Final FY2013/2015 Annual Sand Creek Stream Monitoring Within RVAAP-004-R-01 Open Demolition Area #2 MRS For the Rocket Ridge Project Letter Reports

(Ohio EPA ID# 267-000859-089)

October 7, 2015



U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Louisville District 600 Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Place Louisville, KY 40202-2232

Prepared by:



295 S. Water St. #300 Kent, OH 44240





### NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU 111 SOUTH GEORGE MASON DRIVE ARLINGTON VA 22204-1373

October 7, 2015

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency DERR-NEDO Attn: Mr. Drew Kocher 2110 East Aurora Road Twinsburg, OH 44087-1924

Subject: Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant Restoration Program Rocket Ridge (Sand Creek Stream Relocation) Project Summaries for 2013, 2014 and 2015 RVAAP-004-R-01 Open Demolition Area 2 MRS Ohio EPA ID #267-000859-089 Camp Ravenna, Portage/Trumbull Counties, Ohio

Dear Mr. Kocher:

Enclosed for your records are the following Final project summaries for the Sand Creek relocation at the Rocket Ridge site at Camp Ravenna (RVAAP-004-R-01 Open Demolition Area 2 MRS, Ohio EPA ID#267-000859-089):

- Rocket Ridge Project Summary Sand Creek Stream Relocation, Ravenna, Portage County, Ohio, prepared by Davey Resource Group and dated September 6, 2013.
- Rocket Ridge Project Summary Sand Creek Stream Relocation, Year 1 Monitoring, Camp Ravenna Joint Military Training Center, Paris Township, Portage County, Ohio, prepared by Davey Resource Group and dated September 25, 2014.
- Rocket Ridge Project Summary Sand Creek Stream Relocation, Year 3 Monitoring, Camp Ravenna Joint Military Training Center, Paris Township, Portage County, Ohio, prepared by Davey Resource Group and dated September 17, 2015.

These project summaries were required by the Ohio EPA to document the stream relocation project. The project has been completed as required and is considered closed. The enclosed documents are being provided to you for your records and do not require Ohio EPA review.



### NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU 111 SOUTH GEORGE MASON DRIVE ARLINGTON VA 22204-1373

Please contact the undersigned at (703) 607-7955 or <u>Mark.S.Leeper.civ@mail.mil</u> if there are issues or concerns with this submission.

Sincerely,

Mayon

Mark Leeper P.G., MBA RVAAP Restoration Program Manager Army National Guard Directorate

cc: Justin Burke, Ohio EPA, DERR (one [1] electronic copy)
 Eric Cheng, USACE – Louisville (one [1] electronic copy)
 Greg Moore, USACE – Louisville (one [1] electronic copy)
 Katie Tait/Kevin Sedlak, Camp Ravenna (one [1] electronic copy)
 Gail Harris, Vista Science Corp. (two [2] electronic copies, two [2] hard copies)

Enclosures: Two (2) hard copies, one (1) electronic copy of Project Summaries



A Division of The Davey Tree Expert Company

September 17, 2015

Corporate Headquarters

1500 North Mantua Street

P.O. Box 5193	Ms. Colleen Loredo						
Kent, Ohio 44240-5193	Independence Excavating, Inc.						
330.673.5685	5720 Schaaf Road Independence, Ohio 44131						
Toll Free 1.800.828.8312	RE: Rocket Ridge Project Sumn						
Fax 330.673.0860	Monitoring, Camp Ravenna						

Rocket Ridge Project Summary—Sand Creek Stream Relocation, Year 3 Monitoring, Camp Ravenna Joint Military Training Center, Paris Township, Portage County, Ohio

### Dear Ms. Loredo:

The relocation of Sand Creek in the Rocket Ridge area within the former Ravenna Ammunition Plant (RVAAP) occurred in May 2013. The relocation of the stream and re-grading of the adjacent area was implemented by Independence Excavating. Davey Resource Group, a division of The Davey Tree Expert Company, planted and seeded banks of the relocated stream as well as the re-graded area adjacent to, and north of, the relocated stream on May 22, 2013 (Appendix A). As a requirement of Year 3 monitoring for Sand Creek, Davey Resource Group performed stream assessments on July 17 and August 31, 2015 that included a Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI) and a Fish Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI). Photographs showing the status of the restoration and aquatic life can be found in Appendix B.

## MONITORING METHODOLOGY

## <u>QHEI</u>

Post-construction riparian development and in-stream habitat improvements within the relocated segment of Sand Creek were evaluated using the QHEI, as developed by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA). The QHEI protocol provides a quantitative evaluation of the physical characteristics within a stream reach that are important to fish communities. The QHEI protocol designates waterways as Coldwater Habitat (CWH), Exceptional Warmwater Habitat (EWH), Warmwater Habitat (WWH), Modified Warmwater Habitat (MWH), or Limited Resource Water (LRW).

Streams designated as having CWH are capable of supporting native cold water fish. Streams that are designated EWH are waterways with unique assemblages of aquatic life. A stream that is designated as WWH is capable of supporting warm water aquatic organisms. MWH applies to extensively modified habitats that may be capable of supporting warm water aquatic organisms. A designation of LRW for aquatic life use is used for streams with an extremely limited physical habitat.

Ms. Colleen Loredo Project Manager Independence Excavating, Inc. September 17, 2015 Page 3.

The QHEI scoring methodology takes into account six metrics which evaluate channel substrate, channel morphology, in-stream cover, riparian zone, pool/glide and riffle-run quality, and drainage area/gradient characteristics. Scores range from 1 to 100 with higher scores reflecting better quality streams.

## <u>Fish IBI</u>

Sampling methodology followed guidelines provided in the Ohio EPA publication *Biological Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Volume II: User's Manual for Biological Field Assessment of Ohio Surface Waters* (October 30, 1987; updated January 1 1988 and January 13, 2013). The IBI measures the health of a stream based on multiple attributes of the fish population. Scoring is based on deviation from reference conditions in the Erie/Ontario Drift and Lake Plain (EOLP) for this study. Narrative descriptions of fish community condition are correlated with varying levels and types of environmental perturbation. IBI scores range from 0 (lowest) to 60 (highest) with the following narrative descriptions (ranks) for the scoring ranges: No Fish, Very Poor, Poor, Marginally Fair, Fair, Marginally Good, Good, Marginally Exceptional, and Exceptional. One IBI score was determined for the relocated stream.

### SAMPLING RESULTS

## <u>QHEI</u>

The QHEI stream assessment for Sand Creek was conducted by Davey Resource Group biologists on July 17, 2015. The QHEI sampling area included the original channel, the relocated channel, and an additional 50 feet of channel extending west from the relocated channel (as indicated on the map in Appendix A). Davey Resource Group biologists found a diversity of substrate types present within the sampling area with cobble and sand being dominant. In the previous year, gravel and sand had been the dominant substrates. This change in substrate dominance is likely due to heavy water loading during June 2015. Exposed, broken bedrock within the channel provides some high quality reaches of riffle habitat. The original stream channel is still connected to the relocated stream but does not have continual through-flow, thereby functioning as a backwater. This backwater has the potential to develop into an oxbow in the future. The moderate amount of in-stream cover is attributed mostly to the habitat features in the original channel. The relocated reach has some areas of shallows and in-stream cover and root wads continue to develop. The stream benefits from a wide, forested floodplain.

Sand Creek attained a QHEI score of 76 for 2015, compared to a score of 73 for 2014. This high QHEI score meets the scoring requirements to be classified as a WWH stream. However, more time will be required for vegetation and fish communities to develop in order to achieve the full biotic assemblages typical for a stream with this classification. See Appendix C for complete QHEI results.

## <u>Fish IBI</u>

Fish IBI data were collected by Davey Resource Group biologists on July 17 and August 31, 2015. Fish were collected using a Smith-Root<sup>®</sup> LR-24 backpack electrofisher. The stream sampling reach was fished thoroughly for three (3) hours and all captured fish were counted and identified before being released. The sampling reach is shown on the map included in Appendix A.

During the IBI survey, fourteen (14) total fish species were identified within the stream sampling area (Appendix D). The IBI score for 2015 is 38 (Good), compared to 31 for 2014 (Fair). For

Ms. Colleen Loredo Project Manager Independence Excavating, Inc. September 17, 2015 Page 3.

reference, streams that score 45 are ranked as Marginally Exceptional. Table 3 in Appendix E lists the scoring metrics used to calculate the IBI score within the Sand Creek sampling area.

During the Sand Creek fish IBI survey, the majority of the fish found were pioneer and tolerant fish species, with insectivores dominating the remaining fish species. These results reflect the early level of succession in the current fish population. In general, higher percentages of insectivores, top carnivores, and intolerant species trend towards higher IBI scores while higher percentages of omnivores, anomalies (DELTs), and tolerant species trend towards lower IBI scores.

### **Summary of Results**

In this final monitoring year, the relocated stream reach received a QHEI score of 76, which falls within the range of WWH. For the Sand Creek stream to attain such a high score so soon after its construction reflects the excellent quality of restoration efforts implemented. The diversity of substrate types, the creation of riffles, and the connection to the original stream channel has enhanced the habitat potential for fish in this recently relocated stream reach. The moderate amount of current in-stream cover present is predominantly due to the existing cover in the original channel. However, some high-quality areas of shallow water habitat have also been created. The habitat potential of the relocated stream reach is expected to improve as aquatic macrophytes, root mats and root wads, and overhanging vegetation increase.

The IBI score for 2015 is 38 (Good), indicative of WWH headwater streams. It is possible that the fish population has been somewhat negatively affected by the recent restoration, the low amount of high-quality vegetative cover, and the continuing re-establishment of benthic macroinvertebrate populations. With the continued growth of the vegetation and re-establishment of benthic macroinvertebrates and in-stream cover features, it is expected that the quality of the fish population will continue to improve.

It is also possible that heavy amounts of rain experienced in June and low amounts of rain experienced in August during summer 2015 had negative impacts on the assemblage of species prior to each sampling event, even though the required amount of time passed before each IBI fish survey occurred in the field.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide you with these consulting services. In the event you have any questions or need further information, please do not hesitate to call me at 330-673-5685, ext. 8026.

Sincerely,

Shawn W. Bruzda Biologist / Urban Forester Ecological Services Natural Resource Consulting

Appendix A As-built Restoration Map

### Seed Mixes Applied May 22, 2013

### Ohio Prairie Nursery (Woodland Edge (modified) Seed Mix):

Asclepias tuberosa - Butterfly Weed Aster novae-angliae - New England Aster Aster sp. - Aster species Chamaecrista fasciculata - Partridge Pea Echinacea purpurea - Purple Coneflower Elymus virginicus - Virginia Wild Rve Eupatorium purpureum - Sweet Joe Pye Heliopsis helianthoides - Ox Eye Sunflower Hystrix patula - Bottlebrush Grass Monarda fistulosa - Wild Bergamot Ratibida pinnata - Grey-Headed Coneflower Rudbeckia hirta - Black-eyed Susan Rudbeckia triloba - Brown-eyed Susan Solidago sp. - Goldenrod species

### Ohio Prairie Nursery (Ohio Floodplain (modified) Seed Mix):

### Grasses and Grass-like

Carex crinita - Fringed Sedge Carex lurida - Shallow/Lurid Sedge Elymus canadensis - Nodding Wild Rye Elymus virginicus - Virginia Wild Rye Glyceria grandis - Reed Manna Grass Scirpus atrovirens - Dark Green Bulrush Scirpus validus - Great/Soft-stemmed Bulrush

### Forbs

Actinomeris alternifolia - Wingstem Asclepias incarnata - Swamp Milkweed Eupatorium perfoliatum - Common Boneset Lobelia cardinalis - Cardinal Flower Mimulus ringens - Monkey Flower Rudbeckia laciniata - Green-headed Coneflower Verbena hastata - Blue Vervain Veronicastrum virginicum - Culver's Root

Approximate project area (0.6 acre)

- = Sand Creek stream (existing)
- = Relocated stream channel

= Original stream channel is now a floodplain-engaged backwater

= Bank shaping contour

= Approximate IBI reach (425 linear feet)

Approximate QHEI reach (550 linear feet; includes restored channel and backwater)



8

8

8

- 63

8

Sand Creek (original channel)

66

60

8

and Creek

Independence Excavating, Inc. A DiGeronimo Company

# As-built Restoration Map

### **Restoration areas**



Sector area was seeded with a floodplain seed mix and stabilized with erosion control blanket (0.16 acre). After seeding and blanket installation, this area was planted with 110, 3-gallon shrubs, approximately 4-8 foot on center. Shrubs included Cornus amomum (silky dogwood), Salix discolor (pussy willow), *Salix exigua* (sandbar willow), and *Salix sericea* (silky willow). The toe of the restored channel area was installed with 400 live stakes. Live stake species included native willow species listed above.



Sand Creek

= This re-graded open area was seeded with a woodland edge seed mix (0.30 acre). This area was re-shaped and roughly re-graded. Grading was done to create a flatter mound while keeping soils away from existing trees and wet areas. After shaping, this area was planted with ten 1  $\frac{10}{2}$  2-inch caliper trees. Trees included five Quercus rubra (northern red oak), three Q. bicolor (swamp white oak), and two Tilia americana (American linden). After tree planting, this area was seeded and straw mulch was applied at the appropriate rate.

Plant locations are NOT TO SCALE



NOTE: Seeding rates were 10 lbs. per acre for both the native seed mixes. In addition to the native seed mixes: Lolium multiflorum (annual rye grass) at a rate of 20 lbs. per acre and/or Secale cereale (winter rye) at rate of 1-bushel (56 lbs.) per acre was broadcast over all the restored areas prior to mulching.

As noted on the September 1, 2011 site visit, the existing seed bank is very viable as evidenced by the current vegetation regeneration observed. Herbaceous plants, as well as numerous tree seedlings, were seen. It is anticipated that the viability of the native seed bank will readily colonize the entire restoration area.

Sand Creek Stream Relocation

May 22, July 2 and August 13, 2013



# Appendix B Photographs



Photograph 1 (August 31, 2015). The eastern limit of the relocated stream channel looking upstream toward the western limit.



Photograph 2 (August 31, 2015). The western limit of the relocated stream channel looking downstream toward the eastern limit.



Photograph 3 (August 31, 2015). Upstream view of the original stream channel which has now become a functional backwater.



Photograph 4 (August 31, 2015). The bluntnose minnow (*Pimephales notatus*) was the most common fish species captured during the 2015 IBI fish survey. Of the 1,043 total fish captured, 437 (41.8%) were bluntnose minnows.



Chie	PΛ	Qualitative Hal and Use Asses	bitat Evaluation Sisment Field Si	n Index heet	QHI	El Score:	76
Stream/Location	Sand Creek - R	ocket Ridge, Ravenna Arsen	al	RM:	15	Date: 7/17/2015	
STORET#:		Scorers Ful	Name & Affiliation:	Brandon Beck	- Davey Resource	Group offic	e verified
River Code:		(NAD 83 decimal*)		41.1954, -81.	0929	h	cation
1] SUBSTRATE	estimate % or n	ote every type present		Cł	neck ONE (Or 2 &	average)	
BEST TYP	PES POOL 3S [10] 	RIFFLE     OTHER TYP       HARDPAN [4       X     DETRITUS [2]       X     MUCK [2]       X     SILT [2]       X     ARTIFICIAL       X     Score nature       4 or more [2]     sludge from       3 or less [0]	ES POOL RIFFLE A] X X X X (0] Al substrates; ignore point-sources)	ORIGIN LIMESTONE   OUTWASH [1 WETLANDS [ HARDPAN [0] SANDSTONE RIP/RAP [0] LACUSTRINE SHALE [-1] COAL FINES	[1] ] SILT [0] ✓ [0] EMBEDDET [0] NESS [-2]	QUALITY HEAVY [-2] MODERATE [-1] NORMAL [0] FREE [1] EXTENSIVE [-2] MODERATE [-1] NORMAL [0] NONE [1]	Substrate 15.5 Maximum 20
2] INSTREAM C quality in mod well develope 1 UNDERCUT 1 OVERHANC 1 SHALLOWS	OVER Indicate pre 2-Moderate lerate or greater amo d rootwad in deep/fa F BANKS [1] GING VEGETATIO & (IN SLOW WAT	esence 0 to 3: <b>0</b> -Absent; <b>1</b> -Very small e amounts, but not of highest quality unts (e.g., very large boulders in de st water, or deep, well-defined, func <b>0</b> POOLS >700 DN [1] <b>1</b> ROOTWADS ER) [1] <b>1</b> BOULDERS	Il amounts or if more con or in small amounts of hi ep or fast water, large dia tional pools. CM [2] 2 [1] 1 1	nmon of marginal quality ighest quality; 3-Highest ameter log that is stable, OXBOWS/BACKW AQUATIC MACRC LOGS or WOODY	ATERS [1] DPHYTES[1] DEBRIS [1]	AMOUNT Check ONE (Or 2 & Average) EXTENSIVE >75% [11] MODERATE 25-75% [7] SPARSE 5-<25% [3] NEARLY ABSENT <5% [7]	1
Comments	5[1]					Cov Maximu	<i>"</i> 15
3] CHANNEL MC SINUOSIT ☐ HIGH [4] ☑ MODERATE ☐ LOW [2]	DRPHOLOGY C Y E [3]	Check ONE in each category (C DEVELOPMENT EXCELLENT [7] VON GOOD [5] REC FAIR [3] REC	Dr 2 & average ) CHANNELIZATI E [6] OVERED [4] OVERING [3]	ON	STABILITY HIGH [3] MODERATE [2 LOW [1]	]	
NONE [1]      Comments		POOR [1] REC	ENT OR NO RECOV	EREY [1]		<b>Chann</b> Maximu 2	<sup>#</sup> 0 16
4] BANK EROSI         River right looking         L R EROSION         ✓         ✓         NONE / LIT         MODERATE         HEAVY / SE	ON AND RIPAF ng downstream TLE [3] E [2] EVERE [1]	RIAN ZONE       Check ONE in each         L       R       RIPARIAN WIDTH         ✓       ✓       WIDE > 50 M [4]         MODERATE 10-50m       MODERATE 10-50m         NARROW 5-10m [2]       NARROW 5-10m [2]         VERY NARROW <5n	ach category for EAC         I       L         [3]       I         [1]       I	H BANK (Or 2 per b FLOOD PLAIN ( Forest, Swamp [3] Shrub or Old Field Fenced Pasture [1 Residential, Park, Open Pasture, Ro	ank & average) QUALITY L R [2] ] New Field [1] wcrop [0]	Conservation Tillage [1] URBAN or INDUSTRIAL   Mining/Construction [0] Indicate predominant land us past 100m riparian.	0] e(s)
Comments						<b>Riparia</b> Maximu	
5] POOL / GLIDE MAXIMUM DE Check ONE (0//L ○ > 1m [6] ○ 0.7-<1m [4] ○ 0.4-<0.7m [2 ○ 0.2m-<0.4m	E AND RIFFLE / PTH Y!) Che ☐ POOL 2] ☐ POOL [1]	RUN QUALITY         CHANNEL WIDTH         ck ONE (0r 2 & average)         WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [2]         WIDTH = RIFFLE WIDTH [1]         WIDTH < RIFFLE WIDTH [0]	CUF	Check ALL that apply Check ALL that apply TIAL [-1] ✓ SLOW ST [1] INTEF INTEF TE [1] EDDII	/ [1] RSTITIAL [-1] RMITTENT [-2] ES [1]		<u> </u>
<pre> &lt; 0.2m [0]  Comments</pre>			Indicate	for reach - pools ar	nd riffles	Poc Curren	<sup>//</sup> 6
Indicate for fu of riffle-obliga RIFFLE BEST AREA BEST AREA BEST AREA	Inctional riffles Interspecies: DEPTH AS > 10CM [2] AS 5-10 CM [1] AS < 5 CM [metric=0]	; Best areas must be large RUN DEPTH MAXIMUM > 50CM [2] MAXIMUM < 50CM [1]	<ul> <li>enough to support of the support of t</li></ul>	ort a population average) N SUBSTRATE obble, Boulder) [2] e.g., Large Gravel) [1 Fine Gravel, Sand) [	<b>RIFFLE / R</b>	Maximum 1 NO RIFFLE [m] UN EMBEDDEDNESS NONE [2] LOW [1] MODERATE [0] EXTENSIVE [-1] RiffI RL	
						Maximum	S.C 8
6] GRADIENT ( DRAINAGE AR	14 ft/mi) R <b>EA</b> 3.74 mi <sup>2</sup> )		%POOL: %RUN:	30 %GLIDE	E:	<b>Gradie</b> Maximum 1	<sup>at</sup> 8
EPA 4520							6/15/11

#### Stream & Location: 0

METHOD	SECCHI DEPTH	-	Comment RE: Reach consistency/ Is reach typical of stream?, Recreation/ Observed - Inferred, Other/ Sampling observations, Concerns, Access directions.
WADE L. LINE OTHER	1st ខ្លួ 2nd	_cm cm	
DISTANCE 0.5 Km 0.2 Km 0.15 Km 0.12 Km OTHER	CANOPY           >85%-OPEN           55%-<85%           30%-<55%           10%-<30%           <10%-CLOSED		
meters			Consider maintenance status and basin issues. Write something to aide understanding of overall QHEI score.

Stream Drawing:



## Appendix D Comprehensive Species Lists for IBI Data

Table 1. First IBI Dataset								
River Code: N/A	River Code: N/A Stream: Sand C		Drainage Area:					
River Mile: 15	Gradient: 14 ft	/mile		$3.7 \text{ mi}^2$	Sample Date: 07-17-2015			
Ecoregion: EOLP	Sampling Area: S	Stream	No. o	of Passes: 1	Sam	Sampler Type: F		
Basin: Mahoning	Relocation A	rea	Dist. F	Fished: 425 ft				
Species Na	ime	IBI Group	Feed Guild	Breed Guild	Pollution Tolerance	# of Fish	DELT	
bluntnose minnow (Pimeph	ales notatus)	Ν	0	С	Т	151	0	
central stoneroller (Campos	stoma anomalum)	Ν	Н	Ν	-	11	0	
creek chub (Semotilus atron	naculatus)	Ν	G	Ν	Т	15	0	
fantail darter (Etheostoma f	labellare)	D	Ι	С	-	3	0	
grass pickerel (Esox americanus)		-	Р	М	Р	2	0	
johnny darter (Etheostoma nigrum)		D	Ι	С	-	36	0	
northern hog sucker (Hyper	ntelium nigricans)	R	Ι	S	М	14	0	
rainbow darter (Etheostome	a caeruleum)	D	Ι	S	М	5	0	
silverjaw minnow (Notropi	s buccatus)	Ν	Ι	М	-	11	0	
southern redbelly dace (Chrosomus ervthrogaster)		Ν	Н	S	-	4	0	
suckermouth minnow (Phenacobius mirabilis)		Ν	Ι	S	-	18	0	
western blacknose dace (Rhinichthys obtusus)		Ν	G	S	Т	31	0	
white sucker (Catostomus commersonii)		W	0	S	Т	3	0	
Total Fish Captured		-	-	-	-	304	0	
Total Fish Included in IBI S	Scoring	-	-	-	-	304	0	

### Table 1. First IBI Dataset

## Table 2. Second IBI Dataset

River Code: N/A	Stream: Sand C	lreek	Drai	nage Area:			
River Mile: 15	Gradient: 14 ft/	mile	$3.7 \text{ mi}^2$		Sample Date: 08-31-2015		
Ecoregion: EOLP	Sampling Area: S	Stream	No.	of Passes: 1	Sampler Type: F		
Basin: Mahoning	Relocation A	rea	Dist. F	Fished: 425 ft			
Species Na	me	IBI Group	Feed Guild	Breed Guild	Pollution Tolerance	# of Fish	DELT
bluntnose minnow (Pimephe	ales notatus)	Ν	0	С	Т	286	0
central stoneroller (Campos	toma anomalum)	Ν	Н	Ν	-	32	0
creek chub (Semotilus atron	ıaculatus)	Ν	G	Ν	Т	9	0
green sunfish (Lepomis cyanellus)		S	Ι	С	Т	1	
johnny darter (Etheostoma nigrum)		D	Ι	С	-	124	0
northern hog sucker (Hypentelium nigricans)		R	Ι	S	Μ	14	0
silverjaw minnow (Notropis	buccatus)	Ν	Ι	М	-	10	0
southern redbelly dace (Chrosomus ervthrogaster)		Ν	Н	S	-	35	0
suckermouth minnow (Phenacobius mirabilis)		Ν	Ι	S	-	32	0
western blacknose dace (Rhinichthys obtusus)		Ν	G	S	Т	195	0
white sucker (Catostomus commersonii)		W	0	S	Т	1	0
Total Fish Captured		-	-	-	-	739	0
Total Fish Included in IBI S	coring	-	-	-	-	739	0

# Appendix E IBI Scoring

<b>N</b>	Score					
Metric	7-17-2015		8-31-2015			
	Value	Score	Value	Score		
Total Number of Species (minus exotics and hybrids)	13	5	11	5		
Number of Darter/Sculpin Species	3	5	1	1		
Number of Headwater Species	3	3	2	3		
Number of Minnow Species	7	5	7	5		
Number of Sensitive Species	2	3	1	1		
% Tolerant Species	66	1	67	1		
% Omnivores	51	1	39	1		
% Insectivores	29	1	24	3		
% Pioneering Species	70	3	58	5		
Number of Individuals Per 300 meters	702	3	1,705	1		
Number of Simple Lithophiles Species	6	5	5	5		
% DELT Anomalies	0	5	0	5		
Individual IBI Scores	-	40	-	36		
Average IBI Score		38	3			

## Table 3. IBI Results



A Division of The Davey Tree Expert Company

September 25, 2014

Corporate Headquarters

1500 North Mantua Street

P.O. Box 5193	Ms. Colleen Loredo					
	Project Manager					
Kent, Ohio 44240-5193	Independence Excavating, Inc.					
330.673.5685	5720 Schaaf Road					
	Independence, Ohio 44131					
Toll Free 1.800.828.8312	RE: Rocket Ridge Project Summarv					
Fax 330.673.0860	Monitoring, Camp Ravenna Join					
	Deuteres Country Ohio					

Rocket Ridge Project Summary—Sand Creek Stream Relocation, Year 1 Monitoring, Camp Ravenna Joint Military Training Center, Paris Township, Portage County, Ohio

### Dear Ms. Loredo:

The relocation of Sand Creek in the Rocket Ridge area within the Former Ravenna Ammunition Plant (RVAAP) occurred in May 2013. The relocation of the stream and re-grading of the adjacent area was implemented by Independence Excavating. Davey Resource Group, a division of The Davey Tree Expert Company, planted and seeded banks of the relocated stream as well as the re-graded area adjacent to, and north of, the relocated stream on May 22, 2013 (Appendix A). As a requirement of Year 1 monitoring for Sand Creek, Davey Resource Group performed stream assessments on July 2 and August 19, 2014 that included a Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI) and a Fish Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI). Photographs showing the status of the restoration and aquatic life can be found in Appendix B.

## MONITORING METHODOLOGY

## QHEI

Post-construction riparian development and in-stream habitat improvements within the relocated segment of Sand Creek were evaluated using the QHEI, as developed by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA). The QHEI protocol provides a quantitative evaluation of the physical characteristics within a stream reach that are important to fish communities. The QHEI protocol designates waterways as Coldwater Habitat (CWH), Exceptional Warmwater Habitat (EWH), Warmwater Habitat (WWH), Modified Warmwater Habitat (MWH) or Limited Resource Water (LRW).

Streams designated as having CWH are capable of supporting native cold water fish. Streams that are designated EWH are waterways with unique assemblages of aquatic life. A stream that is designated as WWH is capable of supporting warm water aquatic organisms. MWH applies to extensively modified habitats that may be capable of supporting warm water aquatic organisms. A designation of LRW for aquatic life use is used for streams with an extremely limited physical habitat.

Ms. Colleen Loredo Project Manager Independence Excavating, Inc. September 25, 2014 Page 2.

The QHEI scoring methodology takes into account six metrics which evaluate channel substrate, channel morphology, in-stream cover, riparian zone, pool/glide and riffle-run quality, and drainage area/gradient characteristics. Scores range from 1 to 100 with higher scores reflecting better quality streams.

The QHEI sampling area included the original channel, the relocated channel, and an additional 50 feet of channel extending west from the relocated channel (as indicated on the map in Appendix A). Davey Resource Group biologists found a diversity of substrate types present within the sampling area with gravel and sand being dominant. Exposed, broken bedrock within the channel provides some high quality reaches of riffle habitat. The original stream channel is still connected to the relocated stream but does not have through flow, thereby functioning as an oxbow. The moderate amount of in-stream cover is attributed mostly to the habitat features in the original channel. The relocated reach has some areas of shallows in slow water but was restored too recently to benefit from vegetative cover.

This stream attained a QHEI score of 73 and was calculated on July 2, 2014. This high QHEI score meets the scoring requirements to be classified as a WWH stream. However, more time will be required for plant and fish communities to develop in order to achieve the full biotic assemblages typical for a stream with this classification. See Appendix C for complete QHEI results.

### FISH IBI

Sampling methodology followed guidelines provided in the Ohio EPA publication *Biological Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Life: Volume II: Users Manual for Biological Field Assessment of Ohio Surface Waters* (October 30, 1987; updated January 1 1988 and January 13, 2013). The IBI measures the health of a stream based on multiple attributes of the fish population. Scoring is based on deviation from reference conditions and is classified in the Erie/Ontario Drift and Lake Plain as Fair, Marginally Good, or Good. One IBI score was determined for the relocated stream.

Fish survey data were collected by Davey Resource Group biologists on July 2 and August 19, 2014. Fish were collected using a specialized shore-based electrofisher with a long-line setup. All fish were counted and identified before being released. The sampling reach is shown on the map included in Appendix A.

During the IBI survey, 10 fish species were identified within the stream sampling area (Appendix D). The IBI score for 2014 was 31, which is ranked as Fair and indicative of MWH headwater streams in the Erie/Ontario Drift and Lake Plain. For reference, streams that score 40 achieve a ranking of Good. Table 3 in Appendix E lists the scoring metrics used to calculate the IBI score within the Sand Creek sampling area.

A high percentage of pioneer fish species and a low percentage of insectivore fish species were found during this biological survey, which reflects the early level of succession in the fish population. It is probable that the fish population has been negatively affected by the recent restoration and the lack of high quality vegetative cover and re-establishment of benthic macroinvertebrate populations. With the growth of the vegetation and the stabilization of the soils, it is expected that the fish population will improve.

It is also probable that the heavy amounts of rain experienced during summer 2014 had negative impacts on the assemblage of species prior to each sampling event, even though the correct amount of time was permitted to pass before each fish survey occurred in the field.

Ms. Colleen Loredo Project Manager Independence Excavating, Inc. September 25, 2014 Page 3.

### SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The relocated stream reach received a QHEI score of 73, which falls within the range of WWH. For this stream to attain such a high classification so soon after its construction reflects the excellent quality of restoration efforts implemented. The diversity of substrate types, the creation of riffles, and the connection to the original stream channel has enhanced the habitat potential for fish in this newly relocated stream reach. The moderate amount of in-stream cover currently present is predominantly due to the existing cover in the original channel. However, some high quality areas of shallow water habitat have also been created. The habitat potential of the relocated stream reach is expected to improve as aquatic macrophytes, root mats, and overhanging vegetation increase.

The fish IBI score was in the middle of the Fair range. It is expected that the IBI score will improve as the fish population of this stream reach continues to develop.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide you with these consulting services. In the event you have any questions or need further information, please do not hesitate to call me at 330-673-5685, ext. 8026.

Sincerely,

Shawn W. Bruzda Biologist / Urban Forester Ecological Services Natural Resource Consulting

Appendix A As-built Restoration Map

### Seed mixes applied May 22, 2013

### **Ohio Prairie Nursery (Woodland Edge** (modified) Seed Mix)

Elymus virginicus - Virginia Wild Rve Hystrix patula - Bottlebrush Grass Chamaecrista fasciculata - Partridge Pea Heliopsis helianthoides - Ox Eye Sunflower Echinacea purpurea - Purple Coneflower Ratibida pinnata - Grey-Headed Coneflower Rudbeckia hirta - Black-eved Susan Rudbeckia triloba - Brown-eyed Susan Asclepias tuberosa - Butterfly Weed Solidago sp - Goldenrod species Monarda fistulosa - Wild Bergamot Eupatorium purpureum - Sweet Joe Pye Aster novae-angliae - New England Aster Aster sp - Aster species

### Ohio Prairie Nursery (Ohio Floodplain (modified) Seed Mix) Grasses and grass-like

Elymus canadensis-Nodding Wild Rye Elymus virginicus-Virginia Wild Rye Carex crinita-Fringed Sedge Carex lurida-Shallow/Lurid Sedge Glyceria grandis-Reed Manna Grass Scirpus atrovirens-Dark Green Bulrush Scirpus validus-Great/Soft-stemmed Bulrush Forbs

Actinomeris alternifolia-Wingstem Asclepias incarnata-Swamp Milkweed Eupatorium perfoliatum-Common Boneset Lobelia Cardinalis-Cardinal Flower Mimulus ringens-Monkey Flower Rudbeckia laciniata-Green-headed Coneflower Verbena hastata-Blue Vervain Veronicastrum virginicum - Culver's Root

Sand Creek Sand Creek (original channel)

= Approximate project area (0.6 acre)

= Sand Creek stream (existing)

= Relocated stream channel

= Original stream channel is now a floodplain engaged ox-bow

= Bank shaping contour

= Approximate IBI reach (425 linear feet)

Approximate QHEI reach (550 linear feet; includes restored channel and ox-bow)



Independence Excavating, Inc. A DiGeronimo Company

# As-built Restoration Map

### **Restoration areas**



Sector area was seeded with a floodplain seed mix and stabilized with erosion control blanket (0.16 acre). After seeding and blanket installation, this area was planted with 110, 3-gallon shrubs, approximately 4-8 foot on center. Shrubs included Cornus amomum (silky dogwood), Salix discolor (pussy willow), Salix exigua (sandbar willow), and Salix sericea (silky willow). The toe of the restored channel area was installed with 400 live stakes. Live stakes species included native willow species listed above.



Sand Creek Stream Relocation

May 22, July 2, and August 13, 2013



# Appendix B Photographs



Photograph 1 (July 2, 2014). Eastern edge of restoration area looking at downstream habitat.



Photograph 2 (July 2, 2014). Eastern edge of restoration area looking upstream toward western edge.



Photograph 3 (July 2, 2014). The original stream channel, now an oxbow, before redirection.



Photograph 4 (July 2, 2014). Western blacknose dace (*Rhinichthys obtusus*), a fish species indicative of good water quality.



<b>OhioEPA</b>	Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index and Use Assessment Field Sheet	QHEI Score:	73.0
Stream/Location Sand Cree	ek - Rocket Ridge, Camp Ravenna RM:	15 Date: 7/2/2014	
River Code:	Scorers Full Name & Affiliation: Adam Benshoff - Dave	ey Resource Group 41,1954, -81,0929	
11 SUBSTRATE Check ONLY Two	substrate TYPE BOXES		
estimate % or no BEST TYPES POOL R BLDR /SLABS [10] BOULDER [9] X GRAVEL [7] X GRAVEL [7] X GRAVEL [7] X BEDROCK [5] X NUMBER OF BEST TYPES 4 3 Comments	te every type present       Check         IFFLE       OTHER 1       POOL       RIFFLE       ORIGIN         X       DETRITUS [3]       X       X       ILIMESTONE [         X       DETRITUS [3]       X       X       TILLS [1]         X       SILT [2]       X       X       HARDPAN [0]         X       SILT [2]       X       X       HARDPAN [0]         X       ARTIFICIAL [0]       ✓ SANDSTONE       RIP/RAP [0]         Or more [2]       sludge from point-sources)       SHALE [-1]       COAL FINES [	CONE (Or 2 & average) QUALITY 1 HEAVY [-2] MODERATE [-1] SILT NORMAL [0] FREE [1] [0] MODERATE [-1] [0] NORMAL [0] NORMAL [0] NONE [1] -2]	Substrate 15.5 Maximum
2] INSTREAM COVER Indicate pres	sence 0 to 3: 0-Absent; 1-Very small amounts or if more common of marginal quality		
2-Moderate quality in moderate or greater amou well developed rootwad in deep/fas 0 UNDERCUT BANKS [1] 1 OVERHANGING VEGETATIOI 2 SHALLOWS (IN SLOW WATE 0 POOTMATS [1]	amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality; <b>3</b> -Highest unts (e.g., very large boulders in deep or fast water, large diameter log that is stable it water, or deep, well-defined, functional pools. <b>0</b> POOLS >70CM [2] <b>3</b> OXBOWS/BACKWAT N [1] <b>1</b> ROOTWADS [1] <b>1</b> AQUATIC MACROPH R) [1] <b>0</b> BOULDERS [1] <b>0</b> LOGS or WOODY DE	AMOUNT Check ONE (Or 2 & Aver EXTENSIVE >75% [1 ERS [1]  MODERATE 25-75% YTES[1]  SPARSE 5-<25% [3] BRIS [1]  NEARLY ABSENT <5	age) 1] [7] % [1] <b>Cover</b>
Comments		Ma	kimum <b>12</b>
3j         CHANNEL MORPHOLOGY         CI           SINUOSITY         DI           HIGH [4]         E>           ✓ MODERATE [3]         ✓ GG           LOW [2]         FA           NONE [1]         PO	Acck ONE in each category (0r 2 & average)         EVELOPMENT       CHANNELIZATION         CCELLENT [7]       V NONE [6]         DOD [5]       RECOVERED [4]         VIR [3]       RECOVERING [3]         DOR [1]       RECENT OR NO RECOVEREY [1]	STABILITY HIGH [3] MODERATE [2] LOW [1]	Channel
Comments		Max	kimum <b>16</b> 20
4] BANK EROSION AND RIPAR         River right looking downstream         L       R         EROSION         ✓       NONE / LITTLE [3]         □       MODERATE [2]         □       HEAVY / SEVERE [1]	Image: Arrow Concernent of the conc	ALI' L R Conservation Tillage   URBAN or INDUSTR Mining/Construction [4 / Field [1] Indicate predominant la pp [0] past 100m riparian.	1] AL [0] D] nd use(s) <b></b>
Comments		Ma	ximum 10
5] POOL / GLIDE AND RIFFLE / MAXIMUM DEPTH Check ONE (ONL Y!)         CI           > 1m [6]         ✓ POOL           0.7-<1m [4]	RUN QUALITY         HANNEL WIDTH       CURRENT VELOCITY         k ONE (Or 2 & average)       Check ALL that apply         WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [2]       TORRENTIAL [-1]       SLOW         WIDTH = RIFFLE WIDTH [1]       VERY FAST [1]       INTERS         WIDTH < RIFFLE WIDTH [0]	[1] STITIAL [-1] MITTENT [-2]	ential act ntact on back) Pool/ Current
<pre>0.2m [0]</pre>			6
Indicate for functional riffles; of riffle-obligate species: RIFFLE DEPTH BEST AREAS > 10CM [2] BEST AREAS 5-10 CM [1] BEST AREAS < 5 CM [metric=0] Comments 4 cm	Best areas must be large enough to support a population Check ONE (Or 2 & average)         RUN DEPTH       RIFFLE / RUN SUBSTRATE         MAXIMUM > 50CM [2]       ✓ STABLE (e.g., Cobble, Boulder) [2]         MAXIMUM < 50CM [1]	Maxim NO RIFFL RIFFLE / RUN EMBEDDE NONE [2] LOW [1] MODERATE [0] EXTENSIVE [-1] Maxin	UIM 12 E [metric=0] EDNESS Riffle/ Run 5.5
6] GRADIENT			
14 ft/mi ↓ VE DRAINAGE AREA ↓ Ma 3.74 sq mi ✓ HI	Serv Low - Low [2-4]         %POOL:         25         %GLIDE:           DDERATE [6-10]         %RUN:         20         %RIFFLE:	0 Gra Maxim	um 10 8

#### Stream & Location: 0



### Stream Drawing:



# Appendix D Comprehensive Species Lists for IBI Data

Table 1. First IBI Dataset								
River Code: N/A Stream: Sand C		Creek	Drainage Area:					
River Mile: 15	Gradient: 14 ft	/mile		$3.7 \text{ mi}^2$	Sample D	Date: 07-02	2-2014	
Ecoregion: EOLP	Sampling Area: M	itigation	No.	of Passes: 1	Sam	pler Type:	: F	
Basin: Mahoning	Area- Downstr	ream	Dist. I	Fished: 520 ft				
Species N	Vame	IBI Group	Feed Guild	Breed Guild	Pollution Tolerance	# of Fish	DELT	
bluntnose minnow (Pimep	ohales promelas)	Ν	0	С	Т	121	0	
creek chub (Semotilus atr	creek chub (Semotilus atromaculatus)		G	Ν	Т	13	0	
fantail darter (Etheostoma	fantail darter (Etheostoma flabellare)		Ι	С	-	32	0	
johnny darter (Etheostom	a nigrum)	D	Ι	С	-	58	0	
silverjaw minnow (Notrop	ois buccatus)	Ν	Ι	М	-	1	0	
southern redbelly dace ( <i>Chrosomus</i> erythrogaster)		Ν	Н	S	-	7	0	
western blacknose dace ( <i>Rhinichthys obtusus</i> )		Ν	G	S	Т	43	0	
Total Fish Captured		-	-	-	-	275	0	
Total Fish Included in IB	Scoring	-	-	-	-	275	0	

### Table 1 First IBI Dataset

## Table 2. Second IBI Dataset

River Code: N/A Stream: Sand G		Creek	Drainage Area: $2.7 \text{ m}^2$		Comula F	No.400 10	0 2014
Ecoregion: EOLP	Sampling Area: M	/mile litigation	3.7 mi No. of Passes: 1		Sample Date: 08-19-2014 Sampler Type: F		9-2014 : F
Basin: Mahoning	Area- Downstr	ream	Dist. I	Fished: 520 ft	~		
Species Name		IBI Group	Feed Guild	Breed Guild	Pollution Tolerance	# of Fish	DELT
bluntnose minnow (Pimep	ohales promelas)	Ν	0	С	Т	59	0
central mudminnow (Umb	bra limi)	-	Ι	С	Т	1	0
creek chub (Semotilus atromaculatus)		Ν	G	Ν	Т	31	0
fantail darter (Etheostoma	(flabellare)	D	Ι	С	-	17	0
johnny darter (Etheostome	a nigrum)	D	Ι	С	-	27	0
northern hog sucker (Hyp	entelium nigricans)	R	Ι	S	М	5	0
silverjaw minnow (Notrop	ois buccatus)	Ν	Ι	М	-	8	0
western blacknose dace (h	Rhinichthys obtusus)	Ν	G	S	Т	43	0
white sucker (Catostomus commersonii)		W	Ο	S	Т	1	0
Total Fish Captured		-	-	-	-	157	0
Total Fish Included in IBI	Scoring	-	-	-	-	157	0

# Appendix E IBI Scoring

Matria	Score				
Metric	7-2-2	2014	8-19-2014		
	Value	Score	Value	Score	
Total Number of Species (minus exotics and hybrids)	7	3	9	3	
Number of Darter/Sculpin Species	2	3	2	3	
Number of Headwater Species	3	3	2	3	
Number of Minnow Species	4	3	3	3	
Number of Sensitive Species	1	1	1	1	
% Tolerant Species	64	1	86	1	
% Omnivores	44	1	38	1	
% Insectivores	33	5	37	5	
% Pioneering Species	70	1	80	1	
Number of Individuals Per 300 meters	138	3	79	3	
Number of Simple Lithophiles Species	1	1	3	3	
% DELT Anomalies	0	5	0	5	
Individual IBI Scores	-	30	-	32	
Average IBI Score		31			

## Table 3. IBI Results



Corporate Headquarters

1500 North Mantua Street

PO Box 5193

Kent, Ohio 44240-5193

330.673.5685

Toll Free 1.800.828.8312

Fax 330.673.0860

September 6, 2013

Ms. Colleen Loredo Project Manager Independence Excavating, Inc. 5720 Schaaf Road Independence, Ohio 44131

RE: *Rocket Ridge Project Summary*—Sand Creek Stream Relocation, Ravenna Arsenal, Ravenna, Portage County, Ohio

## Dear Ms. Loredo:

The relocation of Sand Creek in the Rocket Ridge area within the Ravenna Arsenal occurred in May 2013. The relocation of the stream and re-grading of the adjacent area was implemented by Independence Excavating. Davey Resource Group, a division of The Davey Tree Expert Company, planted and seeded banks of the relocated stream as well as the re-graded area adjacent to and north of the relocated stream on May 22, 2013. Davey Resource Group performed stream assessments on July 2 and August 13, 2013 which included a Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI) and a Fish Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI).

### PLANTING

The restoration plan for the Sand Creek Stream Relocation involved the stabilization of the stream banks and adjacent area as shown in the Appendix A map. The stream banks were planted with an Ohio Floodplain Seed Mix and the adjacent area to the north was seeded with a Woodland Edge Seed Mix, as shown on the Appendix A map. After seeding, the stream banks were stabilized with erosion control blankets. One hundred and ten 3-gallon shrubs were planted in the stream bank restoration area with an additional 400 live stakes installed at the toe of the stream bank. This density of planting will provide bank stabilization and erosion control for the long term. Ten 1.5- to 2-inch caliper trees were planted in the adjacent area to the north. Photographs of these areas are located in Appendix B.

## MONITORING METHODOLOGY QHEI

Post-construction riparian development and in-stream habitat improvements within the relocated segment of Sand Creek were evaluated using the QHEI, as developed by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The QHEI protocol provides a quantitative evaluation of the physical characteristics within a stream reach that are important to fish communities. The QHEI protocol designates waterways as either Coldwater Habitat (CWH), Exceptional Warmwater Habitat (EWH), Warmwater Habitat (WWH), Modified Warmwater Habitat (MWH) or Limited Resource Water (LRW).

Colleen Loredo Independence Excavating, Inc. September 4, 2013 Page 2

Streams designated as having CWH are capable of supporting native cold water fish. Streams that are designated EWH are waterways with unique assemblages of aquatic life. A stream that is designated as WWH is capable of supporting warm water aquatic organisms. MWH applies to extensively modified habitats that may be capable of supporting warm water aquatic organisms. A designation of LRW for aquatic life use is used for streams with an extremely limited physical habitat. The QHEI scoring methodology takes into account six metrics which evaluate channel substrate, channel morphology, in-stream cover, riparian zone, pool/glide and riffle-run quality, and drainage area/gradient characteristics. Scores range from 1 to 100 with higher scores reflecting better quality streams.

The QHEI sampling area included the original channel, the relocated channel, and an additional fifty feet of channel extending west from the relocated channel (as indicated on the map in Appendix A). Davey Resource Group biologists found a diversity of substrate types present within the sampling area with gravel and sand being dominant. Exposed, broken bedrock within the channel is providing some high quality reaches of riffle habitat. The original stream channel is still connected to the relocated stream but does not have through flow, thereby functioning as an oxbow. The moderate amount of in-stream cover is attributed mostly to the habitat features in the original channel. The relocated reach has some areas of shallows in slow water but is too recently restored to benefit from vegetative cover.

This stream attained a QHEI score of 72.5. This high QHEI score meets the scoring requirements to be classified as a WWH stream. However, it requires more time for plant and fish communities to develop in order to achieve the full biotic assemblages typical for a stream with this classification. See Appendix C for complete QHEI results.

### Fish IBI

Sampling methodology followed guidelines provided in the Ohio EPA *Biological Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Life, Volume II* manual. The IBI measures the health of a stream based on multiple attributes of the fish population. Scoring is based on deviation from reference conditions and is classified in the Erie/Ontario Drift and Lake Plain as Fair, Marginally Good, or Good. One IBI score was determined for the relocated stream.

Survey data were collected by Davey Resource Group biologists on July 2 and August 13, 2013. Fish were collected using a specialized electroshocker long-line setup. All fish were counted and identified before being released. The sampling reach is shown on the map included in Appendix A.

During the IBI survey, a total of 12 fish species were identified within the stream sampling area (Appendix D). The IBI score for 2013 was 39, which is within the range of Marginally Good for headwater streams in the Erie/Ontario Drift and Lake Plain. For reference, streams that score 40 achieve a ranking of Good. Table 3 in Appendix E lists the scoring metrics within the Sand Creek sampling area

A high proportion of pioneer fish species were found during this biological survey, which reflects the early level of succession in the fish population. It is probable that the fish population has been negatively affected by the recentness of the restoration and the lack of vegetative cover. With the growth of the vegetation and the stabilization of the soils, it is expected that the fish population will improve.

Colleen Loredo Independence Excavating, Inc. September 4, 2013 Page 3

### **Summary of Results**

Photographs 1 and 3 (Appendix B) show the planted trees, shrubs, and seedlings that are beginning to establish on the banks of Sand Creek. These plants will provide erosion control and shade along the relocated stream reach and will improve habitat for macroinvertebrates and fish.

The relocated stream reach received a QHEI score of 72.5, which falls within the range of WWH. For this stream to attain such a high classification so recently after its construction reflects the excellent quality of restoration efforts implemented. The diversity of substrate types, the creation of riffles, and the connection to the original stream channel has enhanced the habitat potential for fish in this newly relocated stream reach. The moderate amount of in-stream cover currently present is predominately due to the existing cover in the original channel but some high quality areas of shallow water habitat have been created. The habitat potential of the relocated stream reach is expected to improve as aquatic macrophytes, root mats, and overhanging vegetation increase.

The fish IBI score was at the top of the Marginally Good range, bordering the highest possible range of Good. It is expected that the IBI score will improve as the fish population of this stream reach develops.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide you with these restoration and consulting services. In the event you have any questions or need further information, please do not hesitate to call me at 330-673-5685, ext. 8026.

Sincerely,

Swam

Shawn Bruzda Biologist / Urban Forester Ecological Services Natural Resource Consulting

Appendix A As-built Restoration Map

### Seed mixes applied May 22, 2013

### **Ohio Prairie Nursery (Woodland Edge** (modified) Seed Mix)

Elymus virginicus - Virginia Wild Rve Hystrix patula - Bottlebrush Grass Chamaecrista fasciculata - Partridge Pea Heliopsis helianthoides - Ox Eye Sunflower Echinacea purpurea - Purple Coneflower Ratibida pinnata - Grey-Headed Coneflower Rudbeckia hirta - Black-eved Susan Rudbeckia triloba - Brown-eyed Susan Asclepias tuberosa - Butterfly Weed Solidago sp - Goldenrod species Monarda fistulosa - Wild Bergamot Eupatorium purpureum - Sweet Joe Pye Aster novae-angliae - New England Aster Aster sp - Aster species

### Ohio Prairie Nursery (Ohio Floodplain (modified) Seed Mix) Grasses and grass-like

Elymus canadensis-Nodding Wild Rye Elymus virginicus-Virginia Wild Rye Carex crinita-Fringed Sedge Carex lurida-Shallow/Lurid Sedge Glyceria grandis-Reed Manna Grass Scirpus atrovirens-Dark Green Bulrush Scirpus validus-Great/Soft-stemmed Bulrush Forbs

Actinomeris alternifolia-Wingstem Asclepias incarnata-Swamp Milkweed Eupatorium perfoliatum-Common Boneset Lobelia Cardinalis-Cardinal Flower Mimulus ringens-Monkey Flower Rudbeckia laciniata-Green-headed Coneflower Verbena hastata-Blue Vervain Veronicastrum virginicum - Culver's Root

Sand Creek Sand Creek (original channel)

= Approximate project area (0.6 acre)

= Sand Creek stream (existing)

= Relocated stream channel

= Original stream channel is now a floodplain engaged ox-bow

= Bank shaping contour

= Approximate IBI reach (425 linear feet)

Approximate QHEI reach (550 linear feet; includes restored channel and ox-bow)



Independence Excavating, Inc. A DiGeronimo Company

# As-built Restoration Map

### **Restoration areas**



Sector area was seeded with a floodplain seed mix and stabilized with erosion control blanket (0.16 acre). After seeding and blanket installation, this area was planted with 110, 3-gallon shrubs, approximately 4-8 foot on center. Shrubs included Cornus amomum (silky dogwood), Salix discolor (pussy willow), Salix exigua (sandbar willow), and Salix sericea (silky willow). The toe of the restored channel area was installed with 400 live stakes. Live stakes species included native willow species listed above.



Sand Creek Stream Relocation

May 22, July 2, and August 13, 2013



## Appendix B Photographs



Photograph 1 (August 13, 2013) The open area adjacent to the relocated channel has been seeded and planted with young trees.



Photograph 2 (August 13, 2013) The substrate of the relocated portion of Sand Creek is composed primarily of sand and gravel. Large proportions of bedrock also occur.



Photograph 3 (August 13, 2013) This is a view of the relocated stream channel facing upstream. Good quality riffle habitat can be seen in this photograph.



Photograph 4 (July 2, 2013) This is a view of the relocated stream channel facing downstream. Shrubs and aquatic macrophytes are beginning to establish.



Photograph 5 (July 2, 2013) As can be seen to the right of this photograph, the original channel has been modified so that it does not have through flow, thereby functioning as an oxbow. The relocated channel is to the left.



Photograph 6 (July 2, 2013) The riparian zone around the original stream channel has not been disturbed during the restoration and relocation. The habitat in this reach accounts for most of the in-stream cover in the QHEI assessment.





# **Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index**

	and Use Assessm	ent Field Sheet		QHEI So	core: 12	
Stream/Location Sand Cre	ek – Rocket Ridge, Ravenna Arsenal		RM:	15 Date: 7	//2/2013	
	Scorers Full Name	e & Affiliation:	Ben Schuplin		0.00	<u> </u>
River Code:	<i>STORET #:</i>	Lat/Long.:	41.1954, -81.09	036	Office loca	tion
1] SUBSTRATE Check ONL estimate % BEST TYPES PC BLDR /SLABS [10]	Y Two substrate TYPE BOXES:         6 or note every type present         OL       RIFFLE         OTHER 1       PC         HARDPAN [4]	DOL RIFFLE		IE (Or 2 & average QUALITY	e)	
BOULDER [9]	x         DETRITUS [3]           x         DETRITUS [3]           x         MUCK [2]           x         SILT [2]           x         ARTIFICIAL [0]           x         (Score natural sub           4 or more [2]         sludge from point           3 or less [0]         3	x x x x vstrates; ignore t-sources)	TILLS [1] WETLANDS [0] S HARDPAN [0] SANDSTONE [0] RIP/RAP [0] LACUSTRINE [0] SHALE [-1]	MODERA SILT V NORMAL FREE [1] MODERA MODERA MODERA NORMAL	XTE [-1] [0] IVE [-2] XTE [-1] [0]	Substrate 15.5 Maximum 20
Comments	in the relocated protion of Sand Creek a	and within the old stream	COAL FINES [-2]	s been regraded a	nd functions as an	oxbow
2] INSTREAM COVER Indic 2-Mc quality in moderate or great well developed rootwad in or 0 UNDERCUT BANKS [1] 1 OVERHANGING VEGE 2 SHALLOWS (IN SLOW 0 ROOTMATS [1]	ate presence 0 to 3: 0-Absent; 1-Very small a oderate amounts, but not of highest quality or ter amounts (e.g., very large boulders in deep leep/fast water, or deep, well-defined, function 0 POOLS >70CM [2 TATION [1] 1 ROOTWADS [1] WATER) [1] 0 BOULDERS [1]	mounts or if more common in small amounts of highes or fast water, large diamet nal pools. ] <u>3</u> OXBO <u>1</u> AQUA <u>0</u> LOGS	of marginal quality; t quality; 3-Highest er log that is stable, WS/BACKWATERS TIC MACROPHYTE or WOODY DEBRI	AMOUN Check ONI EXTENSI S [1] / MODERA ES[1] SPARSE S [1] NEARLY	IT E (Or 2 & Average) IVE >75% [11] ATE 25-75% [7] 5-<25% [3] ABSENT <5% [1]	Cover
Comments					Maximum	12
3] CHANNEL MORPHOLO	GY Check ONE in each category (Or 2	& average)	was in the oxbow.		20	
HIGH [4] MODERATE [3] LOW [2] NONE [1]	EXCELLENT [7]       NONE [6]         GOOD [5]       RECOVER         FAIR [3]       RECOVER         POOR [1]       RECENT (2)	RED [4] RING [3] OR NO RECOVEREY [	□ HI ☑ M □ LC	IGH [3] ODERATE [2] DW [1]		Channel
Comments					Maximum	161
4] BANK EROSION AND R River right looking downstream L R EROSION V NONE / LITTLE [3]	IPARIAN ZONE         Check ONE in each           L         R         RIPARIAN WIDTH           ✓         ✓         WIDE > 50 M [4]           Ø         MODERATE 10-50m [3]           Ø         NARROW 5-1-m [2]	category for EACH BAN	IK (Or 2 per bank of DD PLAIN QUALI , Swamp [3] or Old Field [2] d Pasture [1]	& average ) L R Conserva URBAN o Mining/Co	ation Tillage [1] or INDUSTRIAL [0] onstruction [0]	
HEAVY / SEVERE [1]	VERY NARROW <5m [1]	Reside	ential, Park, New Fie Pasture, Rowcrop [(	eld [1] Indicate p 0] past 100n	predominant land use m riparian.	<sup>(s)</sup> Riparian
Comments					Maximum 10	10
5] POOL / GLIDE AND RIF MAXIMUM DEPTH Check ONE (ONLY!) > 1m [6] 0.7-<1m [4] 0.4-<0.7m [2] 0.2m-<0.4m [1] < 0.2m [0]	FLE / RUN QUALITY CHANNEL WIDTH Check ONE (Or 2 & average) POOL WIDTH > RIFFLE WIDTH [2] POOL WIDTH = RIFFLE WIDTH [1] POOL WIDTH < RIFFLE WIDTH [0]	CURRENT Check TORRENTIAL [-1] VERY FAST [1] FAST [1] MODERATE [1]	VELOCITY ALL that apply SLOW [1] INTERSTIT INTERMIT EDDIES [1]	FIAL [-1] TENT [-2]	eation Potentia mary Contact ondary Contact a and comment on back	Pool/ Current
Comments						
32 cm Indicate for functional r	iffles; Best areas must be large e	nough to support a eck ONE (Or 2 & average )	population		Maximum 12	0
RIFFLE DEPTH BEST AREAS > 10CM [ BEST AREAS 5-10 CM BEST AREAS < 5 CM [metric=0]	RUN DEPTH           2]         MAXIMUM > 50CM [2]         ST           [1]         MAXIMUM < 50CM [1]	RIFFLE / RUN SUBS TABLE (e.g., Cobble, Bo OD. STABLE (e.g., Larg NSTABLE (e.g. Fine Gra	STRATE bulder) [2] le Gravel) [1] avel, Sand) [0]	RIFFLE / RUN NONE [2] LOW [1] MODERA EXTENSI	EMBEDDEDNE	Riffle/ Run
Comments						5
12 cm					Maximum 8	
(14 ft/mi) DRAINAGE AREA (3.74 mi2)	VERY LOW - LOW [2-4] MODERATE [6-10] HIGH - VERY HIGH [10-6]	%POOL: 10 %RUN: 60	)%GLIDE: () %RIFFLE: ()	0 30	<b>Gradien</b> Maximum 10	8
EPA 4520				~ /		6/16/2006

#### Stream & Location: Sand Creek – Rocket Ridge, Ravenna Arsenal



Stream Drawing:



# Appendix D Comprehensive Species Lists for IBI Data

	1 40	ne 1. Day	One in	I Data			
River Code: N/A	Stream: Sand	Creek	Drai	nage Area:			
River Mile: 15	Gradient: 14 ft/mile		$3.7 \text{ mi}^2$		Sample Date: 07-02-2013		
Ecoregion: EOLP	Sampling Area: M	litigation	tigation No. of Passes: 1		Sampler Type: E		
Basin: Mahoning	Area- Downst	ream	Dist. F	Fished: 510 ft	1 51		
Species Name		IBI Group	Feed Guild	Breed Guild	Pollution Tolerance	# of Fish	DELT
bluntnose minnow (Pimep	hales promelas)	Ν	0	С	C T 147		0
central stoneroller (Campostoma anomalum)		Ν	Н	Ν	-	13	0
creek chub (Semotilus atromaculatus)		Ν	G	Ν	Т	21	0
fantail darter (Etheostoma flabellare)		D	Ι	С	-	19	0
green sunfish (Lepomis cyanellus)		S	Ι	С	Т	3	0
greenside darter (Etheostoma blennioides)		S	Ι	С	Т	23	0
johnny darter (Etheostoma nigrum)		D	Ι	С	-	5	0
northern hog sucker ( <i>Hypentelium nigricans</i> )		R	Ι	S	М	9	0
redside dace (Clinostomus elongatus)		Ν	Ι	S	Ι	1	0
silverjaw minnow (Notropis buccatus)		Ν	Ι	М	-	14	0
suckermouth minnow (Phenacobius mirabilis)		Ν	Ι	S	-	5	0
western blacknose dace ( <i>Rhinichthys obtusus</i> )		Ν	G	S	Т	9	0
Total Fish Captured		-	-	-	-	269	0
Total Fish Included in IBI Scoring		-	-	-	-	269	0

### Table 1. Day One IBI Data

## Table 2. Day Two IBI Data

River Code: N/A	Stream: Sand C	Creek	Drai	nage Area:			
River Mile: 15	Gradient: 14 ft	/mile		$3.7 \text{ mi}^2$	Sample Date: 08-13-2013		3-2013
Ecoregion: EOLP	Sampling Area: M	litigation	No. o	of Passes: 1	Sampler Type: E		E
Basin: Mahoning	Area- Downst	ream	Dist. F	Fished: 510 ft			
Species Name		IBI	Feed	Brood Guild	Pollution	# of	DEI T
Species Na		Group	Guild	Bicca Guila	Tolerance	Fish	DELI
bluntnose minnow (Pimeph	hales promelas)	N	0	С	Т	242	0
central stoneroller (Campo	stoma anomalum)	Ν	Н	Ν	-	46	0
creek chub (Semotilus atro	maculatus)	Ν	G	Ν	T 51		0
antail darter ( <i>Etheostoma flabellare</i> )		D	Ι	С	-	29	0
green sunfish (Lepomis cyanellus)		S	Ι	С	Т	4	0
greenside darter (Etheostoma blennioides)		S	Ι	С	Т	72	0
johnny darter (Etheostoma nigrum)		D	Ι	С	-	2	0
northern hog sucker (Hypentelium nigricans)		R	Ι	S	М	15	0
redside dace (Clinostomus elongatus)		Ν	Ι	S	Ι	6	0
silverjaw minnow (Notropis buccatus)		Ν	Ι	М	-	5	0
suckermouth minnow (Phenacobius mirabilis)		Ν	Ι	S	-	1	0
western blacknose dace ( <i>Rhinichthys obtusus</i> )		Ν	G	S	Т	20	0
Total Fish Captured		-	-	-	-	493	0
Total Fish Included in IBI Scoring		-	-	-	-	493	0

# Appendix E IBI Scoring

Matria	Score						
weinc	7-2	-2013	8-13-2013				
	Value	Score	Value	Score			
Total Number of Species (minus exotics and hybrids)	12	5	12	5			
Number of Darter/Sculpin Species	3	5	3	5			
Number of Headwater Species	3	3	3	3			
Number of Minnow Species	7	5	7	5			
Number of Sensitive Species	3	3	3	3			
% Tolerant Species	67	1	64	1			
% Omnivores	55	0	49	0			
% Insectivores	29	3	27	3			
% Pioneering Species	71	1	61	1			
Number of Individuals	269	3	493	3			
Number of Simple Lithophiles	5	5	5	5			
% DELT Anomalies	0	5	0	5			
Individual IBI Scores	-	39	-	39			
Average IBI Score 39							

## Table 3. IBI Results