assessment determined that the total cancer risk 20 and the total hazard quotient were below the Ohio 21 EPA and the USEPA risk limits.

Additionally, no further action was required to protect the ecological resources.

24 Similar to Load Line 10, no further action 25 was required to protect groundwater. The fate and transport assessment determined chemicals in
 the soil and sediment were not impacting
 groundwater, and groundwater will be further
 evaluated under the Facility-wide Groundwater
 Monitoring Program.

6 Based on the results of the 2012/2013 7 Remedial Investigation, the Army, in coordination 8 with the Ohio EPA, is recommending no further 9 action to attain Unrestricted Residential Land 10 Use for soil, sediment and surface water at the 11 Electrical Substations East, West and Number 3.

The final and last AOC that I would like to 12 13 discuss is the Dump along Paris-Windham Road. 14 This AOC is a steep embankment of buried debris on the west side of Paris-Windham Road. 15 You 16 can't quite see it in this photograph. You will 17 be able to see it later in the presentation, but 18 Paris-Windham Road is just right beyond this tree 19 here.

This AOC, like the Electrical Substations, is extremely small. It is approximately a quarter of an acre in size. And it measures 30 feet wide by 40 feet long. There are no perennial surface water features within the AOC. However, surface water does occur intermittently

20

21 1 as storm water runoff in the drainage swale 2 located at the base of the dump slope during and 3 after rainfall events and periods of heavy snow 4 melt, which you can see --5 MR. PETERS: Excuse me, 6 Heather. Is that 400 feet long? 7 MS. ADAMS: Yes. 8 MR. PETERS: Okay. I think you 9 said 40, but that --10 MS. ADAMS: Oh, I am sorry. 30 feet by 400 feet. 11 12 MR. PETERS: Okay. Thank you. 13 Uh-hum. MS. ADAMS: 14 We do not have an extensive historical 15 operations history for this particular AOC, but what we do know is that it was used as an 16 17 open dump for a variety of miscellaneous 18 construction and demolition material, including 19 asbestos-containing materials of transite roofing 20 and siding, laboratory bottles and drums were 21 found, concrete, brick, glass, scrap metal, 2.2 fencing and various wood debris. 23 We do not have records indicating the 24 quantities of the materials that were dumped at 25 the AOC or the dates of operation.

1 The Dump along Paris-Windham Road was 2 included in three previous investigations and one 3 remedial action, which took place in 2003, which 4 I will discuss in depth next.

5 In 2003, a Decision Document for Removal 6 Action was approved. This report identified that 7 transite and debris in soil required removal. Ιt 8 also identified SVOCs as principle contaminants 9 with potential to impact human health. Ιt 10 identified cadmium, PCBs and SVOCs as principle 11 contaminants with potential impact to ecological 12 resources.

This Decision Document presented Alternative 4 for implementing a removal action under a limited Remedial Design and Remedial Action.

16 Shortly after the approval of the Decision 17 Document, removal and off-site disposal of debris 18 and visible transite was conducted in April of 19 2003. 300 tons of material and transite and 20 debris were removed and disposed.

Because of the limited knowledge that we had about the dumping, test pits were installed to excavate the area to ensure that subsurface transite had all been located. The excavated debris were loaded into trucks and roll-off boxes 1 and removed from the site for disposal.

And here are some pictures of the actual removal action. And in this photograph, you can see some workers removing the transite using the proper health and safety equipment that is needed to deal with those materials.

7 Up along this edge right here, this is 8 Paris-Windham Road. You see a worker standing on 9 the road. The excavator is actually working from 10 up on the road. So you can see how close debris 11 is to the road. This area here is the final 12 excavation of the southern portion of the site.

13 Once the removal action was complete, 14 confirmation samples were collected. As you can 15 see this gentleman down here collecting 16 confirmation samples. What the Army did was they segmented the limits of the debris and collected 17 18 10 soil ISM samples over that quarter acre area. And they also collected 6 sediment and surface 19 20 water samples from within the neighboring floodplain, which you can kind of see in this picture 21 This is the intermittent water that 2.2 here. 23 sometimes accumulates at the slope. 24 This removal action basically resulted in

25 two things. It gave us some results for the

effectiveness of the removal action, and it also
 had a recommended path forward.

Confirmation samples were collected and the results of those samples were that the asbestos and metal concentrations in the soil were now within the acceptable limits, where previously they were not.

8 It also identified Benzo(a)pyrene at a 9 concentration that exceeded the Region IX PRG 10 level at the time -- so the 2003 level -- in one 11 of the 10 samples that were collected.

A small amount of transite was also discovered during the confirmation sampling after a heavy rain event had eroded the embankment and exposed additional debris. So they had removed all the vegetation, had a rain event and it washed it out.

18 Because of this, the Army had to make a 19 decision for the AOC. The RVAAP stakeholders and the Akron Regional Air Quality Management 20 District, who were involved because of the ACM 21 22 removal, discussed and decided to discontinue the 23 excavation because further excavation would undermine and compromise the integrity of 24 25 Paris-Windham Road. Which you can see in the

previous photograph how close that excavation was
 to the road.

Based on this decision, the Army and the Akron Regional Air Quality Management District decided to cover the transite material during the restoration activities and leave it in place.

Additionally, they recommended that a Site
Characterization and Focused Feasibility Study
report be completed to evaluate the risks to the
PAHs in the soil.

After those decisions were made -- you can see the photographs here. So they brought in clean hard fill and approved soil backfill to stabilize the slope, which you can see they built out nicely to both stabilize the road and to cover any remaining transite in this area.

17 And then they seeded -- with the Ohio Army 18 National Guard, approved seed mixture and allow 19 the area to grow back up.

20 So one of the recommendations after the 21 Remedial Action was to complete a Final Site 22 Characterization. What this report did was it 23 provided a full evaluation of nature and extent 24 of contamination for soil and surface water. 25 This report used a total of 16 surface soil samples, 8 sediment samples and 7 surface water
 samples. These samples included the confirmation
 samples after the Remedial Action.

The conclusions of the Final Site Characterization were that no further sampling was required to characterize the soil, that nature and extent of contamination had been defined.

Also, the Human Health Risk Assessment
identified two PAHs, Benzo(a)pyrene and
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, as COCs in soil for the
Resident Receptor. No COCs were identified for
surface water.

14 The Ecological Risk Assessment concluded 15 that no further action was required to protect 16 the ecological resources.

17 Based on the findings of the report, a 18 Focused Feasibility Study was needed. The 19 proposed remedies included the Focused 20 Feasibility Study to address the soil 21 contamination.

22 Remedies were not needed for sediment or 23 surface water since they did not pose a risk to 24 human health or the environment.

25 Groundwater, again, is going to continue to

be evaluated under the Facility-wide Groundwater
 Monitoring Program.

Therefore, the Remedial Action objective for Paris-Windham Road Dump is to prevent exposure of the Resident Receptor to shallow surface soil with COC levels exceeding the target risk of 1E-05 and a hazard index of 1.

8 The Focused Feasibility Study presented 9 the general response actions, which included 10 Alternative 1, which is a No Action alternative, 11 which is required by CERCLA to be used as the 12 basis for which all other alternatives are 13 compared to.

The second alternative was Land Use Control. This alternative was evaluated -- both alternatives were evaluated with respect to the nine comparative analysis criteria as outlined by CERCLA.

Based on the conclusions of the Focused Feasibility Study, the preferred alternative for the Dump along Paris-Windham Road is Alternative 2, which is Land Use Controls. This alternative utilizes Land Use Controls including posting signs to prevent exposure of the Resident Receptor to COCs in shallow surface soil. 1 It also prevents exposure of the residual 2 asbestos. And disturbance and potential exposure 3 to residual ACM must be controlled.

Alternative 2 will also present an O&M Plan, which will include annual inspections in which the integrity of the soil cover and clean hard fill will be inspected for signs of erosion and disturbance to ensure that everything is still intact.

10Alternative 2 also includes continued11surveillance through five-year reviews. This12alternative has an estimated cost of \$103,300.13MS. TITTLE:14Heather. So now it is your turn to participate.

15 And we welcome your comments and questions at 16 this time.

17 You can also -- if something comes up later, if you think of something else, you can check 18 out -- for more information, you can go to the 19 20 Newton Falls Public Library on South Canal 21 Street, or the Reed Memorial Library in Ravenna. 22 Or you can check out the site at www.rvaap.org 23 for more information or to make further 24 comments. And comments will be accepted up to 25 December 14th of this year.

29 1 We solicit input from you, certainly, because you are the community. You live here. 2 3 It is important. And like I said, comments 4 through December 14th. 5 So if you have any questions or comments, 6 please stand up, give us your name, and for the 7 benefit of the reporter, and the record, what community you live in. And we will go from 8 9 there. Well, I will have a drink to that, too. 10 11 Calling for all comments, questions or 12 quotations? Anything you have is welcome. And as I said, there is plenty of time through 13 14 December 14th to make additional written or 15 e-mail comments. 16 Well, if we have no more questions, no 17 comments -- anything else? 18 MR. THOMAS: No. 19 If you haven't MS. SPRINZL: 20 signed in, please take a moment to sign in before 21 you leave. Thank you. 2.2 MS. TITTLE: Yes. Well, thank 23 you all. Enjoy the refreshments. 24 MS. ADAMS: Thank you all for 25 your time.



31 1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF OHIO,) SS:) 4 SUMMIT COUNTY,) 5 I, Jerri Lynn Wheat, a Stenographic 6 Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, 7 do hereby certify that these proceedings were taken by me and reduced to Stenotypy, afterwards prepared and produced by means of Computer-Aided 8 Transcription and that the foregoing is a true 9 and correct transcription of the proceedings so taken as aforesaid. 10 I do further certify that these proceedings 11 were taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified, and were completed without 12 adjournment. 13 I do further certify that I am not a relative, employee of or attorney for any party or counsel, or otherwise financially interested 14 in this action. 15 I do further certify that I am not, nor is 16 the court reporting firm with which I am affiliated, under a contract as defined in Civil 17 Rule 28(D). 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Akron, Ohio on this 12th day of December, 2016. 19 20 21 Jerri Lynn Wheat, Stenographic 22 Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio. 23 24 My commission expires April 9, 2018. 25

27:12 24:15 29:14 14:5,9,19 28:5 3:4.15 5:2 Α Additionally AOC asbestos bathroom able 12:8 19:22 25:7 24:4 28:2 5:13.13 6:12.13 4:3 20:17 address 6:14,17,19,20 asbestos-conta... batteries acceptable 17:4,19 26:20 6:23 7:6,8,16 21:19 14:3 17:15 24:6 addressed 7:22 8:6.23 assess battery accepted 17:18 9:15 10:23 11:13 15:6 28:24 addressing 11:6,7 12:7 assessment began accumulate 7:3.10 8:1 3:25 13:7 14:25 9:10,15 12:10,16 6:25 adjournment 15:2 20:12,14 12:22 18:13,19 benefit accumulates 31:12 20:20.24 21:15 18:24 19:19 29:7 23:23 Administration 21:25 24:19 20:1 26:9.14 **Benzo(a)**pyrene achieved 13:15 associated 24:8 26:10 AOCs 8:8 adversely 6:2,6 8:6,12,14 3:12 bevond acid 18:20 assuring 17:2 20:18 8:19 14:3 15:6 17:14 **APPEARANC...** 3:16 bodies affect ACM 15:13 16:24 18:20 2:1attain 24:21 28:3 13:4 20:9 affiliated approval Booster acre 31:16 22:16 attorney 13:14 20:22 23:18 bottles affixed approve 31:13 acres 31:18 4:20 aware 21:20 6:16 aforesaid 6:3 Boulevard approved action 31:9 22:6 25:13,18 2:8 12:8,14,19 13:4 B approximately boundaries Air 14:22 19:17,22 back 6:16 16:1,21 24:20 25:4 16:10 19:24 20:9 4:3 25:19 Akron 20:21 boxes 22:3,6,14,15 backfill 24:20 25:4 31:18 22:25 April 23:3,13,24 25:13 allow 22:18 31:24 brick 24:1 25:21 Barb 15:3,18 21:21 25:18 area 26:3.15 27:3 3:10 5:8,10 brief alternative 13:15,15,16,18 27:10 31:14 Barbara 5:23 22:13 27:10 15:7,8,22 16:8 5:19 actions 2:3 27:10,14,15,20 16:21,22 17:6 brought 27:9 base 27:21,22 28:4 22:23 23:11,18 25:12 activities 21:2 25:16,19 28:10.12 building 7:23 9:19 12:11 based alternatives areas 9:25 10:1 15:4,8 25:6 8:4 11:9,9 17:12 27:12.16 5:1.11 7:1 8:23 15:12.18.19.20 actual 18:16 20:6 Amanda 10:16 11:1,2 15:23,24 16:13 23:2 25:3 26:17 2:19 buildings Army Adams 27:19 Ammunition 1:10 3:6 4:8,25 6:17,18 8:22 9:5 1:14 4:23 5:8 **basements** 1:10 3:7 5:12 9:18 10:6.7 5:12 6:4 9:23 21:7,10,13 9:4 6:5 10:9 12:11 16:15 29:24 basically amount 13:3 15:21 built addition 23:24 24:12 16:4 17:4 18:2 25:14 8:25 18:10 19:17 basics 20:7 23:16 buried analysis additional 4:2 18:10 27:17 24:18 25:3,17 20:14 7:7 8:7.11 10:7 basis burning annual Army's 10:11 12:6

7:22	31:16	13:21	23:14,16 24:3,13	28:12
1.22	clean	comparative	26:2	counsel
С	16:8 25:13 28:6	27:17	consideration	31:14
C	cleanup	compared	12:2	COUNTY
31:1,1	16:5	15:2 27:13	considered	31:4
cadmium	close	complete	3:21	course
22:10	23:10 25:1	10:22 23:13	consists	4:1
Calling	closure	25:21	15:3	4.1 court
29:11	8:8	completed	construction	5:4 31:16
Canal	o.o co-located	5:22 8:13,16	21:18	5.4 51.10 cover
28:20	11:12	9:17,20 10:10	contain	25:5,16 28:6
cancer	COC	-	14:21	25:5,10 28:0 Creek
19:19		17:8,24 25:9		
capacitors	27:6	31:11	contained	17:1
14:12	COCs	completes	14:16	criteria
caption	18:14 26:11,12	14:5	containing	10:17 27:17
31:11	27:25	compromise	14:13	currently
	collect	24:24	contaminant	15:20,24 16:16
case 6:3	10:15,21 11:11	Computer-Aid	11:14	D
	17:5	31:8	contaminants	
CERCLA	collected	concentration	18:23 22:8,11	D'Amato
12:12 27:11,18	8:20 9:2,24 10:1	24:9	contaminated	2:14 4:11
certainly	10:11,19 11:3	concentrations	14:12,14 16:7	data
29:1	11:16 14:20	24:5	contamination	7:16
certify	16:7 18:2,6,8	concern	8:24 9:10 10:4	dates
31:7,10,13,15	19:3,15 23:14	5:2,11 12:10	12:5 18:2	21:25
characteristics	23:17,19 24:3	concerned	19:10 25:24	day
10:20	24:11	19:13	26:7,21	31:19
characterization	collecting	concerns	continue	deactivated
8:12,19 9:13,16	10:25 23:15	17:19	12:24 26:25	7:14
10:22 25:8,22	comes	concluded	continued	deal
26:5	28:17	26:14 30:2	28:10	23:6
characterize	comment	conclusion	contract	debris
12:7 19:11 26:6	3:15,17	10:3 11:11 12:1	31:16	20:14 21:22 22:7
check	comments	conclusions	contractor	22:17,20,25
28:18,22	3:20,25 28:15,24	9:13 13:2 19:8	4:25	23:10,17 24:15
chemical	28:24 29:3,5	26:4 27:19	Control	December
11:23 17:22 18:9	29:11,15,17	concrete	27:14	28:25 29:4,14
19:4,13	commission	16:17 21:21	controlled	31:19
chemicals	31:24	concur	28:3	decided
12:10,22 18:20	commissioned	4:19	Controls	24:22 25:5
20:1	31:6	conducted	27:22,23	decision
chromium	Commons	7:23 9:7,11	coordination	3:23,24 22:5,13
10:20	2:8	22:18	13:3 20:7	22:16 24:19
citizen	community	confirm	correct	25:3
3:13	29:2,8	11:4 16:8 17:17	31:9	decisions
Civil	Company	confirmation	cost	25:11
	Company		.051	

lr				1 480 0 1
defined	discussion	21:24	embankment	3:3
12:5 19:10 26:8	6:1	dumping	20:14 24:14	event
31:16	disposal	22:22	employee	24:14,16
demolition	22:17 23:1		31:13	events
21:18	disposed	E	Engineer	11:10 21:3
Depot	22:20	Ε	2:6	excavate
13:18	distributed	31:1,1	Enjoy	22:23
Deppisch	13:24	e-mail	29:23	excavated
2:15 4:10	District	2:11 29:15	ensure	9:1 22:24
depth	24:21 25:4	earlier	10:22 22:23 28:8	excavation
22:4	disturbance	11:6 18:7	entered	23:12 24:23,23
description	28:2,8	East	4:5	25:12 24:25,25
5:19	ditch	1:6 5:15 6:10	environment	excavator
Design	16:11	13:10 15:1,13	26:24	23:9
22:15	ditches	20:11	Environmental	exceedances
detail	8:23	eastern	2:6	10:18 11:1
8:11	Document	13:11 15:17	EPA	exceeded
detected	22:5,13,17	eastern/central	2:14,15,16 4:8,9	24:9
19:14	documentation	6:11	4:13,17 13:3	exceeding
determine	11:7	ecological	19:21 20:8	27:6
18:15	documented	9:12 12:15,15,17	equipment	Excuse
determined	3:23 13:25 14:4	18:18,20 19:23	7:15 14:13,16,21	21:5
11:8 12:22 19:19	15:14,25 16:23	22:11 26:14,16	16:20 23:5	exist
20:1	17:16	Ed	eroded	16:16
determining	documents	2:14 4:11	24:14	existing
3:22 8:6	10:18 14:8	edge	erosion	9:4
Dibenzo(a,h)a	doubt	23:7	28:7	exists
26:11	6:3	Edison	estimated	7:16,17
difference	downgradient	13:21	28:12	exits
15:19	18:6	effectiveness	evaluate	4:2
different	drainage	24:1	10:19 18:19 25:9	expected
4:9,18 7:25	21:1	eight	evaluated	8:24
discontinue	drained	7:24	9:21 10:24 12:25	expires
24:22	15:11	either	15:23 18:23	31:24
discovered	drink	4:2	20:4 27:1,15	explosives
24:13	29:10	Electric	27:16	11:24 19:6
discrete	drums	1:5 13:8	evaluating	exposed
18:4	21:20	electrical	8:5	24:15
discuss	duly	3:7 4:11 5:14	evaluation	exposure
5:16 6:6,13 8:10	31:6	13:9 17:7	9:12 10:8 18:1	27:4,24 28:1,2
13:7 15:1	dump	19:12,16 20:11	18:15 25:23	extensive
20:13 22:4	1:8 3:8 4:12	20:20	evaluations	21:14
discussed	5:16 6:10	electricity	9:7	extent
15:3 24:22	20:13 21:2,17	13:20,23	evening	9:10 12:4 18:1
discussing	20:13 21:2,17	elements	3:1 5:10 6:7,15	19:9 25:23
5:11	dumped	7:4,8,19	evening's	26:7
			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_0.,
II				

Γ				1 480 00
extremely	12:24 13:17	fuels	going	21:6 28:14
15:2 20:21	18:22	14:2 17:13	5:11 6:6,13 8:10	heavy
	financially	full	13:7 14:25	21:3 24:14
F	31:14	9:14 18:1 25:23	26:25	help
F	findings	full-suite	good	4:5
31:1	4:19 10:12 26:17	18:10	3:1 5:9	hereunto
facilitator	firm	further	gravel	31:18
2:3 3:12	31:16	10:16 12:8,14,19	15:8	hiatus
facility	first	13:4 17:10,23	greater	7:9
6:9 13:12,14,19	5:13 6:13 8:2,3	19:11,17,22,24	14:21	highlighted
13:20 14:5,7,9	8:11 12:21	20:3,8 24:23	green	8:10
14:11,23	14:25 17:7	26:5,15 28:23	8:10	Hill
facility-wide	five	31:10,13,15	grid	13:14
8:4 12:25 20:4	8:3	Fuze	10:21	historical
27:1	five-year	13:14	ground	5:20 7:16 17:9
Falls	28:11	1 <i>J</i> .1T	9:2	21:14
1:22 13:22 28:20	flood-	G	groundwater	history
far	23:20	gallons	11:19 12:20,23	7:2 21:15
6:14	focused	16:1	12:24,25 18:23	House
fate	8:22 25:8 26:18	Garrettsville	19:25 20:3,3,4	15:4,18
12:21 18:24	26:19 27:8,19	13:22	26:25 27:1	Houses
19:25	folks	general	groups	17:15
Feasibility	19:13	6:20 27:9	11:23 19:4	human
25:8 26:18,20	followed	gentleman	grow	9:11 12:9,9
27:8,20	8:16	23:15	25:19	18:13,16 19:18
features	following	geologist	Guard	19:18 22:9
5:19 6:22 20:24	9:19 11:23 19:4	4:24	15:21 25:18	26:9,24
feet	footers	George		hydraulic
9:1 16:21 20:23	15:9 16:17	13:16	H	14:13
20:23 21:6,11	foregoing	geotechnical	Hall	hydrogeological
21:11	31:8,11	9:7	1:21	9:8
fence	former	give	hand	
6:20	1:10 3:6 5:12	29:6	5:4 31:18	I
fenced-in	8:22 9:25 10:5	giving	hard	identified
16:22	forward	5:19	25:13 28:6	11:2 22:6,8,10
fencing	4:20 24:2	glad	hazard	24:8 26:10,12
21:22	found	3:2	19:20 27:7	identify
fill	8:24 10:4 21:21	glass	hazardous	12:10,16 18:14
25:13 28:7	foundations	21:21	13:25	П
final	6:18 9:18 10:1	go	health	2:17 7:5
3:22 8:17 10:9	fountains	4:2,20 5:6,24	9:11 12:9,9	immediately
16:14 20:12	4:4	28:19 29:8	18:13,16 19:18	16:5
23:11 25:21	four	goal	19:18 22:9	impact
26:4	8:25	10:21 11:4	23:5 26:9,24	18:23 22:9,11
finally	fuel	goals	Heather	impacting
5:16 10:24 12:19	7:20,21	10:14	1:14 4:23,25 5:7	12:23 20:2

				2
implementing	intermittently	22:21	13:12	7:19
22:14	20:25	known	list	Mark
		6:25	nst 17:22 18:9	11:24
important	inventory	6:25		
12:16 29:3	14:6,10,19	L	lists	material
include	investigate	labeled	14:10	21:18 22:19 25:5
3:24 28:5	10:16	15:4,19	live	materials
included	investigation	,	29:2,8	7:21 14:1 21:19
7:25 8:2,13	8:2,7,14,16,17	laboratory	Load	21:24 23:6
14:25 22:2	8:18 9:9,17,20	21:20	1:5 3:7 4:10	means
26:2,19 27:9	9:21,23 10:3	lack	5:12 6:7,14 7:2	31:8
includes	10:10,11,15	11:5	7:13,17,23,25	measures
28:10	12:2 17:6,7,23	Land	8:2,6,13 9:19	20:22
including	17:25 18:12	13:5 20:9 27:14	11:13 12:5,18	meeting
13:15 14:1,11	19:5,9 20:7	27:22,23	13:6,12 15:2	1:17 3:3,4,11,14
21:18 27:23	investigations	large	19:24	30:1
Incremental	5:21 7:25 8:4,5	10:21 15:6	loaded	melt
8:21	8:9 10:13	largest	22:25	6:24 21:4
index	11:15,22 12:3	6:14	lobby	Memorial
27:7	17:11 22:2	lead	4:4	28:21
indicates	involved	14:3 15:6 17:14	located	mentioned
7:17	24:21	leave	6:5,8,11 9:21	5:10 11:6 14:24
indicating	ISM	5:6 25:6 29:21	14:8 21:2	metal
21:23	9:24 10:22 18:3	led	22:24	21:21 24:5
information	23:18	10:9	location	metals
5:1 7:17 28:19	items	Leidos	11:19	11:23,24 17:22
28:23	14:10	1:14 2:7,18,19	long	18:9 19:5
initial	IX	4:24	20:23 21:6	Methodology
9:9,11 10:17	24:9	level	looked	8:21
input	27.7	14:22 24:10,10	19:5	migration
29:1	J	levels	looking	11:14
inspected	Jed	27:6	11:22	million
28:7	2:5	Library	lots	14:22
inspection	jed.h.thomas	28:20,21	6:21	miscellaneous
14:6	2:11	limited	low-lying	21:17
inspections	Jerri	22:15,21	6:25	mixture
28:5	31:5,21	limits		25:18
installed		19:21 23:17 24:6	Lynn 31:5,21	
9:6 22:22	K	Line	51:5,21	moment
	Kent	1:5 3:7 4:10	M	29:20
intact	3:10	5:12 6:7,14 7:2	M	monitoring
28:9	Kevin	7:13,17,23 8:1	2:19	9:6 13:1 20:5
integrity	2:16 4:12	8:2,6,13 9:19	2.19 main	27:2
24:24 28:6	kind	11:13 12:5,18	10:14 19:13	N
interested	16:18 23:21	13:6 15:2		
31:14	know	13:0 15:2 19:24	management 18:14 24:20 25:4	name
intermittent	21:16			3:10 29:6
11:8 16:11 23:22	knowledge	Lines	manufacturing	Nat
	monicage			

Page 37

				1 480 01
4:7	office	P	10:6	Plan
Nathaniel	31:18	P.G	PE-19	28:4
2:17	Oh	1:14	10:6	plans
National	21:10	p.m	PE-22	1:3 3:5,16,18,21
15:21 25:18	Ohio	30:2	10:6	4:18 5:2
nature	1:11,23 2:9,14	PAHs	people	Plant
9:10 12:4 18:1	2:15,16 4:9,13	25:10 26:10	4:8	1:10 3:7 5:12
19:9 25:23	4:17 6:5 13:3	Palombo	percussion	6:5
26:7	13:21,23 15:20	2:16 4:12,16	7:4,7,19	please
need	19:20 20:8	parallel	perennial	29:6,20
8:7	25:17 31:3,6	16:12	6:22 11:5 20:24	plenty
needed	31:18,22	parameters	performed	29:13
23:5 26:18,22	oil	9:8	8:17 9:9,15	PMP
neighboring	14:8,14,18,18	9.8 Paris	18:11	2:5
23:20	16:1	Faris 1:21	perimeter	pointed
Newton	oil-containing	Paris-Windham	6:19,20	18:7
1:22 13:22 28:20	14:16,20	1:8 3:8 4:12	periods	portion
nice	oils	1:8 3:8 4:12 5:17 6:10	21:3	6:9,12 13:11,14
5:9	14:2 17:13	20:13,15,18	permanently	13:18 23:12
nicely	Okay	20:13,13,18	7:14	pose
25:15	4:16,22 21:8,12	24:25 27:4,21	pesticides	26:23
nine	Once	participate	11:25 19:7	posting
27:17	23:13	28:14	Peters	27:23
non-PCB	open	participation	2:17 4:7 21:5,8	potential
14:18	6:1 21:17	5:25	21:12	10:4 11:14 18:16
Northeastern	opening	particular	petroleum	18:19,22 22:9
6:5	4:14	21:15	14:1 17:13	22:11 28:2
Notary	operation	parts	Phase	precipitation
31:6,22	13:10 16:6 21:25	14:22	17:9,21	6:24 11:10
November	operational	party	photograph	preferred
1:19	7:2	31:13	17:3 20:16 23:3	5:23 27:20
Number	operations	path	25:1	prepared
5:15 6:7 13:17	5:20 16:2 21:15	24:2	photographs	31:8
16:15,25 20:11	opportunities	PBA	25:12	present
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3:15	8:18	picture	2:13 3:4 5:1,23
0	opportunity	PCB	23:21	6:15 7:21
O&M	3:19	14:5,8,9,19	pictures	15:10 16:15
28:4	outlined	PCB-containing	16:19 23:2	28:4
objective	27:17	14:10	pits	presentation
27:3	outside	PCBs	22:22	20:17
obviously	11:13 15:8,22	11:25 14:2,16,21	place	presented
4:5	16:20	17:13,22 18:9	22:3 25:6 31:11	1:13 18:13,18
occur	overall	19:6,12 22:10	places	22:13 27:8
20:25	8:5	PE	12:17	presenter
off-site	overgrown	2:5 10:4	plain	4:23
11:19 22:17	6:21	PE-15	23:21	pretty

Page 38

15:16	4:17 5:2 26:19	ramp-up	release	5:4 29:7 31:6,22
prevent	protect	7:10	14:12 15:25	reporting
27:4,24	12:9,15 19:18,23	Ravenna	17:16	31:16
prevents	19:25 26:15	1:10,11,23 3:6	releases	reports
28:1	protective	6:4 28:21	15:14 16:23	14:6,15,19
previous	12:12,20	reasons	17:20	representatives
10:12,18 11:2	provide	12:21	remain	4:17
12:11 22:2	18:14	received	16:18	representing
25:1	provided	3:20	remaining	4:7,8
previously	17:25 25:23	receives	6:17 25:16	required
24:6	public	17:2	remains	12:6,8,14,19
PRG	1:17 3:3,13,14	Receptor	6:19	17:12 18:16
24:9	3:15,20,25	12:13 26:12 27:5	remark	19:11,17,22,25
primer	28:20 31:6,22	27:25	4:14	22:7 26:6,15
7:19	public's	receptors	remedial	27:11
primers	5:25	18:17	5:21 8:17,18	requiring
7:8,11	pulled	recommendati	10:9,15 12:1	12:11
principle	11:15	9:14	17:25 18:12	Resident
22:8,10	purchased	recommendati	19:8 20:7 22:3	12:13 26:12 27:5
prior	13:21	4:19 17:10 25:20	22:15,15 25:21	27:24
14:17		recommended	26:3 27:3	Residential
proactive	Q	10:7 17:21,24	remediation	13:5 20:9
16:4	qualified	24:2 25:7	12:12 18:15	residual
proceedings	31:6	recommending	remedies	28:1,3
5:5 31:7,9,10	Quality	5:24 13:4 20:8	26:19,22	resources
process	24:20 25:4	record	remedy	12:15,17 18:21
5:25 7:18	quantities	3:23,23 5:5 29:7	3:22	19:23 22:12
produced	7:11 14:8 21:24	records	removal	26:16
7:4,8,12 31:8	quarter	7:20,22 17:9	9:19 22:5,7,14	respect
production	20:22 23:18	21:23	22:17 23:3,13	27:16
7:15 8:22 9:25	questions	reduced	23:24 24:1,22	response
10:5	3:18 6:1 28:15	31:7	removed	27:9
products	29:5,11,16	Reed	6:18 7:14,15	responsible
14:2 17:13	quite	28:21	9:18,22 15:12	3:16
professional	20:16	refreshments	16:6 22:20	responsiveness
4:24	quotations	4:6 29:23	23:1 24:15	3:24
Program	29:12	regarding	removing	restoration
13:1 20:5 27:2	quotient	5:1	23:4	25:6
propellants	19:20	Region	replaced	resulted
11:24 19:6	R	24:9	14:18	23:24
proper	$\frac{1}{R}$	Regional	report	results
23:5	31:1	24:20 25:4	9:14 10:7 14:10	20:6 23:25 24:4
properly	rain	regulated	17:10 18:18	Review
17:18	24:14,16	14:1	22:6 25:9,22	17:9
proposed	rainfall	relative	25:25 26:17	reviewed
1:3 3:4,16,18,21	21:3	31:13	reporter	4:17

reviews	16:2	25:18	single	solicit
28:11	samples	seeded	15:17	29:1
RI	8:20,21,25 9:3	25:17	site	sorry
11:4 13:2 15:23	9:24 10:2,11	segmented	5:19 15:25 17:3	11:9,17 14:7
17:9,21,24	10:16,19,22,25	15:5 23:17	23:1,12 25:7	19:1 21:10
right	11:2,12,16,17	select	25:21 26:4	South
20:18 23:7	11:18,19,20,21	18:11	28:22	28:20
risk	14:20 16:7	separate	sites	southeast
9:12,14 12:9,16	17:5 18:3,5,8	5:15 13:9	3:6 4:9,18 5:3	17:2
18:13,14,19	18:11,25 19:1	serve	5:15,18,22	southern
19:18,19,21	19:2,2,3,15	3:11	14:24	23:12
26:9,14,23	23:14,16,18,20	serves	six	southwest
27:6	24:3,4,11 26:1	3:14	7:6 9:6	16:12
risks	26:1,2,2,3	serviced	size	southwestern
18:16 25:9	sampling	13:11,13,17	6:16 20:22	6:9
road	8:21 12:6 18:4	set	slab	specified
1:8,22 3:9 4:12	19:11 24:13	31:18	8:15	31:11
5:17 6:10,19	26:5	sewer	slabs	spill
13:16 20:13,15	Sand	11:20,21	6:17 9:18	16:5
20:18 22:1	17:1	sewers	slide	spilled
23:8,9,10,11	sanitary	9:4	7:24	16:2
24:25 25:2,15	9:4	shallow	slope	Sprinzl
27:4,21	scrap	27:5,25	21:2 23:23 25:14	2:19 29:19
Robers	21:21	Sharon	slug	square
2:18	screening	2:18	9:7	16:21
roll-off	9:12 10:17	short	small	SS
22:25	seal	7:10	6:21 15:2 16:11	31:3
roofing	31:18	Shortly	20:21 24:12	stabilize
21:19	second	22:16	snow	25:14,15
room	5:13 10:21 13:7	shrubs	6:24 21:3	stakeholders
4:3 6:4	27:14	6:21	soil	24:19
rooms	sediment	side	1:4 3:5 8:15,20	stand
15:5,6	1:4 3:5 9:3	4:3 16:12 17:2	9:21,24 10:2,2	29:6
Rule	11:12,18,21	20:15	10:5,24,25	standing
31:17	13:6 17:5 18:5	siding	11:1,16,17	23:8
runoff	18:22 19:2	21:20	12:20 13:5	start
17:2,4 21:1	20:2,10 23:19	sign	14:12 16:7	4:13 5:18
runs	26:1,22	29:20	18:3,22 19:1,1	started
16:12	see	signed	19:15 20:2,10	4:1
RVAAP	5:9 7:24 8:3,9	29:20	22:7 23:18	State
18:10 24:19	15:9 16:18	significant	24:5 25:10,13	31:3,6,22
	17:3 20:16,17	6:24 7:3 12:17	25:24,25 26:6	steep
<u> </u>	21:4 23:4,8,10	signs	26:11,20 27:5	20:14
safety	23:15,21 24:25	27:24 28:7	27:25 28:6	Stenographic
23:5	25:12,14	Similar	soils	31:5,21
salvage	seed	19:24	12:23	Stenotypy

l .				
31:7	sumps	techniques	transformer	9:22
steps	9:3	18:4	14:18 15:9 16:1	undocumented
4:21	supplement	test	transformers	17:19
storage	10:12	22:22	14:3,11,15,23	unknown
7:21 15:6	supplied	tests	15:7,11 16:3	7:11
stored	13:22	9:7	16:17 17:14	unnamed
15:7,12 16:20	support	thank	transite	17:1
storm	7:4 16:17	4:22 21:12 28:13	21:19 22:7,18,19	Unrestricted
21:1	surface	4.22 21.12 28.13 29:21,22,24	21:19 22:7,18,19	13:5 20:9
Street	1:4 3:5 6:22,24	29:21,22,24 thanks	24:12 25:5,16	USACE
28:21	8:15,20 9:2,24	5:8 11:24	transport	2:17
structures	10:2,5 11:1,5,7	things	12:22 18:24 20:1	use
9:22	11:9,12,16,18	23:25	tree	13:5,25 17:12
studies	13:6 16:9,11	think	20:18	20:10 27:14,22
12:3	17:5 18:2,5	21:8 28:18	trees	27:23
Study	19:1,2 20:10	third	6:21	USEPA
25:8 26:18,20	20:24,25 23:19	16:14	trenches	19:21
27:8,20	25:24,25 26:1	Thomas	9:1	utilizes
substation	26:13,23 27:5	2:5 29:18	tributary	27:23
5:16 6:7,8,11	27:25	three	17:1	.
13:11,13,17	surveillance	4:8,9,18 5:1,3,11	trucks	V
15:1,14,15,16	28:11	5:14 6:1,15 8:9	22:25	variety
15:17 16:9,14	suspected	10:5,6,14,16	true	21:17
16:15,16,23,25	17:19	10:19 12:3	31:8	various
17:17 18:7	SVOCs	13:8 14:4,24	Tuesday	8:5 9:3 21:22
19:14	10:8 11:25 17:22	19:3,16 22:2	1:18	vegetation
substations	18:9 19:6 22:8	time	turn	24:16
1:5 3:8 4:11	22:10	24:10 28:16	4:14 28:14	Vicki
5:14 13:8,9,24	swale	29:13,25 31:11	turnout	2:15 4:10
14:4 17:8,11	21:1	times	5:10	visible
19:3,12,16	Switch	6:23	Twinsburg	22:18
20:11,20	15:4,18 17:15	Tittle	2:9	VOCs
subsurface	, 	2:3 3:1,10 4:22	two	11:25 19:6
10:2,24,25 11:17	T	28:13 29:22	7:10 11:11 12:20	volts
18:3,25 19:1	Т	tonight	23:25 26:10	13:23
22:23	31:1,1	3:2,11 4:7,23	typically	
summarize	take	5:5	14:16	W
5:21	29:20	tons		wanted
summarized	taken	16:6 22:19	U	17:17,18
12:4	31:7,9,11	total	Uh-hum	War
summary	TAL	10:19 13:23	21:13	7:5
3:24 11:15 18:25	17:22	19:19,20 25:25	ultimately	washed
SUMMIT	tanks	Township	7:13	24:17
	7:21	1:21	undermine	water
31:4	target		24:24	1:4 3:5 4:4 6:22
sump	17:21 18:8 27:6	transcription	underneath	6:25 9:2 11:5,7
11:20,20	17.21 10.0 27.0	31:8,9	unutintatti	11:12,18,20

				<u> </u>
13:6 15:13	X	19	2016	449
16:10,11,24	A	9:2	1:19 31:19	16:6
17:5 18:5 19:2	Y	1940's	2018	
20:10,24,25	year	13:10	31:24	5
21:1 23:20,22	28:25	1941	21	5
25:24 26:1,13	years	7:3	9:25 10:25 11:16	19:2,2
26:23	7:7,10	1945	11:20	50
welcome		7:5	24,000	14:22
3:2 5:9 28:15	Z	1951	13:23	
29:12		7:5	25-27	6
wells	0	1957	15:4	6
9:6	08	7:7,9	28-28	9:3 11:20 23:19
west	8:18	1969	15:19	7
1:6 5:15 6:8	1	7:9	28(D)	7
13:13 15:16		1971	31:17	7 26:1
17:17 20:11,15	1	7:13	29	26:1 7:11
western	13:12 27:7,10	1978	1:19	
13:13,18	1,500 16:1	8:1		30:2
wetland	16:1 10	1990s	3	8
16:25 18:6	1:5 3:7 4:10	14:17,17	3	8
wetlands	5:12 6:7,14 7:2	1993	1:6 5:15 6:7	26:1
16:24	,	13:10 15:12	13:17 16:15,25	85
Wheat	7:13,18,23 8:1	1997	18:7 20:11	11:19
31:5,21	8:3,6,13 9:19	15:25	30	854
WHEREOF	11:13 12:5,18 13:6 15:2	1E-05	20:22 21:11	15:12
31:18	19:24 23:18	27:7	300	8866
wide	24:11		22:19	2:8
20:23	10,000	2	330/405-5802	
wishes	16:21	2	2:10	9
3:17 4:15	10.21 103,300	11:17,18 27:22	36	9
WITNESS	28:12	28:4,10	6:16	18:25 19:1 31:24
31:18	28.12 11	2003	37	9355
wood	10:21	22:3,5,19 24:10	8:20,25	1:22
21:22	10:21 119	2004	4	
worker	11:16	8:13,19 9:16		
23:8	11.10 12	2007	4	
workers	9:1,24 11:17	6:18 8:15 9:20	13:12 22:14	
23:4	13:12	2010	40	
working	13.12 12th	10:10,14 11:4	20:23 21:9	
23:9	31:19	17:8,21	400 21:6 11	
World	14	2012	21:6,11 41	
7:5	8:12,14,19 9:1	17:24		
written	14th	2012/2013	19:1 44087	
29:14	28:25 29:4,14	18:12 19:8 20:6	2:9	
www.rvaap.org	16	2013	2:9 44266	
28:22	25:25	17:25	1:23	
	-5.25		1.23	

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

Photographs

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.







THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENTS

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

No written comments were received during the public notification period.

No oral comments were provided during the public meeting.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.