THE PROPOSED PLAN FOR SOIL AND DRY
SEDIMENT AT THE RVAAP-49
CENTRAL BURN PITS

RAVENNA ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT RAVENNA, OHIO

ORIGINAL

PUBLIC MEETING

December 16, 2008

Newton Falls Community Center
52 E. Quarry Street
Newton Falls, Ohio

1	APPI	EARANCES:	2
2			
3		Jackson Tittle, Facilitator	
4			
5		W. Kevin Jago, P.G.	
6		Science Applications International Corporation	
7		Assistant Vice President	
8		Senior Scientist	
9		Engineering & Infrastructure	
10		151 Lafayette Drive	
11		Post Office Box 2501	
12		Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831	
13		865/481-4614	
14			
15	ALSO	PRESENT:	
16		Ellen J. Rager, SAIC	
17		Jed Thomas, SAIC	
18		Tia Rutledge, SAIC	
19		Todd Fisher, Ohio EPA	
20		Mark Patterson, U.S. Army Co-Chairman	
21		Derek Kinder, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	
22		Nick Stolte, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	
23			
24			
25			

1 MR. TITTLE: Good evening. Ι 2 don't see anyone streaming through the door 3 anymore, so I think this is probably the group we 4 are going to have tonight. I would like to welcome you to the public meeting to discuss and 5 6 hear about the proposed plan for soil and dry 7 sediment at the Central Burn Pits of the Ravenna Arsenal Ammunition Plant, or as most of us know 8 9 it around here as "The Arsenal." And we are 10 going to discuss these particular things 11 We will have a presentation, and then tonight. 12 we will have a time for question and comment at 13 the end. 14 My name is Jack Tittle. I live on the other side of The Arsenal in Windham, and I am a 15 private citizen. I am not in any way working for 16 17 the Army or anyone else. I am here to make sure 18 that everyone who has a question or a comment 19 concerning the proposed plan that we are talking about tonight will have an opportunity to do so. 20 21 And since I used to be a high school 22 teacher, there will be no gum chewing. I am watching you, Tom. And no note passing. 23 guys over there, you know, anything bad, I am

going to call your mother.

24

25

- All right. So here is what we are going to
- 2 talk about. We are going to talk about the
- 3 proposed plan for the Central Burn Pits at the
- 4 Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant. Now, we are not
- 5 going to talk about groundwater or surface
- 6 water. Those are different subjects which will
- 7 be covered at other meetings at other times in
- 8 the future. And you will have an opportunity to
- 9 see those as they come along and make your
- 10 comments about that at a different time.
- I should point out that there are exits at
- 12 every corner of this room. So in the unlikely
- 13 event that we have hurricanes or floods of locust
- or emergencies of some sort, if it gets too
- boring, you can run out of any door that you
- 16 see. There are rest rooms and drinking fountains
- in the lobby on the other side. Afterward, there
- are cookies and goodies back there, and coffee.
- 19 Feel free to help yourself.
- On the table in the back is the proposed
- 21 plan that we are talking about tonight. If you
- really want to get into the details, that is
- 23 available for you. After the meeting, if you
- 24 think of something on the way home and you want
- to make a written comment, you can do that on one

- of these cards which is in the back. And they
- 2 can be mailed in. Just make sure that they are
- 3 postmarked by January 7th.
- So we will go on with the presentation. Our
- 5 speaker tonight is Kevin Jago. And Kevin Jago is
- 6 from the Science Applications International
- 7 Corporation. And he is going to give us a status
- 8 report on the soil and dry sediment at the
- 9 Central Burn Pits. Afterward, I will open up the
- 10 comments for the -- and questions on the floor.
- 11 So, Kevin --
- 12 MR. JAGO: Thank you, Jack.
- 13 First, again, I want to thank you all for braving
- 14 the elements this evening and coming out and
- 15 attending this public meeting. It is an
- important forum for the public to be able to
- 17 review and participate in a decision-making
- 18 process here at The Arsenal for environmental
- 19 restoration. It is a meeting that is prescribed
- 20 by CERCLA, by the law. And we are here tonight
- 21 in accordance with those laws and because we want
- 22 to share the fruits of our work and our labor
- 23 with you folks and have you all provide
- 24 opportunity for input on the decisions that we
- are in the process of making to remediate or

- 1 clean up the soils at the Central Burn Pits at
- 2 the Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant.
- First of all, can everyone hear me okay? We
- 4 have got a great sound system this evening. I
- 5 see some thumbs up. If during the presentation
- 6 you are not able to see some item on the screen
- 7 and you need me to clarify something, I will be
- glad to do so. Just let me know and I will be
- 9 able to clarify any items that show up on that or
- 10 any text that you may not be able to focus on.
- 11 As Jack mentioned, I am Kevin Jago. I am
- 12 with the Science Applications International
- 13 Corporation office out of Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- 14 I have been working here at Ravenna for about 12
- 15 years now. Since about the late '90s time frame
- 16 I started with the project.
- We have a number of other representatives of
- the company here tonight. Tia Rutledge is going
- 19 to help us out. Tia is out of our Twinsburg,
- Ohio office. Also out of our Twinsburg, Ohio
- office is Ellen Rager in the back. Heather Ann,
- 22 Jed Thomas, and all out of the local Twinsburg,
- 23 Ohio office.
- So without further ado, I will move on with
- 25 the presentation. Tia, the next slide.

- We are here tonight to present a remedial
- 2 decision to the public for soil and dry sediment
- 3 at the Central Burn Pits area of concern.
- 4 Earlier in 2008, we conducted a removal action of
- 5 some debris piles and other materials at the
- 6 Central Burn Pits. That information was provided
- 7 to the RAB in a presentation.
- Also, Mr. Patterson conducted a tour of The
- 9 Arsenal earlier in the year where the RAB had an
- 10 opportunity to come out and view some of the
- 11 activities ongoing at The Arsenal. One of the
- 12 stops on that tour was actually at the Central
- Burn Pits where the public had a chance to really
- see the remedial activities that have been done
- 15 there.
- That is an important topic, because tonight
- we are addressing the rest of the area of concern
- outside of the debris piles that we had removed
- 19 earlier in the year. So just a little bit of
- 20 context, those debris pile removals were part of
- 21 a separate public participation process at
- 22 earlier points in time. And we are here to
- 23 address the remainder of the soil at the Central
- 24 Burn Pits.
- My agenda this evening, I will give a site

- description, a refresher on the Central Burn
- Pits, talk a little bit about the historical
- operations and some of the investigations that
- 4 have been done, give a scope of the response
- 5 actions, both those that have been completed
- 6 previously and the one we are here to discuss
- 7 tonight. And then outline the proposed plan for
- 8 the remainder of the soil and dry sediment at the
- 9 Central Burn Pits. And then lastly, as Jack
- mentioned, we will open the floor for any
- 11 comments that you might have.
- 12 Tia, the next slide, please.
- The Central Burn Pits is located in the
- 14 east-central portion of The Arsenal. It is at
- 15 the intersection of Paris-Windham Road and Lumber
- 16 Yard Road. There is a T-intersection here. The
- 17 Central Burn Pits, if you go onto the Ravenna
- 18 Public web site and pull up the Installation
- 19 Action Plan, you will see it designated as the
- area of concern number RVAAP-49.
- The next slide, please.
- The Central Burn Pits was used in the early
- 23 days of The Arsenal history as a lumber and
- 24 building material storage area. It is bordered
- 25 by railroad tracks to the north and south known

- 1 as Tracks 33 and 39. And I will show you a map
- 2 of that in just a second.
- 3 Sand Creek, one of the major drainages on
- 4 The Arsenal, borders the AOC to the west and
- 5 northwest. The Central Burn Pits is about 20
- 6 acres. It is relatively flat because it was used
- 7 to lay down the storage materials. And over the
- years, gravel, soil, fill material, et cetera,
- 9 had been placed at the Central Burn Pits.
- 10 Historically, those are basically what you call
- 11 fill or debris materials, and are still there at
- 12 the AOC.
- Following its use as a lumber and building
- 14 material storage area, there was an open burning
- of material conducted at the Central Burn Pits.
- 16 Those include wooden boxes, pallets, lumber, non-
- 17 explosive wastes, wire and some other electrical
- 18 components and then just other general
- 19 combustible scrap materials were open burn
- 20 there.
- 21 A little more recently in The Arsenal's
- 22 history, as I mentioned, some of these fill
- 23 materials, construction debris materials, were
- 24 placed there at the Central Burn Pits. And those
- include the excess fill dirt, gravel, corrugated

- 1 metal pipe and some other construction type
- debris, gravel, that were placed in various piles
- 3 and berms throughout the area of concern.
- 4 The next slide, please.
- Again, the map shows track 39 boundary to
- 6 the north. Track 33 boundary to the south.
- 7 Paris-Windham Road along the east. And then Sand
- 8 Creek is the border on the west/northwest. So
- 9 the area within this polygon represents the
- 10 boundary of the Central Burn Pits. There is an
- 11 access road that runs through the center of it.
- 12 And as I mentioned, some various debris piles and
- 13 berms, mostly along the access road, still remain
- 14 there at this point in time.
- The next slide.
- 16 Two phases of investigation have been
- completed at the Central Burn Pits. As of 2005,
- there was a remedial investigation completed.
- 19 That remedial investigation included the
- 20 collection of soil samples throughout the Central
- 21 Burn Pits.
- 22 And also a subsequent investigation was
- 23 completed by SAIC more recently, about 2006, was
- to investigate debris piles and berms
- 25 specifically within the Central Burn Pits area.

- 1 Those gravel and excess soil piles were there.
- 2 The analytical work that was completed showed
- 3 that we had some metals and explosives present in
- 4 some of the soils there at comparatively low
- 5 concentrations.
- 6 What these investigations also showed was
- 7 that two of the 12 debris piles that were
- 8 investigated did contain some metals that were of
- 9 a concern. Therefore, we pursued an engineering
- 10 evaluation and cost analysis to address the
- 11 contamination in those two particular debris
- 12 piles. They are known as Pile M and Pile N.
- Pile M had concentrations of lead that were of
- 14 concern. And Pile N had some hexavalent chromium
- within some burn residues and other material that
- were of concern.
- On the basis of the presence of these
- 18 contaminants, there was a potential there that
- 19 they might migrate or other potentials for
- 20 exposure to people that might have a need to be
- on the Central Burn Pits. So we proceeded with a
- 22 removal action under a non-time critical process
- 23 under CERCLA to remove these two debris piles.
- 24 And that work was documented in Action Memorandum
- 25 that was made available for public review. The

- 1 Action Memorandum was following the EE/CA, the
- 2 Engineering Evaluation and Cost Analysis. And
- 3 that work was started in the spring of 2008, and
- 4 we wrapped up the site restoration in the late
- 5 summer of this year. So those two debris piles
- 6 were removed.
- 7 I have a little bit more detail on the
- 8 activities there. Tia, the next slide.
- 9 Again, our site map showing the piles and
- 10 berms. The two piles that were removed. Pile M,
- 11 a height of about 3 feet and about 38 feet in
- 12 diameter, is shown with lead concentrations in
- that material were about 8,500 parts per million,
- or milligrams per kilogram, which does meet a
- 15 regulatory definition in part for
- 16 characteristically hazardous material.
- Pile N, a smaller pile, about 4.5 feet in
- 18 height, about 10 feet in diameter, contains
- 19 hexavalent chromium above a risk-base criteria
- 20 that we have used at Ravenna over the years to
- 21 screen our data. So it was also of a concern.
- 22 So the removal action proceeded to take these two
- 23 piles out and dispose of them.
- Tia, the next slide.
- 25 And those activities consisted of the

- 1 removal of about 50 tons of material from the
- 2 pile of -- the upper portion of Pile M. That is
- 3 the pile that contained the lead. About 50 tons
- 4 of that was disposed as characteristically
- 5 hazardous waste due to regulatory definition and
- 6 concentrations that were present.
- 7 Those 50 tons of material were transported
- 8 and packaged by our waste management contractor
- 9 and transported to a disposal facility in
- 10 Canada. Additional concrete and soil were
- 11 encountered that still contained some elevated
- 12 levels of lead above a U.S. EPA residential
- criteria of 400 milligrams per kilogram. So we
- 14 removed another approximately 500 tons -- just a
- shade under 500 tons of material that contained
- 16 lead. Those materials were nonhazardous but
- 17 classified as solid wastes and then went to an
- 18 American landfill here within the region.
- The Pile N, the pile that contained
- hexavalent chromium, we removed approximately 157
- 21 tons of soil and burning residue. All of those
- 22 materials were classified as nonhazardous wastes
- and were transported to an American landfill, as
- 24 well.
- We documented the containment of our cleanup

- 1 goals, which includes 400 milligrams per
- 2 kilogram, or parts per million for lead. That is
- 3 the U.S. EPA residential criteria. And we
- 4 documented the containment, which basically met
- 5 the goals for hexavalent chromium of 16 parts per
- 6 million.
- 7 To verify the containment of those levels
- 8 through sampling of the excavations, both at the
- 9 bottom and around the periphery of the
- 10 excavations as work proceeded, so we collected
- 11 those samples and determined that we have
- 12 obtained the goals. We removed all the necessary
- 13 soil and had completed the activity.
- MR. THOMAS: Kevin, could you
- just speak up a little?
- 16 MR. JAGO: I am going to get
- 17 a little louder so our court reporter can hear
- 18 better. Okay. Very good.
- 19 Following the removal action of the two
- 20 piles, we had to address the remainder of the
- 21 soils and dry sediment at the Central Burn Pits.
- 22 This is done through a Remedial Investigation
- 23 Addendum that followed the investigations of
- 24 2005. Specifically, this Remedial Investigation
- 25 Report Addendum included a risk evaluation of the

- 1 remaining soils, piles and berms, an updated
- 2 ecological risk assessment and we developed
- 3 risk-base cleanup goals for the soil and dry
- 4 sediment.
- 5 The purpose of the addendum was to determine
- 6 if we needed to do further investigation, or some
- 7 engineering feasibility studies for additional
- 8 cleanup, if needed, or if no further action was
- 9 necessary. And that means if the contaminant
- 10 concentrations in the soils outside of the piles
- and berms were less than these risk-base cleanup
- 12 goals.
- 13 The next slide.
- Our Remedial Investigation Addendum was
- 15 based on the population samples that had been
- 16 collected over time at the Central Burn Pits.
- 17 The evaluation included looking at numerical
- 18 monitoring -- computer monitoring to see if
- 19 contaminants might leach or migrate from the
- 20 soils to groundwater.
- We evaluated the possibility of whether
- these contaminants might be transported through
- 23 surface water runoff or potentially impact the
- 24 wetland areas of Sand Creek. And we also looked
- 25 specifically at the human health risk exposure

- 1 and ecological risk exposure.
- Our results show that chemicals in the soil
- 3 were not predicted to impact groundwater. Did
- 4 not predict it to leach from the soil to the
- 5 groundwater. And, again, at intervening periods
- 6 of time at the Central Burn Pits, the water
- 7 monitoring was there, samples had been done of
- 8 the groundwater over time. But we always had
- 9 computer monitoring results that -- quantitative
- 10 results of the groundwater to verify the results
- 11 of that monitoring.
- The human health risk assessment for soil,
- 13 we evaluated a range of possible land use
- 14 scenarios for future use of the Central Burn
- 15 Pits. And these include a National Guard
- 16 training scenario, which is the intended land
- 17 use. Most folks, I think, are aware that the
- Ohio National Guard has a training logistic site
- 19 and activities are ongoing there. So the likely
- 20 future land use does involve some National Guard
- 21 training mission.
- We also looked at a security guard and
- 23 maintenance worker land use. And then the
- 24 maintenance of that, you have mowing or
- 25 maintenance of access roads, people going in and

- 1 out on a periodic basis, a few times a month,
- 2 perhaps, or a few times per year.
- We also evaluated a fire/dust suppression
- 4 worker. In the event that a brush fire were to
- 5 occur and firefighters were called out to
- 6 extinguish that, we would evaluate exposures
- 7 under that type of scenario, which would be
- 8 short-term and very intermittent.
- 9 A trespasser scenario primarily involves
- 10 poaching, someone who intrudes upon The Arsenal
- 11 and illegally hunting.
- 12 And then lastly, the most stringent land use
- 13 scenario is actually a resident subsistence
- 14 farmer located on the Central Burn Pits as a
- 15 hypothetical land use. And that is a baseline
- 16 that we always look at compared to these other
- 17 land uses.
- Our results show that the contaminants in
- 19 the remaining soils and dry sediment at the
- 20 Central Burn Pits were less than the risk-base
- 21 cleanup goals for both the National Guard
- 22 trainee, the intended land use, as well as
- 23 residential land use.
- For ecological cleanup goals, we evaluated
- 25 the status of the ecosystem at the Central Burn

- 1 Pits and determined it was healthy, functioning
- well. There are data that have been collected
- 3 from the adjacent Sand Creek, from other studies
- 4 conducted in about the 2006 time frame. Those
- 5 studies show that the ecological health of Sand
- 6 Creek, as well, is very good. And there is no
- 7 evidence that contaminants have moved from the
- 8 Central Burn Pits to Sand Creek and have impacted
- 9 the ecosystems there in that vicinity.
- 10 The low levels of soil contamination that
- 11 are present and result in a low level of
- 12 ecological risk due to exposure or direct
- exposure to the soils for, say, terrestrial
- 14 mammals that are present, rabbits, et cetera,
- 15 that might be there on the Central Burn Pits.
- There is a low likelihood of contaminant
- movement from the soil to the adjacent wetland
- 18 areas in the future. The site is flat. It is
- 19 heavily vegetated, and there is no direct ditch
- 20 lines or runoff routes from the interior of the
- 21 Central Burn Pits out to Sand Creek. There are
- quite a bit of buffer zones, if you will.
- And then lastly, there is an enormous amount
- of high-quality habitat that surrounds the
- 25 Central Burn Pits. And what that means is that

- 1 animals have an opportunity to move about in
- 2 high-quality habitats both within and adjacent to
- 3 the Central Burn Pits. So they are not likely to
- 4 be residing at any one spot within the area of
- 5 concern for any length of time. They will be
- 6 moving around to clean habitats to migrate to.
- 7 There is plenty of food. And that does have an
- 8 effect on what we call the home range of the
- 9 animals.
- 10 So all the bases of all of these lines of
- 11 evidence, ecological cleanup goals were
- 12 determined not to be necessary. And no
- excavations would be required to protect the
- 14 ecological resources at the Central Burn Pits.
- The next slide.
- So in summary, the conclusion of the RI
- 17 Addendum, and that which is listed in the
- 18 proposed plan which has been made available for
- 19 your view, is a recommendation for no further
- 20 action for soil and dry sediment beyond the
- 21 removals that have already been done.
- 22 And the reasons for this recommendation is
- that the risk profiles and the ecological, both
- 24 human health and ecological, do not indicate that
- 25 there is a risk related to soils and dry sediment

- 1 for the most restrictive land use assumption that
- 2 we evaluated, and that is for residential, as
- 3 well as for National Guard training land uses.
- 4 One thing I would point out is that this
- 5 decision does not address what we call wet
- 6 sediments in flowing streams or wetland areas.
- 7 It does not address groundwater and it does not
- 8 address surface water. Those will be future
- 9 decisions, as Jack mentioned a minute ago, and
- 10 will be addressed as required under the CERCLA
- 11 process -- decision documents for those meetings
- 12 specifically -- and the public will be given an
- opportunity to review those and comment on those
- 14 at that particular point in time.
- I will mention that the remaining chemicals
- 16 currently in the soil do not exceed our cleanup
- 17 goals even for residential or the training land
- 18 use. So this recommendation of no further action
- 19 would be considered protective under our risk
- 20 assessment results.
- And as I mentioned just a second ago, a
- 22 healthy ecosystem currently exists at the Central
- Burn Pits, and we do not see the need or the
- 24 requirement to do additional remediation to
- 25 protect the ecological receptors.

- 1 So in summary, no additional action required
- 2 for soil and dry sediment for the balance of the
- 3 Central Burn Pits. And at this point in time, I
- 4 will open the floor up for questions. And I
- 5 thank you for your attention.
- 6 MR. TITTLE: Thank you, Kevin.
- 7 Now, if you have a question or a comment, I would
- 8 appreciate it if you would limit it to the
- 9 information that we have discussed tonight, the
- 10 things that you have seen, things we have heard.
- 11 If you picked up the information back there, if
- 12 you have a question or a comment about that,
- 13 fine.
- 14 If you wish to comment or question, I ask
- that you raise your hand and I will call on you.
- 16 And come up to the microphone, state your name
- and what town you are from and then give your
- 18 comment or question. And please limit it to the
- 19 things that we have discussed, because we are not
- here to discuss other things. So if you want to
- 21 complain about the mayor of Newton Falls, this is
- 22 not the time.
- Okay. Any questions or comments? Yes?
- 24 Please tell us who you are and where you are
- 25 from.

	22				
1	MR. FISHER: My name is Todd				
2	Fisher and I work with the Ohio EPA Division of				
3	Emergency & Remedial Response in Twinsburg, Ohio.				
4	The Ohio EPA has reviewed the final proposed				
5	plan for soil and dry sediment at the Central				
6	Burn Pits. And we concur with the no further				
7	action recommendation pending any public				
8	comments. Thank you.				
9	MR. TITTLE: Anyone else?				
10	MR. TADSEN: Yes. Tom Tadsen				
11	of Franklin Township. The Human Health Risk				
12	Assessment that was mentioned in the presentation				
13	and the Ecological Risk Assessment are based on				
14	the risk of the potential future users of this				
15	Central Burn Pit area.				
16	Now, if I can, I would like to direct this				
17	question to the Department of the Army				
18	representative?				
19	MR. TITTLE: Sure.				
20	MR. TADSEN: Mark?				
21	MR. PATTERSON: Yes, sir.				
22	MR. TADSEN: In the event that				
23	you wanted to do cleanup beyond the standard				
24	required based on the user, would you be				
25	permitted to do that under fiscal law under				

- 1 the budgetary guidelines that you have?
- 2 MR. PATTERSON: In general, the
- 3 policy regarding cleanup beyond the current
- 4 proposed land use which, if you are not all
- 5 familiar, will be for a specific National Guard
- 6 use, that they have taken over responsibility at
- 7 this point and most of the installation will be
- 8 used for a variety of training.
- 9 We can look at cleanup levels beyond what is
- 10 proposed for the future land use. But depending
- 11 upon the costs and the effort and time that it
- 12 takes to clean it up, we may be restricted on how
- 13 clean we can make it.
- In some instances where there is only an
- 15 additional amount, a small amount of cleanup that
- is required to get to a residential or an un-
- 17 restricted land use, in most cases we often can
- spend some additional funds to get to that point.
- In part because sites that are restricted
- 20 for certain land uses, you would have to do
- 21 additional cleanup, there is a requirement for
- 22 the Army to essentially monitor those sites for a
- very long time, until there is essentially no
- 24 risk of future land users. That monitoring can
- oftentimes carry a substantial amount of cost to

- 1 govern it.
- 2 So in cases where we can spend a little bit
- 3 of money upfront, get to an unrestricted use and
- 4 essentially not have to do that long-term
- 5 monitoring, oftentimes the Army will be able to
- 6 spend additional funds.
- 7 MR. TADSEN: Thank you. And I
- 8 would like one follow-up if I could?
- 9 MR. TITTLE: Sure. Go ahead.
- 10 MR. TADSEN: Kevin, you
- 11 mentioned that there were previous tests done on
- 12 fauna that were found in Sand Creek. Do you know
- if there was any tissue sampling done to those
- 14 fish and the invertebrates that were taken from
- 15 Sand Creek?
- 16 MR. JAGO: I don't have the
- 17 information on hand. I know that that was a
- 18 study that was commissioned by the Army. And the
- 19 Army Corps of Engineers and the Ohio EPA both
- 20 participated in that study. Benthic surveys were
- 21 done, water quality samples were collected, but I
- 22 do not know if there were tissue samples
- collected. We can research that and get back to
- 24 you.
- MR. TADSEN: Thank you. Or

- 1 possibly our EPA representative might have that
- 2 information? Thank you.
- 3 MR. FISHER: The Sand Creek
- 4 basin has been essentially sampled water quality-
- 5 wise. Also, like Kevin said, for benthic
- 6 organisms. Several legacies were developed by
- 7 the Ohio EPA. And based on those results, it
- 8 shows that Sand Creek is a very healthy stream
- 9 based on the results from our biologists that
- 10 have extensively studied that stream.
- 11 MR. TITTLE: Are there any
- other comments or questions? Yes?
- MR. BURTON: Excuse me. Hello.
- 14 I am Jim -- I am Jim Burton from Norfolk,
- Virginia. And I have a couple of questions.
- 16 And, I guess, first for Mr. Jago.
- 17 The Central Burn Pits represent what
- 18 percentage of total burn pits within The
- 19 Arsenal?
- MR. JAGO: The area of the
- 21 Central Burn Pits is approximately 20 acres. The
- 22 Ravenna installation itself is approximately
- 23 22,000 acres. So it is a relatively small area
- of concern within The Arsenal.
- MR. BURTON: Right. I was

- 1 talking specifically about other burn pits. For
- 2 instance, there is a Winklepeck Burning Grounds
- 3 and the Erie Burning Grounds and you have
- 4 mentioned specifically the Central Burning
- 5 Grounds. And I am wondering are they one and the
- 6 same? Are there other burning pits on this
- 7 22,000 acres? I am assuming there are. The last
- 8 I checked, there was something like 55 different
- 9 such pits.
- So my question to you is, the results of
- 11 this particular endeavor represents what
- 12 percentage of the overall total of burn pits
- within the 22,000 acres?
- MR. JAGO: Specifically, my
- 15 knowledge of the burning grounds that were
- 16 present at the Ravenna installation include
- 17 Winklepeck Burning Grounds, which is
- approximately 200 areas, and the Erie Burning
- 19 Grounds, which is in the ballpark of 60 to 70
- 20 acres, roughly.
- Those two particular areas of concern had a
- 22 different usage in the past. They were used
- 23 specifically for open burning of munition
- 24 components or bulk explosives.
- The Central Burn Pits was originally used as

- 1 a contractor lay down yard for the construction
- 2 materials. And the nonhazardous, nonexplosive
- 3 wastes were burned at this particular site in
- 4 years past.
- 5 MR. BURTON: Thank you. So --
- 6 MR. PATTERSON: Yes. If I could
- 7 step in? Again, Mark Patterson. I am the Army
- 8 representative for the cleanup, project manager.
- 9 When Kevin gives you those numbers, he is
- 10 correct. There are other sites that we did
- 11 burning. Those are the acres that were
- originally established when we identified the
- 13 area of concern. They did not necessarily
- 14 represent the burn -- the Central Burn Pits, that
- 15 that entire 20 acres was used for burning. You
- 16 probably have a better estimate than I would.
- But actually a very small percentage of that 20
- 18 acres of the Central Burn Pits site was used for
- 19 actual burning.
- MR. BURTON: Okay. So then I
- guess the last one on that particular burn pit
- 22 thing is that -- have the other ones been dealt
- with? Are we finished with the Winklepeck and
- 24 Erie Burning Ground? Is that a wrap on those?
- MR. PATTERSON: No. We have --

- 1 there were originally 51 areas of concern
- 2 identified at the installation. Those are sites
- 3 that are being, for the most part, managed under
- 4 what they call the Installation Restoration
- 5 Program. It has been ongoing since 1995.
- All of the sites we currently have
- 7 identified and have moved forward on are now
- 8 under contract to either be fully investigated
- 9 and closed out, as far as no further action, or
- 10 if there is some type of remediation cleanup
- 11 required, that will be done under current
- 12 contracts.
- So we have closed out some sites, are very
- 14 near closing out others, rainproof the main
- 15 production lines where we produced munitions, and
- 16 others -- we just issued the last large contract
- 17 last year to deal with the rest of those
- 18 environmental sites.
- Now, we do have a separate program, which I
- won't go into detail, because it is outside the
- 21 boundary of the Central Burn Pits, but it is
- 22 called the Munitions Response Program. That is
- 23 specifically for sites that have explosive
- 24 munitions.
- This is dealing primarily with chemicals --

- 1 chemicals that have gotten into the soil or
- 2 groundwater or surface water.
- 3 MR. BURTON: Thank you. And
- 4 that is my final question. I tried to do some
- 5 research on line before I got here. And I saw a
- 6 mention of RDX, DNT, different munition
- 7 constituents, if you will. But I didn't see any
- 8 mention, not one time, for perchloric. And then
- 9 my question is open to anyone here. Has there --
- 10 has anybody tested for and have they found any
- 11 perchlorate in the dry soil and sediment at the
- 12 Central Burn Pits, at the other burn pits or in
- any of the surface water or groundwater? And
- 14 where can I find that -- those results? Can I
- 15 actually -- can you give me an e-mail address
- 16 or a --
- MR. PATTERSON: First off, if you
- 18 are interested, The Repository -- The Public
- 19 Repository with our documents that we -- are
- 20 available to the public are in the plan, so you
- 21 can find that. I believe it is in the front of
- 22 the proposed plan, our one site here. The Newton
- 23 Falls Library --
- MR. BURTON: Uh-hum.
- 25 MR. PATTERSON: The other is at

- 1 Reed Memorial Library in Ravenna. And also you
- 2 can even come to my office if you will make an
- 3 appointment ahead of time. Also, just about
- 4 every one of those documents is on the public web
- 5 site.
- 6 MR. BURTON: Okay.
- 7 MR. PATTERSON: Kevin, is that in
- 9 your presentation? RVAAP.org?
- 9 MR. JAGO: Yes, that is how
- 10 they printed off. It is on all the corners.
- 11 MR. PATTERSON: So you already
- 12 have it on the handout?
- MR. BURTON: Right.
- MR. PATTERSON: You can find that
- information there. Perchlorate specifically, we
- 16 have done limited sampling for that based upon
- the guidelines that were given by the Department
- of Defense and the Army.
- In general, perchlorates are associated when
- 20 it comes to munitions with rockets and
- 21 pyrotechnic. Ravenna did not handle much of that
- type of munition. We handled primarily high
- explosives like the RDX and DNT, like you
- 24 mentioned, that were used in large caliber
- 25 munitions and general purpose bombs.

- We did not actually produce anything in
- 2 Ravenna that involved large amounts of
- 3 perchlorates of any kind.
- 4 MR. BURTON: The reason that I
- 5 mentioned that is that there are a number of
- 6 nations in the world -- for instance, the
- 7 Russians had a number of large caliber munitions
- 8 that were 89 percent perchloric by volume.
- 9 So there is a number of U.S. manufactured
- 10 munitions -- high explosive conventional
- 11 munitions that have, by volume, large percentage
- 12 of perchlorate.
- Now, the recipe has changed over the years
- and with different munitions, and so forth. So
- if you have high explosives -- for instance,
- 16 perchlorates are found in 400 sites in 35
- 17 different states across the U.S. That is where
- 18 they contaminated the aquifers -- an untold
- 19 number of communities surrounding those
- aquifers.
- So perchlorates are a big deal. And they
- 22 will always be associated with rocket fuel. And
- of those 400 sites, they have almost no
- documentation of any exposure to rocket fuel, but
- almost every one of them has got a documented

- 1 history of high explosives.
- 2 So this particular arsenal produced more
- 3 munitions than all the 400 other ones during
- 4 World War II. This is like -- from what I pulled
- 5 up on the web, this is one of the most productive
- 6 sites for, you know, large caliber -- medium to
- 7 large caliber munitions.
- 8 So perchlorate to me -- and I don't know. I
- 9 am just kind of new looking in on this, and I am
- 10 wondering if -- you know, I am thinking
- 11 perchlorate could be found here. And it should
- 12 be something that is, you know, clearly evident.
- 13 And it probably is. I don't know. But I found
- 14 it kind of stunning that I didn't see perchlorate
- anywhere in anything that I pulled up.
- But of course now I have got more
- information and I will pull that up. But to say
- 18 that -- and this is for everybody's information --
- 19 that perchlorates are only associated with rocket
- 20 fuel, that is pretty -- that is pretty weak. In
- 21 reality, perchlorate is associated with a lot of
- 22 stuff.
- And whether or not -- as you say, maybe we
- 24 didn't make the stuff that was 50 percent
- 25 perchlorate by volume here in Ravenna. But to

- 1 say there is no perchlorate here and that it is
- 2 only associated with rocket fuel is a bit of a
- 3 stretch.
- So -- and I am kind of shocked that -- and,
- of course, I am not a scientist. But I am
- 6 wondering why are we deciding that we are okay
- 7 with our remedial action on the dry soil and
- 8 sediments before we completed the wet soil and
- 9 sediments, unless your dry soil and sediments
- 10 have a big tarp over it so that when it rains
- 11 nothing filters through.
- I mean, am I off base here?
- MR. TITTLE: Well, I think you
- are asking questions about a different portion of
- 15 the operations and a different portion of the
- 16 cleanup procedures. We are only --
- MR. BURTON: Oh, absolutely. I
- 18 recognize that.
- MR. TITTLE: We are only
- 20 talking about one particular area tonight and the
- 21 dry portions of that. Now, there are other
- things that are ongoing and will come up in the
- 23 future. And those items, like water and such,
- 24 will be addressed at some different time in the
- 25 future.

1 MR. BURTON: Well, I thank you 2 very much. And thank you all for doing such a 3 great job. I am not the bad guy. I think you are all doing wonderful project work here, and I 4 5 am especially impressed with the Ohio EPA. 6 you to come here and give testimony that you 7 agree with their recommendation, that means a lot 8 to me. Because I have met a lot of Ohio EPA 9 guys. I am a munitions expert. I go all over 10 the world and I deal with munitions primarily 11 with water -- I am an underwater expert. 12 And one of the things that I am here to look 13 into is whether or not some of the stuff that 14 showed up here for this assembly didn't wind up in Lake Erie, which a lot of it is. 15 16 identified sites in all the Great Lakes --17 MR. TITTLE: Oh, we are on the 18 wrong side of the Continental Divide. Do vou know about the Continental Divide that runs 19 20 through Ohio? 21 MR. BURTON: I know that Lake 22 Erie has got a lot of munitions in it. I don't 23 know --24 MR. TITTLE: It doesn't come 25 from here. Did you know that the line runs

- 1 through Hiram, which is about, what, 10 miles
- 2 from here. The water -- the rainwater that
- 3 falls on the north side of Hiram goes into Lake
- 4 Erie, down the St. Lawrence and into the
- 5 Atlantic.
- 6 The water that falls on the south side of
- 7 Hiram, which is this side, and the Mahoning
- 8 River, goes into the Mahoning River, down to the
- 9 Ohio, to the Mississippi, and totally nothing
- 10 from this area can end up in Lake Erie.
- 11 MR. BURTON: Time out. Time
- 12 out. You are talking to a guy who -- I have a
- great wealth of information, for anybody's
- 14 interest --
- 15 MR. TITTLE: Okay. I have
- 16 lived here for 65 years, so I know where it
- 17 goes.
- 18 MR. BURTON: I am talking
- 19 about -- I am talking about the barge loads of
- 20 munitions -- railcars of munitions going from
- 21 here, onto the barge, taken out into Lake Erie
- 22 and dumped by the hundreds of thousands of tons.
- 23 That is what I am talking about.
- MR. TITTLE: That is a
- 25 different problem.

1	MR. BURTON:	Sure.		
2	MR. TITTLE:	Thank you.		
3	MR. JAGO:	I am sorry. We		
4	have a question.			
5	MS. MOHR:	I am Eileen Mohr		
6	from the Ohio EPA. Jim, I rea	lly appreciate your		
7	comments that you made. And p	erhaps off line,		
8	after this meeting, you and I can discuss a			
9	little bit more regarding the munitions to Lake			
10	Erie. I can get you the right contact people and			
11	the folks that are working on currently			
12	working on some of those proje	cts and we can go		
13	from there, okay?			
14	MR. BURTON:	Thank you.		

MS. MOHR: You're welcome.

MR. TITTLE: Are there any

other questions or comments? Now, don't forget.

18 If you take one of these cards and you think of a

19 question or a comment and you say, "Gee, I wish I

20 had thought of that at the time, " you can have a

21 written question or a comment and send it in.

22 Make sure it is postmarked before January 7th.

Okay. Any other questions or comments while

we are here? Well, you have all been very good.

I won't have to send anyone to the principal's

```
office. I will give you all a good grade.
 1
 2
           Okay. And you can have a cookie and a cup
 3
     of coffee, if you would like. Thank you very
     much for your attention. Thank you for coming
 4
 5
     out on such a nasty evening as it turned out to
 6
     be, and drive safe on the way home. Thank you
 7
     very much.
 8
                  (Thereupon, the meeting was
 9
                    concluded at 7:20 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
     STATE OF OHIO,
                          SS:
 4
     SUMMIT COUNTY,
 5
           I, Jerri Lynn Wheat, a Stenographic
     Reporter and Notary Public within and for the
 6
     State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified,
 7
     do hereby certify that these proceedings were
     taken by me and reduced to Stenotype, afterwards
 8
     prepared and produced by means of Computer-Aided
     Transcription and that the foregoing is a true
 9
     and correct transcription of the proceedings so
     taken as aforesaid.
10
           I do further certify that these proceedings
11
     were taken at the time and place in the foregoing
     caption specified, and were completed without
12
     adjournment.
13
           I do further certify that I am not a
     relative, employee of or attorney for any party
     or counsel, or otherwise financially interested
14
     in this action.
15
           I do further certify that I am not, nor is
16
     the court reporting firm with which I am
     affiliated, under a contract as defined in Civil
17
     Rule 28(D).
18
          IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
     hand and affixed my seal of office at Akron, Ohio
19
     on this 22nd day of December, 2008.
20
21
                       Jerri Lynn Wheat, Stenographic
22
                       Reporter and Notary Public in
                       and for the State of Ohio.
23
24
           My commission expires April 9, 2013.
25
```

A	adjournment (1)	9:4,12	Assistant (1)	basin (1)
able (5)	38:12	APPEARANC	2:7	25:4
5:16 6:6,9,10	ado (1)	2:1	associated (5)	basis (2)
24:5	6:24	Applications (3)	30:19 31:22	11:17 17:1
absolutely (1)	affiliated (1)	2:6 5:6 6:12	32:19,21 33:2	believe (1)
33:17	38:16	appointment (1)	assuming (1)	29:21
access (3)	affixed (1)	30:3	26:7	benthic (2)
10:11,13 16:25	38:18	appreciate (2)	assumption (1)	24:20 25:5
acres (9)	aforesaid (1)	21:8 36:6	20:1	berms (6)
9:6 25:21,23	38:9	approximately	Atlantic (1)	10:3,13,24 12:10
26:7,13,20	Afterward (2)	13:14,20 25:21	35:5	15:1,11
27:11,15,18	4:17 5:9	25:22 26:18	attending (1)	better (2)
action (15)	agenda (1)	April (1)	5:15	14:18 27:16
7:4 8:19 11:22	7:25	38:24	attention (2)	beyond (4)
11:24 12:1,22	ago (2)	aquifers (2)	21:5 37:4	19:20 22:23
14:19 15:8	20:9,21	31:18,20	attorney (1)	23:3,9
19:20 20:18	agree (1)	area (15)	38:13	big (2)
21:1 22:7 28:9	34:7	7:3,17 8:20,24	available (4)	31:21 33:10
33:7 38:14	ahead (2)	9:14 10:3,9,25	4:23 11:25	biologists (1)
actions (1)	24:9 30:3	19:4 22:15	19:18 29:20	25:9
8:5	Akron (1)	25:20,23 27:13	aware (1)	bit (7)
activities (5)	38:18	33:20 35:10	16:17	7:19 8:2 12:7
7:11,14 12:8,25	American (2)	areas (6)		18:22 24:2
16:19	13:18,23	15:24 18:18	В	33:2 36:9
activity (1)	Ammunition (4)	20:6 26:18,21	back (6)	bombs (1)
14:13	1:10 3:8 4:4 6:2	28:1	4:18,20 5:1 6:21	30:25
actual (1)	amount (4)	Army (14)	21:11 24:23	border (1)
27:19	18:23 23:15,15	1:10 2:20,21,22	bad (2)	10:8
addendum (5)	23:25	3:17 4:4 6:2	3:24 34:3	bordered (1)
14:23,25 15:5,14	amounts (1)	22:17 23:22	balance (1)	8:24
19:17	31:2	24:5,18,19	21:2	borders (1)
additional (8)	analysis (2)	27:7 30:18	ballpark (1)	9:4
13:10 15:7	11:10 12:2	arsenal (13)	26:19	boring (1)
20:24 21:1	analytical (1)	3:8,9,15 5:18	barge (2)	4:15
23:15,18,21	11:2	7:9,11 8:14,23	35:19,21	bottom (1)
24:6	animals (2)	9:4 17:10	base (1)	14:9
address (7)	19:1,9	25:19,24 32:2	33:12	boundary (4)
7:23 11:10	Ann (1)	Arsenal's (1)	based (6)	10:5,6,10 28:21
14:20 20:5,7,8	6:21	9:21	15:15 22:13,24	Box (1)
29:15	anybody (1)	asking (1)	25:7,9 30:16	2:11
addressed (2)	29:10	33:14	baseline (1)	boxes (1)
20:10 33:24	anybody's (1)	assembly (1)	17:15	9:16
addressing (1)	35:13	34:14	bases (1)	braving (1)
7:17	anymore (1)	assessment (5)	19:10	5:13
adjacent (3)	3:3	15:2 16:12	basically (2)	brush (1)
18:3,17 19:2	AOC (2)	20:20 22:12,13	9:10 14:4	17:4

				raye 4
budgetary (1)	3:25 9:10 19:8	12:16 13:4	30:2 33:22	7:3,17 8:20 10:3
23:1	20:5 21:15	checked (1)	34:6,24	11:9,14,16
buffer (1)	28:4	26:8	comes (1)	12:21 19:5
18:22	called (2)	chemicals (4)	30:20	25:24 26:21
building (2)	17:5 28:22	16:2 20:15	coming (2)	27:13 28:1
8:24 9:13	Canada (1)	28:25 29:1	5:14 37:4	concerning (1)
bulk (1)	13:10	chewing (1)	comment (10)	3:19
26:24	caption (1)	3:22	3:12,18 4:25	concluded (1)
burn (55)	38:11	chromium (4)	20:13 21:7,12	37:9
1:8 3:7 4:3 5:9	cards (2)	11:14 12:19	21:14,18 36:19	conclusion (1)
6:1 7:3,6,13,24	5:1 36:18	13:20 14:5	36:21	19:16
8:1,9,13,17,22	carry (1)	citizen (1)	comments (9)	concrete (1)
9:5,9,15,19,24	23:25	3:16	4:10 5:10 8:11	13:10
10:10,17,21,25	cases (2)	Civil (1)	21:23 22:8	concur (1)
11:15,21 14:21	23:17 24:2	38:16	25:12 36:7,17	22:6
15:16 16:6,14	center (2)	clarify (2)	36:23	conducted (4)
17:14,20,25	1:17 10:11	6:7,9	commission (1)	7:4,8 9:15 18:4
18:8,15,21,25	Central (48)	classified (2)	38:24	considered (1)
19:3,14 20:23	1:8 3:7 4:3 5:9	13:17,22	commissioned	20:19
21:3 22:6,15	6:1 7:3,6,12,23	clean (4)	24:18 38:6	consisted (1)
25:17,18,21	8:1,9,13,17,22	6:1 19:6 23:12	communities (1)	12:25
26:1,12,25	9:5,9,15,24	23:13	31:19	constituents (1)
27:14,14,18,21	10:10,17,20,25	cleanup (16)	Community (1)	29:7
28:21 29:12,12	11:21 14:21	13:25 15:3,8,11	1:17	construction (3)
burned (1)	15:16 16:6,14	17:21,24 19:11	company (1)	9:23 10:1 27:1
27:3	17:14,20,25	20:16 22:23	6:18	contact (1)
burning (14)	18:8,15,21,25	23:3,9,15,21	comparatively	36:10
9:14 13:21 26:2	19:3,14 20:22	27:8 28:10	11:4	contain (1)
26:3,4,6,15,17	21:3 22:5,15	33:16	compared (1)	11:8
26:18,23 27:11	25:17,21 26:4	clearly (1)	17:16	
27:15,19,24	26:25 27:14,18	32:12	complain (1)	contained (4)
Burton (17)	28:21 29:12	closed (2)	21:21	13:3,11,15,19 containment (3)
25:13,14,25 27:5	CERCLA (3)	28:9,13		` '
27:20 29:3,24	5:20 11:23	closing (1)	completed (8) 8:5 10:17,18,23	13:25 14:4,7
30:6,13 31:4	20:10	28:14	11:2 14:13	contains (1)
33:17 34:1,21	certain (1)	coffee (2)	33:8 38:11	12:18
35:17:34:1,21	23:20	4:18 37:3		contaminant (2)
36:14	certify (4)	collected (5)	components (2) 9:18 26:24	15:9 18:16
50.17	38:7,10,13,15	14:10 15:16		contaminants
С	cetera (2)	18:2 24:21,23	computer (2) 15:18 16:9	11:18 15:19,22
C (2)	9:8 18:14	collection (1)	· ·	17:18 18:7
38:1,1	chance (1)	10:20	Computer-Aid	contaminated
caliber (4)	7:13		38:8	31:18
30:24 31:7 32:6	changed (1)	combustible (1) 9:19	concentration	contamination
32:7	31:13		11:5,13 12:12	11:11 18:10
call (6)	characteristic	come (7)	13:6 15:10	context (1)
	CHAI ACUETISHC	4:9 7:10 21:16	concern (13)	7:20
The state of the s	a sa de la comanción secretario de la composición del composición de la composición	er in the treatment of the first and the second		

				raye 4
Continental (2)	9:3 10:8 15:24	Defense (1)	12:23	earlier (4)
34:18,19	18:3,6,8,21	30:18	disposed (1)	7:4,9,19,22
contract (3)	24:12,15 25:3	defined (1)	13:4	early (1)
28:8,16 38:16	25:8	38:16	ditch (1)	8:22
contractor (2)	criteria (3)	definition (2)	18:19	east (1)
13:8 27:1	12:19 13:13	12:15 13:5	Divide (2)	10:7
contracts (1)	14:3	Department (2)	34:18,19	
28:12	critical (1)	22:17 30:17	Division (1)	east-central (1) 8:14
conventional (1)	11:22	depending (1)	22:2	
31:10	cup (1)	23:10	DNT (2)	ecological (11) 15:2 16:1 17:24
cookie (1)	37:2	Derek (1)	29:6 30:23	
37:2	current (2)	2:21		18:5,12 19:11
cookies (1)	23:3 28:11		documentatio	19:14,23,24
4:18		description (1)	31:24	20:25 22:13
11	currently (4)	8:1	documented (4)	ecosystem (2)
corner (1)	20:16,22 28:6	designated (1)	11:24 13:25	17:25 20:22
4:12	36:11	8:19	14:4 31:25	ecosystems (1)
corners (1)	D	detail (2)	documents (3)	18:9
30:10	data (2)	12:7 28:20	20:11 29:19	EE/CA (1)
Corporation (3)	12:21 18:2	details (1)	30:4	12:1
2:6 5:7 6:13		4:22	doing (2)	effect (1)
Corps (3)	day (1)	determine (1)	34:2,4	19:8
2:21,22 24:19	38:19	15:5	door (2)	effort (1)
correct (2)	days (1)	determined (3)	3:2 4:15	23:11
27:10 38:9	8:23	14:11 18:1	drainages (1)	Eileen (1)
corrugated (1)	deal (3)	19:12	9:3	36:5
9:25	28:17 31:21	developed (2)	drinking (1)	either (1)
cost (3)	34:10	15:2 25:6	4:16	28:8
11:10 12:2	dealing (1)	diameter (2)	drive (2)	electrical (1)
23:25	28:25	12:12,18	2:10 37:6	9:17
costs (1)	dealt (1)	different (11)	dry (16)	elements (1)
23:11	27:22	4:6,10 26:8,22	1:6 3:6 5:8 7:2	5:14
counsel (1)	debris (12)	29:6 31:14,17	8:8 14:21 15:3	elevated (1)
38:14	7:5,18,20 9:11	33:14,15,24	17:19 19:20,25	13:11
COUNTY (1)	9:23 10:2,12	35:25	21:2 22:5	Ellen (2)
38:4	10:24 11:7,11	direct (3)	29:11 33:7,9	2:16 6:21
couple (1)	11:23 12:5	18:12,19 22:16	33:21	emergencies (1)
25:15	December (2)	dirt (1)	due (2)	4:14
course (2)	1:15 38:19	9:25	13:5 18:12	Emergency (1)
32:16 33:5	deciding (1)	discuss (5)	duly (1)	22:3
court (2)	33:6	3:5,10 8:6 21:20	38:6	
14:17 38:16	decision (3)	36:8		employee (1)
	7:2 20:5,11		dumped (1)	38:13
covered (1)	decisions (2)	discussed (2)	35:22	encountered (1)
4:7	5:24 20:9	21:9,19	E	13:11
Co-Chairman	decision-maki	disposal (1)		endeavor (1)
2:20	5:17	13:9	E (3)	26:11
Creek (11)	J.1 /	dispose (1)	1:18 38:1,1	engineering (4)
		İ		

				Page 4
2:9 11:9 12:2	18:7 19:11	falls (6)	Fisher (4)	Guarita (1)
15:7	evident (1)	1:17,19 21:21	Fisher (4)	fruits (1)
Engineers (3)	32:12	29:23 35:3,6	2:19 22:1,2 25:3	5:22
2:21,22 24:19	excavations (3)		flat (2)	fuel (4)
li '	• ` ′	familiar (1)	9:6 18:18	31:22,24 32:20
enormous (1)	14:8,10 19:13	23:5	floods (1)	33:2
18:23	exceed (1)	far (1)	4:13	fully (1)
entire (1)	20:16	28:9	floor (3)	28:8
27:15	excess (2)	farmer (1)	5:10 8:10 21:4	functioning (1)
environmental		17:14	flowing (1)	18:1
5:18 28:18	Excuse (1)	fauna (1)	20:6	funds (2)
EPA (11)	25:13	24:12	focus (1)	23:18 24:6
2:19 13:12 14:3	exists (1)	feasibility (1)	6:10	further (10)
22:2,4 24:19	20:22	15:7	folks (3)	6:24 15:6,8
25:1,7 34:5,8	exits (1)	Feel (1)	5:23 16:17	19:19 20:18
36:6	4:11	4:19	36:11	22:6 28:9
Erie (9)	expert (2)	feet (4)	followed (1)	38:10,13,15
26:3,18 27:24	34:9,11	12:11,11,17,18	14:23	future (10)
34:15,22 35:4	expires (1)	fill (4)	following (3)	4:8 16:14,20
35:10,21 36:10	38:24	9:8,11,22,25	9:13 12:1 14:19	18:18 20:8
especially (1)	explosive (3)	filters (1)	follow-up (1)	22:14 23:10,24
34:5	9:17 28:23	33:11	24:8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
essentially (4)	31:10	final (2)		33:23,25
23:22,23 24:4	explosives (5)	22:4 29:4	food (1)	G
25:4			19:7	
11	11:3 26:24	financially (1)	foregoing (2)	Gee (1) 36:19
established (1) 27:12	30:23 31:15	38:14	38:8,11	
H	32:1	find (3)	forget (1)	general (4)
estimate (1)	exposure (6)	29:14,21 30:14	36:17	9:18 23:2 30:19
27:16	11:20 15:25	fine (1)	forth (1)	30:25
et (2)	16:1 18:12,13	21:13	31:14	give (7)
9:8 18:14	31:24	finished (1)	forum (1)	5:7 7:25 8:4
evaluate (1)	exposures (1)	27:23	5:16	21:17 29:15
17:6	17:6	fire (1)	forward (1)	34:6 37:1
evaluated (5)	extensively (1)	17:4	28:7	given (2)
15:21 16:13	25:10	firefighters (1)	found (5)	20:12 30:17
17:3,24 20:2	extinguish (1)	17:5	24:12 29:10	gives (1)
evaluation (4)	17:6	fire/dust (1)	31:16 32:11,13	27:9
11:10 12:2	e-mail (1)	17:3	fountains (1)	glad (1)
14:25 15:17	29:15	firm (1)	4:16	6:8
evening (5)		38:16	frame (2)	go (6)
3:1 5:14 6:4	F	first (4)	6:15 18:4	5:4 8:17 24:9
7:25 37:5	F (1)	5:13 6:3 25:16		28:20 34:9
event (3)	38:1		Franklin (1)	36:12
4:13 17:4 22:22	Facilitator (1)	29:17	22:11	4
	2:3	fiscal (1)	free (1)	goals (9)
everybody's (1)	facility (1)	22:25	4:19	14:1,5,12 15:3
32:18	÷ , ,	fish (1)	front (1)	15:12 17:21,24
evidence (2)	13:9	24:14	29:21	19:11 20:17

				raye 4
goes (3)	3:24 34:9	high-quality (2)	includes (1)	14.22.24.15.6
35:3,8,17	3.27 37.3	18:24 19:2	14:1	14:22,24 15:6
going (11)	H	Hiram (3)		15:14
3:4,10,25 4:1,2,5		` /	indicate (1)	investigations
5:7 6:18 14:16	18:24	35:1,3,7	19:24	8:3 11:6 14:23
11	habitats (2)	historical (1)	information (9)	involve (1)
16:25 35:20	19:2,6	8:2	7:6 21:9,11	16:20
good (5)	· ·	Historically (1)	24:17 25:2	involved (1)
3:1 14:18 18:6	hand (3)	9:10	30:15 32:17,18	31:2
36:24 37:1	21:15 24:17	history (3)	35:13	involves (1)
goodies (1)	38:18	8:23 9:22 32:1	Infrastructure	17:9
4:18	handle (1)	home (3)	2:9	issued (1)
gotten (1)	30:21	4:24 19:8 37:6	input (1)	28:16
29:1	handled (1)	human (4)	5:24	item (1)
govern (1)	30:22	15:25 16:12	installation (6)	6:6
24:1	handout (1)	19:24 22:11	8:18 23:7 25:22	items (2)
grade (1)	30:12	hundreds (1)	26:16 28:2,4	6:9 33:23
37:1	hazardous (2)	35:22	instance (3)	
gravel (4)	12:16 13:5	hunting (1)	26:2 31:6,15	J
9:8,25 10:2 11:1	health (5)	17:11	instances (1)	J (1)
great (4)	15:25 16:12	hurricanes (1)	23:14	2:16
6:4 34:3,16	18:5 19:24	4:13		Jack (5)
35:13	22:11	1	intended (2)	3:14 5:12 6:11
ll .	healthy (3)	hypothetical (1)	16:16 17:22	8:9 20:9
Ground (1) 27:24	18:1 20:22 25:8	17:15	interest (1)	
II .	hear (3)	I	35:14	Jackson (1)
grounds (6)	3:6 6:3 14:17		interested (2)	2:3
26:2,3,5,15,17		identified (4)	29:18 38:14	Jago (12)
26:19	heard (1)	27:12 28:2,7	interior (1)	2:5 5:5,5,12
groundwater (9)	21:10	34:16	18:20	6:11 14:16
4:5 15:20 16:3,5	Heather (1)	II (1)	intermittent (1)	24:16 25:16,20
16:8,10 20:7	6:21	32:4	17:8	26:14 30:9
29:2,13	heavily (1)	illegally (1)	International (36:3
group (1)	18:19	17:11	2:6 5:6 6:12	January (2)
3:3	height (2)	impact (2)	intersection (1)	5:3 36:22
guard (7)	12:11,18	15:23 16:3	8:15	Jed (2)
16:15,18,20,22	Hello (1)	impacted (1)	intervening (1)	2:17 6:22
17:21 20:3	25:13	18:8	16:5	Jerri (2)
23:5	help (2)	important (2)	intrudes (1)	38:5,21
guess (2)	4:19 6:19	5:16 7:16	17:10	Jim (3)
25:16 27:21	hereunto (1)	impressed (1)		25:14,14 36:6
guidelines (2)	38:18	34:5	invertebrates (job (1)
23:1 30:17	hexavalent (4)	include (4)	24:14	34:3
	11:14 12:19	9:16,25 16:15	investigate (1)	J+.J
gum (1)	13:20 14:5	26:16	10:24	K
3:22			investigated (2)	
guy (2)	high (5)	included (3)	11:8 28:8	Kevin (11)
34:3 35:12	3:21 30:22	10:19 14:25	investigation (8)	2:5 5:5,5,11
guys (2)	31:10,15 32:1	15:17	10:16,18,19,22	6:11 14:14
				21:6 24:10

<u> </u>				Page 4
25:5 27:9 30:7	law (2)	loads (1)	16.22.24.25	Mamarial (1)
kilogram (3)	5:20 22:25	35:19	16:23,24,25	Memorial (1) 30:1
12:14 13:13	Lawrence (1)	lobby (1)	major (1) 9:3	
14:2	35:4	4:17		mention (3)
kind (4)	laws (1)		making (1) 5:25	20:15 29:6,8
31:3 32:9,14	5:21	local (1) 6:22		mentioned (11)
33:4			mammals (1)	6:11 8:10 9:22
Kinder (1)	lay (2) 9:7 27:1	located (2)	18:14	10:12 20:9,21
2:21		8:13 17:14	managed (1)	22:12 24:11
know (16)	leach (2) 15:19 16:4	locust (1)	28:3	26:4 30:24
11 ' '		4:13	management (1)	31:5
3:8,24 6:8 24:12	lead (6)	logistic (1)	13:8	met (2)
24:17,22 32:6	11:13 12:12	16:18	manager (1)	14:4 34:8
32:8,10,12,13	13:3,12,16	long (1)	27:8	metal (1)
34:19,21,23,25	14:2	23:23	manufactured	10:1
35:16	legacies (1)	long-term (1)	31:9	metals (2)
knowledge (1)	25:6	24:4	map (3)	11:3,8
26:15	length (1)	look (3)	9:1 10:5 12:9	microphone (1)
known (2)	19:5	17:16 23:9	Mark (3)	21:16
8:25 11:12	level (1)	34:12	2:20 22:20 27:7	migrate (3)
L	18:11	looked (2)	material (10)	11:19 15:19
	levels (4)	15:24 16:22	8:24 9:8,14,15	19:6
labor (1)	13:12 14:7	looking (2)	11:15 12:13,16	miles (1)
5:22	18:10 23:9	15:17 32:9	13:1,7,15	35:1
Lafayette (1)	Library (2)	lot (5)	materials (9)	milligrams (3)
2:10	29:23 30:1	32:21 34:7,8,15	7:5 9:7,11,19,23	12:14 13:13
Lake (6)	likelihood (1)	34:22	9:23 13:16,22	14:1
34:15,21 35:3,10	18:16	louder (1)	27:2	million (3)
35:21 36:9	limit (2)	14:17	mayor (1)	12:13 14:2,6
Lakes (1)	21:8,18	low (4)	21:21	minute (1)
34:16	limited (1)	11:4 18:10,11,16	mean (1)	20:9
land (17)	30:16	lumber (4)	33:12	mission (1)
16:13,16,20,23	line (3)	8:15,23 9:13,16	means (4)	16:21
17:12,15,17,22	29:5 34:25 36:7	Lynn (2)	15:9 18:25 34:7	Mississippi (1)
17:23 20:1,3	lines (3)	38:5,21	38:8	35:9
20:17 23:4,10	18:20 19:10		medium (1)	Mohr (3)
23:17,20,24	28:15	M	32:6	36:5,5,15
landfill (2)	listed (1)	M (4)	meet (1)	money (1)
13:18,23	19:17	11:12,13 12:10	12:14	24:3
large (7)	little (8)	13:2		1
28:16 30:24	7:19 8:2 9:21	Mahoning (2)	meeting (7) 1:14 3:5 4:23	monitor (1)
31:2,7,11 32:6	12:7 14:15,17	35:7,8		23:22
32:7	24:2 36:9	mailed (1)	5:15,19 36:8	monitoring (7)
lastly (3)	24.2 30.9 live (1)	5:2	37:8	15:18,18 16:7,9
8:9 17:12 18:23	3:14	main (1)	meetings (2)	16:11 23:24
late (2)		28:14	4:7 20:11	24:5
6:15 12:4	lived (1)	maintenance (3)	Memorandum	month (1)
V.10 12.1	35:16	шашилист (3)	11:24 12:1	17:1

mother (1)	needed (2)	oftentimes (2)	packaged (1)	perchlorates (5)	
3:25	15:6,8	23:25 24:5	13:8	30:19 31:3,16,21	
move (2)	new (1)	Oh (2)	pallets (1)	32:19	
6:24 19:1	32:9	33:17 34:17	9:16	perchloric (2)	
moved (2)	Newton (4)	Ohio (21)	Paris-Windha	29:8 31:8	
18:7 28:7	1:17,19 21:21	1:11,19 2:19	8:15 10:7	periodic (1)	
movement (1)	29:22	6:20,20,23	part (4)	17:1	
18:17	Nick (1)	16:18 22:2,3,4	7:20 12:15	periods (1)	
moving (1)	2:22	24:19 25:7	23:19 28:3	16:5	
19:6	non (1)	34:5,8,20 35:9	participate (1)	periphery (1)	
mowing (1)	9:16	36:6 38:3,6,18	5:17	14:9	
16:24	nonexplosive (1)	38:22	participated (1)	permitted (1)	
munition (3)	27:2	okay (10)	24:20	22:25	
26:23 29:6	nonhazardous	6:3 14:18 21:23	participation (1)	phases (1)	
30:22	13:16,22 27:2	27:20 30:6	7:21	10:16	
munitions (17)	non-time (1)	33:6 35:15	particular (9)	picked (1)	
28:15,22,24	11:22	36:13,23 37:2	3:10 11:11	21:11	
30:20,25 31:7	Norfolk (1)	ones (2)	20:14 26:11,21	pile (13)	
31:10,11,14	25:14	27:22 32:3	27:3,21 32:2	7:20 11:12,12,13	
32:3,7 34:9,10	north (3)	ongoing (4)	33:20	11:14 12:10,17	
34:22 35:20,20	8:25 10:6 35:3	7:11 16:19 28:5	parts (3)	12:17 13:2,2,3	
36:9	northwest (1)	33:22	12:13 14:2,5	13:19,19	
***************************************	9:5	open (7)	party (1)	piles (16)	
N	Notary (2)	5:9 8:10 9:14,19	38:13	7:5,18 10:2,12	
N (4)	38:6,22	21:4 26:23	passing (1)	10:24 11:1,7	
11:12,14 12:17	note (1)	29:9	3:23	11:12,23 12:5	
13:19	3:23	operations (2)	Patterson (12)	12:9,10,23	
name (3)	number (6)	8:3 33:15	2:20 7:8 22:21	14:20 15:1,10	
3:14 21:16 22:1	6:17 8:20 31:5,7	opportunity (6)	23:2 27:6,7,25	pipe (1)	
nasty (1)	31:9,19	3:20 4:8 5:24	29:17,25 30:7	10:1	
37:5	numbers (1)	7:10 19:1	30:11,14	pit (2)	
National (6)	27:9	20:13	pending (1)	22:15 27:21	
16:15,18,20	numerical (1)	organisms (1)	22:7	pits (52)	
17:21 20:3	15:17	25:6	people (3)	1:8 3:7 4:3 5:9	
23:5		originally (3)	11:20 16:25	6:1 7:3,6,13,24	
nations (1)	<u> </u>	26:25 27:12	36:10	8:2,9,13,17,22	
31:6	Oak (2)	28:1	percent (2)	9:5,9,15,24	
near (1)	2:12 6:13	outline (1)	31:8 32:24	10:10,17,21,25	
28:14	obtained (1)	8:7	percentage (4)	11:21 14:21	
necessarily (1)	14:12	outside (3)	25:18 26:12	15:16 16:6,15	
27:13	occur (1)	7:18 15:10	27:17 31:11	17:14,20 18:1	
necessary (3)	17:5	28:20	perchlorate (9)	18:8,15,21,25	
14:12 15:9	office (8)	overall (1)	29:11 30:15	19:3,14 20:23	
19:12	2:11 6:13,20,21	26:12	31:12 32:8,11	21:3 22:6	
need (3)	6:23 30:2 37:1		32:14,21,25	25:17,18,21	
6:7 11:20 20:23	38:18	P	33:1	26:1,6,9,12,25	
				, , ,	

		_	_	
27:14,18 28:21	5:3 36:22	33:16	pulled (2)	rainproof (1)
29:12,12	potential (2)	proceeded (3)	32:4,15	28:14
place (1)	11:18 22:14	11:21 12:22	purpose (2)	rains (1)
38:11	potentially (1)	14:10	15:5 30:25	33:10
placed (3)	15:23	proceedings (3)	pursued (1)	rainwater (1)
9:9,24 10:2	potentials (1)	38:7,9,10	11:9	35:2
plan (11)	11:19	process (5)	pyrotechnic (1)	raise (1)
1:6 3:6,19 4:3	predict (1)	5:18,25 7:21	30:21	21:15
4:21 8:7,19	16:4	11:22 20:11	P.G (1)	range (2)
19:18 22:5	predicted (1)	produce (1)	2:5	16:13 19:8
29:20,22	16:3	31:1	p.m (1)	Ravenna (14)
Plant (4)	prepared (1)	produced (3)	37:9	1:10,11 3:7 4:4
1:10 3:8 4:4 6:2	38:8	28:15 32:2 38:8		6:2,14 8:17
please (5)	prescribed (1)	production (1)	Q	12:20 25:22
8:12,21 10:4	5:19	28:15	qualified (1)	26:16 30:1,21
21:18,24	presence (1)	productive (1)	38:6	31:2 32:25
plenty (1)	11:17	32:5	quality (2)	RDX (2)
19:7	present (7)	profiles (1)	24:21 25:4	29:6 30:23
poaching (1)	2:15 7:1 11:3	19:23	quantitative (1)	reality (1)
17:10	13:6 18:11,14	program (3)	16:9	32:21
point (7)	26:16	28:5,19,22	Quarry (1)	really (3)
4:11 10:14 20:4	presentation (7)	project (3)	1:18	4:22 7:13 36:6
20:14 21:3	3:11 5:4 6:5,25	6:16 27:8 34:4	question (13)	reason (1)
23:7,18	7:7 22:12 30:8	projects (1)	3:12,18 21:7,12	31:4
points (1)	President (1)	36:12	21:14,18 22:17	reasons (1)
7:22	2:7	proposed (11)	26:10 29:4,9	19:22
policy (1)	pretty (2)	1:6 3:6,19 4:3	36:4,19,21	receptors (1)
23:3	32:20,20	4:20 8:7 19:18	questions (8)	20:25
polygon (1)	previous (1)	22:4 23:4,10	5:10 21:4,23	recipe (1)
10:9	24:11	29:22	25:12,15 33:14	31:13
population (1)	previously (1)	protect (2)	36:17,23	recognize (1)
15:15	8:6	19:13 20:25	quite (1)	33:18
portion (4)	primarily (4)	protective (1)	18:22	recommendati
8:14 13:2 33:14	17:9 28:25	20:19	R	19:19,22 20:18
33:15	30:22 34:10	provide (1)	R (1)	22:7 34:7
portions (1)	principal's (1)	5:23	R (1) 38:1	reduced (1)
33:21	36:25	provided (1)	RAB (2)	38:7
possibility (1)	printed (1)	7:6	7:7,9	Reed (1)
15:21	30:10	public (16)	7.7,9 rabbits (1)	30:1
possible (1)	private (1)	1:14 3:5 5:15,16	18:14	refresher (1)
16:13	3:16	7:2,13,21 8:18		8:1
possibly (1)	probably (3)	11:25 20:12	Rager (2) 2:16 6:21	regarding (2)
25:1	3:3 27:16 32:13	22:7 29:18,20	2.10 0:21 railcars (1)	23:3 36:9
Post (1)	problem (1)	30:4 38:6,22	35:20	region (1)
2:11	35:25	pull (2)	railroad (1)	13:18
postmarked (2)	procedures (1)	8:18 32:17	8:25	regulatory (2)
			0.2 <i>3</i>	
Contractor of the contractor				

				- Lage 4
12:15 13:5	represents (2)	reviewed (1)	Russians (1)	seal (1)
related (1)	10:9 26:11	22:4	31:7	38:18
19:25	required (6)	RI (1)	Rutledge (2)	second (2)
relative (1)	19:13 20:10	19:16	2:18 6:18	9:2 20:21
38:13	21:1 22:24	Ridge (2)	RVAAP-49 (2)	security (1)
relatively (2)	23:16 28:11	2:12 6:13	1:7 8:20	16:22
9:6 25:23	requirement (2)	right (4)	RVAAP.org (1)	sediment (13)
remain (1)	20:24 23:21	4:1 25:25 30:13	30:8	1:7 3:7 5:8 7:2
10:13	research (2)	36:10		8:8 14:21 15:4
remainder (3)	24:23 29:5	risk (13)	S	17:19 19:20,25
7:23 8:8 14:20	resident (1)	14:25 15:2,25	safe (1)	21:2 22:5
remaining (3)	17:13	16:1,12 18:12	37:6	29:11
15:1 17:19	residential (6)	19:23,25 20:19	SAIC (4)	sediments (4)
20:15	13:12 14:3	22:11,13,14	2:16,17,18 10:23	20:6 33:8,9,9
remedial (9)	17:23 20:2,17	23:24	sampled (1)	see (11)
7:1,14 10:18,19	23:16	risk-base (4)	25:4	3:2 4:9,16 6:5,6
14:22,24 15:14	residing (1)	12:19 15:3,11	samples (6)	7:14 8:19
22:3 33:7	19:4	17:20	10:20 14:11	15:18 20:23
remediate (1)	residue (1)	River (2)	15:15 16:7	29:7 32:14
5:25	13:21	35:8,8	24:21,22	seen (1)
remediation (2)	residues (1)	road (5)	sampling (3)	21:10
20:24 28:10	11:15	8:15,16 10:7,11	14:8 24:13	send (2)
removal (5)	resources (1)	10:13	30:16	36:21,25
7:4 11:22 12:22	19:14	roads (1)	Sand (11)	Senior (1)
13:1 14:19	response (3)	16:25	9:3 10:7 15:24	2:8
removals (2)	8:4 22:3 28:22	rocket (4)	18:3,5,8,21	separate (2)
7:20 19:21	responsibility	31:22,24 32:19	24:12,15 25:3	7:21 28:19
remove (1)	23:6	33:2	25:8	set (1)
11:23	rest (3)	rockets (1)	saw (1)	38:18
removed (6)	4:16 7:17 28:17	30:20	29:5	shade (1)
7:18 12:6,10	restoration (3)	room (1)	scenario (4)	13:15
13:14,20 14:12	5:19 12:4 28:4	4:12	16:16 17:7,9,13	share (1)
report (2)	restricted (3)	rooms (1)	scenarios (1)	5:22
5:8 14:25	23:12,17,19	4:16	16:14	shocked (1)
reporter (3)	restrictive (1)	roughly (1)	school (1)	33:4
14:17 38:6,22	20:1	26:20	3:21	short-term (1)
reporting (1)	result (1)	routes (1)	Science (3)	17:8
38:16	18:11	18:20	2:6 5:6 6:12	show (5)
Repository (2)	results (10)	Rule (1)	scientist (2)	6:9 9:1 16:2
29:18,19	16:2,9,10,10	38:17	2:8 33:5	17:18 18:5
represent (2)	17:18 20:20	run (1)	scope (1)	showed (3)
25:17 27:14	25:7,9 26:10	4:15	8:4	11:2,6 34:14
representative	29:14	runoff (2)	scrap (1)	showing (1)
22:18 25:1 27:8	review (3)	15:23 18:20	9:19	12:9
representative	5:17 11:25	runs (3)	screen (2)	shown (1)
6:17	20:13	10:11 34:19,25	6:6 12:21	12:12
		······································		
			.	

				raye 4
shows (2)	sort (1)	2:22	surface (5)	testimony (1)
10:5 25:8	4:14	stops (1)	4:5 15:23 20:8	34:6
side (6)	sound (1)	7:12	29:2,13	tests (1)
3:15 4:17 34:18	6:4	storage (3)	surrounding (1)	24:11
35:3,6,7	south (3)	8:24 9:7,14	31:19	text (1)
sir (1)	8:25 10:6 35:6	stream (2)	surrounds (1)	6:10
22:21	speak (1)	25:8,10	18:24	thank (17)
site (10)	14:15	streaming (1)	surveys (1)	5:12,13 21:5,6
7:25 8:18 12:4,9	speaker (1)	3:2	24:20	22:8 24:7,25
16:18 18:18	5:5	streams (1)	system (1)	25:2 27:5 29:3
27:3,18 29:22	specific (1)	20:6	6:4	34:1,2 36:2,14
30:5	23:5	Street (1)		37:3,4,6
sites (12)	specifically (10)	1:18	<u>T</u>	thing (2)
23:19,22 27:10	10:25 14:24	stretch (1)	T (2)	20:4 27:22
28:2,6,13,18	15:25 20:12	33:3	38:1,1	things (7)
28:23 31:16,23	26:1,4,14,23	stringent (1)	table (1)	3:10 21:10,10,19
32:6 34:16	28:23 30:15	17:12	4:20	21:20 33:22
slide (9)	specified (1)	studied (1)	Tadsen (7)	34:12
6:25 8:12,21	38:11	25:10	22:10,10,20,22	think (6)
10:4,15 12:8	spend (3)	studies (3)	24:7,10,25	3:3 4:24 16:17
12:24 15:13	23:18 24:2,6	15:7 18:3,5	take (2)	33:13 34:3
19:15	spot (1)	study (2)	12:22 36:18	36:18
small (3)	19:4	24:18,20	taken (6)	thinking (1)
23:15 25:23	spring (1)	stuff (3)	23:6 24:14	32:10
27:17	12:3	32:22,24 34:13	35:21 38:7,9	Thomas (3)
smaller (1)	SS (1)	stunning (1)	38:11	2:17 6:22 14:14
12:17	38:3	32:14	takes (1)	thought (1)
soil (27)	St (1)	subjects (1)	23:12	36:20
1:6 3:6 5:8 7:2	35:4	4:6	talk (4)	thousands (1)
7:23 8:8 9:8	standard (1)	subsequent (1)	4:2,2,5 8:2	35:22
10:20 11:1	22:23	10:22	talking (8)	thumbs (1)
13:10,21 14:13	started (2)	subsistence (1)	3:19 4:21 26:1	6:5
15:3 16:2,4,12	6:16 12:3	17:13	33:20 35:12,18	Tia (7)
18:10,17 19:20	state (4)	substantial (1)	35:19,23	2:18 6:18,19,25
20:16 21:2	21:16 38:3,6,22	23:25	tarp (1)	8:12 12:8,24
22:5 29:1,11	states (1)	summary (2)	33:10	time (22)
33:7,8,9	31:17	19:16 21:1	teacher (1)	3:12 4:10 6:15
soils (9)	status (2)	summer (1)	3:22	7:22 10:14
6:1 11:4 14:21	5:7 17:25	12:5	tell (1)	15:16 16:6,8
15:1,10,20	Stenographic (SUMMIT (1)	21:24	13.10 10.0,8 18:4 19:5
17:19 18:13	38:5,21	38:4	Tennessee (2)	20:14 21:3,22
19:25	Stenotype (1)	suppression (1)	2:12 6:13	23:11,23 29:8
solid (1)	38:7	17:3	terrestrial (1)	30:3 33:24
13:17	step (1)	sure (6)	18:13	35:11,11 36:20
sorry (1)	27:7	3:17 5:2 22:19	tested (1)	38:11
36:3	Stolte (1)	24:9 36:1,22	29:10	11.
=	(1)	20.1922	· · · · ·	times (3)

				Page 4
4:7 17:1,2	trespasser (1)	22:24	water (12)	34:4
tissue (2)	17:9	users (2)	4:6 15:23 16:6	
24:13,22	tried (1)	22:14 23:24	20:8 24:21	wondering (3)
Tittle (16)	29:4			26:5 32:10 33:6
2:3 3:1,14 21:6	1	uses (3)	25:4 29:2,13	wooden (1)
11	true (1)	17:17 20:3	33:23 34:11	9:16
22:9,19 24:9	38:8	23:20	35:2,6	work (7)
25:11 33:13,19	turned (1)	U.S (7)	way (3)	5:22 11:2,24
34:17,24 35:15	37:5	2:20,21,22 13:12		12:3 14:10
35:24 36:2,16	Twinsburg (4)	14:3 31:9,17	weak (1)	22:2 34:4
Todd (2)	6:19,20,22 22:3	* 1	32:20	worker (2)
2:19 22:1	two (9)	V	wealth (1)	16:23 17:4
Tom (2)	10:16 11:7,11,23		35:13	working (4)
3:23 22:10	12:5,10,22	23:8	web (3)	3:16 6:14 36:11
tonight (12)	14:19 26:21	various (2)	8:18 30:4 32:5	36:12
3:4,11,20 4:21	type (4)	10:2,12	welcome (2)	world (3)
5:5,20 6:18 7:1	10:1 17:7 28:10	vegetated (1)	3:5 36:15	31:6 32:4 34:10
7:16 8:7 21:9	30:22	18:19	went (1)	wrap (1)
33:20	T-intersection	verify (2)	13:17	27:24
tons (7)	8:16	14:7 16:10	west (1)	
13:1,3,7,14,15	0.10	Vice (1)	9:4	wrapped (1)
13:21 35:22	U	2:7		12:4
topic (1)	Uh-hum (1)	vicinity (1)	west/northwes	written (2)
7:16	29:24	18:9	10:8	4:25 36:21
II '	un (1)	view (2)	wet (2)	wrong (1)
total (2)	23:16	` /	20:5 33:8	34:18
25:18 26:12		7:10 19:19	wetland (3)	
totally (1)	underwater (1)	Virginia (1)	15:24 18:17	Y
35:9	34:11	25:15	20:6	yard (2)
tour (2)	unrestricted (1)	volume (3)	Wheat (2)	8:16 27:1
7:8,12	24:3	31:8,11 32:25	38:5,21	year (5)
town (1)	untold (1)		WHEREOF (1)	7:9,19 12:5 17:2
21:17	31:18	<u>W</u>	38:18	28:17
Township (1)	updated (1)	W (1)	wind (1)	years (6)
22:11	15:1	2:5	34:14	6:15 9:8 12:20
track (2)	upfront (1)	want (5)	Windham (1)	27:4 31:13
10:5,6	24:3	4:22,24 5:13,21	3:15	35:16
tracks (2)	upper (1)	21:20	Winklepeck (3)	
8:25 9:1	13:2	wanted (1)	26:2,17 27:23	Z
trainee (1)	usage (1)	22:23		zones (1)
17:22	26:22	War (1)	wire (1)	18:22
training (6)	use (17)	32:4	9:17	
u O v /	9:13 16:13,14,17	waste (2)	wise (1)	1
16:16,18,21 20:3	16:20,23 17:12	13:5,8	25:5	10 (2)
20:17 23:8	· ·	wastes (4)	wish (2)	12:18 35:1
transcription (17:15,22,23	9:17 13:17,22	21:14 36:19	12.16 33.1
38:8,9	20:1,18 23:4,6	27:3	WITNESS (1)	6:14 11:7
transported (4)	23:10,17 24:3		38:18	151 (1)
13:7,9,23 15:22	user (1)	watching (1)	wonderful (1)	2:10
		3:23		2.10

				Page 5
157 (1)	12:17			
13:20	400 (5)			
16 (2)	13:13 14:1			
1:15 14:5	31:16,23 32:3			
1995 (1)				
28:5	5			
	50 (4)			
2	13:1,3,7 32:24			
20 (4)	500 (2)			
9:5 25:21 27:15	13:14,15			
27:17	51 (1)			
200 (1)	28:1			
26:18	52 (1)			
2005 (2)	1:18			
10:17 14:24	55 (1)			
2006 (2)	26:8			
10:23 18:4				
2008 (4)	6			
1:15 7:4 12:3	60 (1)			
38:19	26:19			
2013 (1)	65 (1)			
38:24	35:16			
22nd (1)	7			
38:19				
22,000 (3)	7th (2)			
25:23 26:7,13	5:3 36:22			
2501 (1)	7:20 (1)			
2:11	37:9			
28(D) (1)	70 (1)			
38:17	26:19			
3	8			
3 (1)	8,500 (1)			
12:11	12:13			
33 (2)	865/481-4614 (
9:1 10:6	2:13	İ		
35 (1)	89 (1)			
31:16	31:8			
37831 (1)				
2:12	9			
38 (1)	9 (1)			200
12:11	38:24			
39 (2)	90s (1)			
9:1 10:5	6:15			a di di di di di di di di di di di di di
J.1 1U.J				
4				
4.5 (1)	I			1466
- (-)				15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15.
		_	-	